



Minutes

Council Meeting

7.00pm, Tuesday 20 April 2021

Richmond Town Hall

1. Statement of recognition of Wurundjeri Woi-wurrung Land

“Yarra City Council acknowledges the Wurundjeri Woi Wurrung people as the Traditional Owners and true sovereigns of the land now known as Yarra.

We acknowledge their creator spirit Bunjil, their ancestors and their Elders.

We acknowledge the strength and resilience of the Wurundjeri Woi Wurrung, who have never ceded sovereignty and retain their strong connections to family, clan and country despite the impacts of European invasion.

We also acknowledge the significant contributions made by other Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people to life in Yarra.

We pay our respects to Elders from all nations here today—and to their Elders past, present and future.”

2. Attendance, apologies and requests for leave of absence

Attendance

Councillors

- | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| • Cr Gabrielle de Vietri | Mayor |
| • Cr Claudia Nguyen | Deputy Mayor |
| • Cr Edward Crossland | Councillor |
| • Cr Herschel Landes | Councillor |
| • Cr Amanda Stone | Councillor |
| • Cr Sophie Wade | Councillor |

Council officers

- | | |
|---------------------|--|
| • Vijaya Vaidyanath | Chief Executive Officer |
| • Ivan Gilbert | Group Manager Chief Executive’s Office |
| • Diarmuid McAlary | Director Corporate, Business and Finance |
| • Bruce Phillips | Director Planning and Place Making |
| • Rhys Thomas | Senior Governance Advisor |
| • Mel Nikou | Governance Officer |

Apologies

- Cr Stephen Jolly
- Cr Anab Mohamud
- Cr Bridgid O’Brien

3. Announcements

AFLW Premiers

I am pleased to be able to congratulate the Brisbane Lions Football Club as the AFLW Grand Finalists for 2021. From their origins in Fitzroy, the Brisbane Lions hold a special place in the hearts of much of the Yarra community and while the newly minted women’s team may have never played at WT Peterson Oval, they nevertheless play with the Fitzroy wind at their back. The flag of the club is proudly flying at Fitzroy Town Hall this week, and we warmly congratulate them.

4. Declarations of conflict of interest (Councillors and staff)

Councillor Landes declared a conflict of interest on item 8.3.

5. Confidential business reports

The following items were deemed by the Chief Executive Officer to be suitable for consideration in closed session in accordance with section 66(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 2020. In accordance with that Act, Council may resolve to consider these issues in open or closed session.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Crossland

Seconded: Councillor Landes

1. That the meeting be closed to members of the public, in accordance with section 66(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 2020, to allow consideration of confidential information

CARRIED

Item

5.1 Future Funding for Yarra Energy Foundation

This item is presented for consideration in closed session because it contains private commercial information, being information provided by a business, commercial or financial undertaking that relates to trade secrets or if released, would unreasonably expose the business, commercial or financial undertaking to disadvantage.

This item is considered applicable because it contains information provided by Yarra Energy Foundation on a commercial in confidence basis.

Following consideration of Confidential business, the meeting resumed in open session.

6. Confirmation of minutes

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Stone

Seconded: Councillor Crossland

That the minutes of the Council Meeting held on Tuesday 30 March 2021 be confirmed.

CARRIED

7. Public question time

Item	Page
7.1 Public Questions	6

This record is provided as a summary of the questions asked by members of the public during Public Question Time at a meeting of the Yarra City Council. A recording of the Council Meeting (including Public Question Time) is available on Council's website for twelve months following the meeting. Where a question is taken on notice and unable to be answered at the meeting, the full response is also published on Council's website when it becomes available.

8. Council business reports

Item	Page	Res. Page
8.1 Draft Annual Budget 2021/22	7	7
8.2 493, 495 and 497 Swan Street, Richmond - Further Strategic Work	8	8
8.3 Bridge Road and Victoria Street - Permanent Built Form Provisions	10	14
8.4 Brunswick Street - Part time tram lane and bicycle upgrades	17	18
8.5 Road Management Plan Review 2021	19	20
8.6 Register of Public Roads	21	21
8.7 Conclusion of Mayoral and Councillor Allowance Review	22	22

9. Notices of motion

Nil

10. Petitions and joint letters

Nil

11. Questions without notice

Item	Page
11.1 Councillor Stone – Terms of Reference for Edinburgh Gardens Community Reference Group.....	23
11.2 Councillor Landes – Open Space at Annette’s Place	23
11.3 Councillor de Vietri – Pedestrian access to Gleadell Street.....	23

12. Delegates’ reports

12.1 Councillor Stone - Friends of Baucau Inc.	24
---	----

13. General business

Nil

14. Urgent business

Nil

7. Public question time

This record is provided as a summary of the questions asked by members of the public during Public Question Time at a meeting of the Yarra City Council. A recording of the Council Meeting (including Public Question Time) is available on Council's website for twelve months following the meeting. Where a question is taken on notice and unable to be answered at the meeting, the full response is also published on Council's website when it becomes available.

Questioner	Question	Refer to
David Chalmers, North Fitzroy Traffic Action Group	I would like to ask about the Council policy on traffic and residential streets and speed limits.	In the absence of the Director City Works and Assets the Chief Executive Officer took the question on notice.
Julie Cannatelli	Status of the petition raised by the YarraBend Development residents in relation to the restrictions to parking	The Director Planning and Place Making provided a response.

8.1 Draft Annual Budget 2021/22

Trim Record Number: D21/34305

Responsible Officer: Director Corporate, Business and Finance

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 7.13pm

1. That Council:

- (a) adopts, for the purpose of public consultation, the Draft Annual Budget 2021/22 at Attachment One as a draft of the budget prepared for the purpose of section 94 of the Local Government Act 2020;
- (b) adopts, for the purpose of public consultation, the Draft Revenue and Rating Plan 2021-2025 at Attachment Two as a draft of the revenue and rating plan prepared for the purpose of section 93 of the Local Government Act 2020;
- (c) gives notice under section 223 of the Local Government Act 1989 of Council's intention to grant a rate rebate of \$193.80 in the 2021/2022 year to each owner of rateable land who is an 'eligible recipient' within the meaning of the State Concessions Act 2004, with formal submissions being received until Friday 28 May 2021;
- (d) notes that a meeting of Council will be held on Tuesday 7 June 2021 to hear feedback in relation to the draft budget and the draft revenue and rating plan and to hear formal submissions in relation to the rate rebate; and
- (e) notes that a final decision on the budget, revenue and rating plan, and the rate rebate will be made at a Council meeting on Tuesday 22 June 2021.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Stone

Seconded: Councillor Landes

1. That Council:

- (a) adopts, for the purpose of public consultation, the Draft Annual Budget 2021/22 at Attachment One as a draft of the budget prepared for the purpose of section 94 of the Local Government Act 2020;
- (b) adopts, for the purpose of public consultation, the Draft Revenue and Rating Plan 2021-2025 at Attachment Two as a draft of the revenue and rating plan prepared for the purpose of section 93 of the Local Government Act 2020;
- (c) gives notice under section 223 of the Local Government Act 1989 of Council's intention to grant a rate rebate of \$193.80 in the 2021/2022 year to each owner of rateable land who is an 'eligible recipient' within the meaning of the State Concessions Act 2004, with formal submissions being received until Friday 28 May 2021;
- (d) notes that a meeting of Council will be held on Tuesday 7 June 2021 to hear feedback in relation to the draft budget and the draft revenue and rating plan and to hear formal submissions in relation to the rate rebate; and
- (e) notes that a final decision on the budget, revenue and rating plan, and the rate rebate will be made at a Council meeting on Tuesday 22 June 2021.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8.2 493, 495 and 497 Swan Street, Richmond - Further Strategic Work

Reference D21/19306
Author Alayna Chapman - Senior Strategic Planner
Authoriser Director Planning and Place Making

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 7.20pm

1. That Council:
 - (a) note the officer report in relation to the land at 493-497 Swan Street, Richmond;
 - (b) note Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4 in relation to the findings of the further strategic work for the land at 493-497 Swan Street, and the officer advice on the further strategic work; and
 - (c) endorse:
 - (i) the *493-497 Swan Street, Richmond Built Form Review* (Hodyl & Co, April 2021) at Attachment 3; and
 - (ii) the *Built Form Review: 493-499 Swan Street, Richmond Heritage Analysis & Built Form Review* (GJM Heritage, March 2021) at Attachment 4,as the strategic analysis underpinning a future planning scheme amendment for these sites.
2. That Council notes the 2 options contained in the officer report regarding future planning scheme Amendment processes and notes the officer recommendation to prepare a future planning scheme amendment in conjunction with other planning scheme amendments for the reason of efficiency of process and resource allocation.
3. That Council authorises officers to:
 - (a) prepare a report for Council to consider a future amendment that relates to all land identified as “*Land subject to future strategic work*” at Map 2 of proposed clause 22.12 of the Swan Street Framework Plan (**Map 2**) once the strategic work for the remaining land identified as “*Land subject to future strategic work*” at Map 2 has been completed and also after amendment C191yara has come into effect in the Yarra Planning Scheme.

Public Submission

Brooke Boger addressed Council on the matter.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Nguyen

Seconded: Councillor Crossland

1. That Council:
 - (a) note the officer report in relation to the land at 493-497 Swan Street, Richmond;
 - (b) note Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4 in relation to the findings of the further strategic work for the land at 493-497 Swan Street, and the officer advice on the further strategic work; and
 - (c) note:
 - (i) the *493-497 Swan Street, Richmond Built Form Review* (Hodyl & Co, April 2021) at Attachment 3; and

(ii) the *Built Form Review: 493-499 Swan Street, Richmond Heritage Analysis & Built Form Review* (GJM Heritage, March 2021) at Attachment 4, forms the strategic analysis underpinning a future planning scheme amendment for these sites.

2. That Council notes the 2 options contained in the officer report regarding future planning scheme Amendment processes and notes the officer recommendation to prepare a future planning scheme amendment in conjunction with other planning scheme amendments for the reason of efficiency of process and resource allocation.
3. That Council authorises officers to:
 - (a) prepare a report for Council to consider a future amendment that relates to all land identified as “*Land subject to future strategic work*” at Map 2 of proposed clause 22.12 of the Swan Street Framework Plan (**Map 2**) once the strategic work for the remaining land identified as “*Land subject to future strategic work*” at Map 2 has been completed and also after amendment C191yara has come into effect in the Yarra Planning Scheme.

CARRIED

8.3 Bridge Road and Victoria Street - Permanent Built Form Provisions

Reference	D21/31513
Author	Kyle Everett - Strategic Planner
Authoriser	Director Planning and Place Making

Councillor Landes left the meeting at 7.33pm due to a conflict of interest.

RECOMMENDATION **Start time: 7.33pm**

1. That Council:
 - (a) note the officer report and Attachments 1-7 introducing proposed permanent built form provisions for Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres;
 - (b) adopt the *Bridge Road & Victoria Street Activity Centres - Review of Interim Built Form Controls - Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*, supporting *Built Form Review: Bridge Road – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*; and *Built Form Review: Victoria Street – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)* and the *Traffic Engineering Assessment, Victoria Street and Bridge Road Activity Centres, Richmond (April 2021)* prepared by MGS Architects, GJM Heritage and Traffix Group in Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4 as the general basis for Amendment C291 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*;
 - (c) adopt the amendment documentation for proposed Amendment C291, including proposed *Design and Development Overlay Schedules 41 to 50* at Attachments 5 and 6; as the basis for proposed Amendment C291;
 - (d) request the Minister for Planning refer proposed Planning Scheme Amendment C291, implementing the permanent built form provisions for the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, to an Advisory Committee under Part 7 Section 151 of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*;
 - (e) determine that should the Minister for Planning decide not to proceed with an Advisory Committee, Council as the Planning Authority, apply to the Minister for Planning (Minister) under section 8A of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*, for 'authorisation' to prepare the Amendment;
 - (f) request the Minister for Planning to prepare, adopt and approve Amendment C290 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*, in accordance with the Minister's powers under sections 8(1)(b) and section 20(4) of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* to extend the expiry dates for the two Design and Development Overlay schedules - DDO21 and DDO22 which apply to the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, on an interim basis for 12 months while the permanent provisions are formally considered;
 - (g) authorise officers to consult with the Minister, in accordance with sections 8(1)(b) and 20(4) of the Act, to assist the Minister to prepare, adopt and approve the Amendment to extend the interim provisions; and
 - (h) authorise the CEO to make any minor adjustments required to meet the intent of the resolution.

Public Submission

Aaron Roozenberg addressed Council on the matter.

MOTION

Moved: Councillor Crossland

Seconded: Councillor Nguyen

1. That Council:

- (a) note the officer report and Attachments 1-7 introducing proposed permanent built form provisions for Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres;
- (b) adopt the *Bridge Road & Victoria Street Activity Centres - Review of Interim Built Form Controls - Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*, supporting *Built Form Review: Bridge Road – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*; and *Built Form Review: Victoria Street – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)* and the *Traffic Engineering Assessment, Victoria Street and Bridge Road Activity Centres, Richmond (April 2021)* prepared by MGS Architects, GJM Heritage and Traffix Group in Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4 as the general basis for Amendment C291 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*;
- (c) adopt the amendment documentation for proposed Amendment C291, including proposed *Design and Development Overlay Schedules 41 to 50* at Attachments 5 and 6; as the basis for proposed Amendment C291 with the following changes to apply to:
 - (i) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO43 - Precinct 3 Bridge Road Central in Interface I:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the southern boundary of 17 Gleadell Street to provide for increased pedestrian access.
 - (ii) Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO44 - Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South:
 - a. Apply a 3m landscape setback to the Burnley Street frontage of properties at 188-198 Burnley Street;
 - b. Apply a 2m landscape setback to non-heritage (other) buildings in Neptune Street;
 - c. Correct an error in the key and identify Interface E as the yellow coloured interface and Interface F as the green coloured interface.
 - (iii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks – proposed DDO44 Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South in Interface C:
 - a. In 'Minimum upper level setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', amend the minimum upper level setback from 6m to 4.5m for properties at 188 to 198 Burnley Street; and
 - b. In 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', add a requirement for a 3m landscape setback for properties at 188 to 198 Burnley Street.
 - (iv) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks – proposed DDO44 Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South in Interface F:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', for heritage buildings at 188-198 Burnley Street & 21 & 23 Neptune Street, apply a 2m landscape setback to non-heritage buildings i.e. other buildings.
 - b. Include in 'Minimum upper level setbacks' under 'Preferred Requirement', for properties at 188-198 Burnley Street & 21 & 23 Neptune Street, increase the minimum upper level setback from 4.5m preferred to 6m preferred for heritage buildings to ensure upper level development is recessive and more appropriately responds to the low rise residential interface on Neptune Street;

- (v) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO46 Precinct 1 Victoria Street West in Interface I:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the eastern boundary of 1-11 Hoddle Street, Richmond to provide for increased pedestrian access.
- (vi) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO46 Precinct 1 Victoria Street West in Interface E:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the Ferguson Street frontage of 253-257 Victoria Street.
- (vii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO48 Precinct 3 North Richmond Station in Interface I:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback along Little Hoddle Street to the eastern boundary of 15-25 Hoddle Street, 6-8 Elizabeth Street and 35-81 Hoddle Street and to the western boundary of 28-30 Regent Street, 31-33 Little Hoddle Street and 5 Elizabeth Street.
- (viii) Map 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO48 Precinct 3 North Richmond Station:
 - a. Apply a 2m setback to the western side of 12 Shelley Street and 33 Elizabeth Street;
 - b. Apply a 2m setback along Little Hoddle Street to the eastern boundary of 15-25 Hoddle Street, 6-8 Elizabeth Street and 35-81 Hoddle Street and western boundary of 28-30 Regent Street, 31-33 Little Hoddle Street and 5 Elizabeth Street;
- (ix) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO49 Precinct 4 Victoria Street East in Interface E:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement' apply a 2m setback to the western boundary of 136 Lennox Street;
- (x) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO50 Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End in Interface D:
 - a. In 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m front setback to the Victoria Street frontage between Bond and Grosvenor Streets (551 to 585 Victoria Street).
- (xi) Map 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO50 Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End:
 - a. Apply a 2m front setback to the Victoria Street frontage between Bond and Grosvenor Streets (551 to 585 Victoria Street);
- (d) request the Minister for Planning refer proposed Planning Scheme Amendment C291, implementing the permanent built form provisions for the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, to an Advisory Committee under Part 7 Section 151 of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*;
- (e) determine that should the Minister for Planning decide not to proceed with an Advisory Committee, Council as the Planning Authority, apply to the Minister for Planning (Minister) under section 8A of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*, for 'authorisation' to prepare the Amendment;

- (f) request the Minister for Planning to prepare, adopt and approve Amendment C290 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*, in accordance with the Minister's powers under sections 8(1)(b) and section 20(4) of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* to extend the expiry dates for the two Design and Development Overlay schedules - DDO21 and DDO22 which apply to the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, on an interim basis for 12 months while the permanent provisions are formally considered;
- (g) authorise officers to consult with the Minister, in accordance with sections 8(1)(b) and 20(4) of the Act, to assist the Minister to prepare, adopt and approve the Amendment to extend the interim provisions; and
- (h) authorise the CEO to make any minor adjustments required to meet the intent of the resolution; and to:
 - (i) Make any changes required to the Amendment documents, including the documents referred to in resolution (c), to amend Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks and Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in DDO43, DDO44, DDO46, DDO48, DDO49 and DDO50 to provide for changes to upper level setbacks and the addition of landscape, rear and front setbacks on specified sites; and

AMENDMENT

Councillor Stone suggested adding in the below amendment under 1. (c).

Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO50 - Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End, apply mandatory heights to all properties on the south-side of Victoria Street, between Church and Johnson Streets, to protect sensitive residential interface to the south. (Noting Mandatory heights are proposed to apply to the Victorian terraces at 316-326 Victoria Street to protect their heritage significance.);

The amendment was accepted by the mover and seconder and incorporated into the motion.

AMENDMENT

Councillor de Vietri also suggested adding in the below amendments:

Under 1. (c).

- (i) in proposed DDO41 - Precinct 1 Bridge Road West - Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan, remove the 28m maximum building height from 36 Thomas Street, Richmond (known as the Judd Street Car Park) and annotate the site as the 'Judd Street Car Park' to reflect its current use; and
- (ii) in proposed DDO41 - Precinct 1 Bridge Road West - Plan 2: Access and Movement Plan, identify the land at 36 Thomas Street, Richmond (known as Judd Street Car Park) as a car park to align with Plan 1;

Under 1. (h)

- (ii) Make any changes required to the Amendment documents, including the documents referred to in resolution ©, to remove the 28m height limit to 36 Thomas Street Richmond, identify the land as the *Judd Street Car Park* and amend Plan 2 to align with Plan 1.

- 2. That Council intends to pursue a number of options for open space in the precinct, including the Judd St carpark, White Place and the Officeworks building in line with the Bridge Road Masterplan, and for the Council to make representations to the panel as to the possibility of green open space in these areas.

The amendments were accepted by the mover and seconder and incorporated into the motion below.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Crossland

Seconded: Councillor Nguyen

1. That Council:

- (a) note the officer report and Attachments 1-7 introducing proposed permanent built form provisions for Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres;
- (b) adopt the *Bridge Road & Victoria Street Activity Centres - Review of Interim Built Form Controls - Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*, supporting *Built Form Review: Bridge Road – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)*; and *Built Form Review: Victoria Street – Heritage Analysis and Recommendations (April 2021)* and the *Traffic Engineering Assessment, Victoria Street and Bridge Road Activity Centres, Richmond (April 2021)* prepared by MGS Architects, GJM Heritage and Traffix Group in Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4 as the general basis for Amendment C291 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*;
- (c) adopt the amendment documentation for proposed Amendment C291, including proposed *Design and Development Overlay Schedules 41 to 50* at Attachments 5 and 6; as the basis for proposed Amendment C291 with the following changes to apply to:
 - (i) in proposed DDO41 - Precinct 1 Bridge Road West - Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan, remove the 28m maximum building height from 36 Thomas Street, Richmond (known as the Judd Street Car Park) and annotate the site as the 'Judd Street Car Park' to reflect its current use; and
 - (ii) in proposed DDO41 - Precinct 1 Bridge Road West - Plan 2: Access and Movement Plan, identify the land at 36 Thomas Street, Richmond (known as Judd Street Car Park) as a car park to align with Plan 1;
 - (iii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO43 - Precinct 3 Bridge Road Central in Interface I:
 - a. in 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the southern boundary of 17 Gleadell Street to provide for increased pedestrian access.
 - (iv) Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO44 - Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South:
 - a. apply a 3m landscape setback to the Burnley Street frontage of properties at 188-198 Burnley Street;
 - b. apply a 2m landscape setback to non-heritage (other) buildings in Neptune Street;
 - c. correct an error in the key and identify Interface E as the yellow coloured interface and Interface F as the green coloured interface.
 - (v) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks – proposed DDO44 Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South in Interface C:
 - a. in 'Minimum upper level setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', amend the minimum upper level setback from 6m to 4.5m for properties at 188 to 198 Burnley Street; and
 - b. in 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', add a requirement for a 3m landscape setback for properties at 188 to 198 Burnley Street.
 - (vi) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks – proposed DDO44 Precinct 4 Bridge Road East South in Interface F:

- a. in 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', for heritage buildings at 188-198 Burnley Street & 21 & 23 Neptune Street, apply a 2m landscape setback to non-heritage buildings i.e. other buildings;
 - b. include in 'Minimum upper level setbacks' under 'Preferred Requirement', for properties at 188-198 Burnley Street & 21 & 23 Neptune Street, increase the minimum upper level setback from 4.5m preferred to 6m preferred for heritage buildings to ensure upper level development is recessive and more appropriately responds to the low rise residential interface on Neptune Street;
- (vii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO46 Precinct 1 Victoria Street West in Interface I:
- a. in 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the eastern boundary of 1-11 Hoddle Street, Richmond to provide for increased pedestrian access.
- (viii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO46 Precinct 1 Victoria Street West in Interface E:
- a. in 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback to the Ferguson Street frontage of 253-257 Victoria Street.
- (ix) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO48 Precinct 3 North Richmond Station in Interface I:
- a. in 'Maximum and minimum side/rear wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m setback along Little Hoddle Street to the eastern boundary of 15-25 Hoddle Street, 6-8 Elizabeth Street and 35-81 Hoddle Street and to the western boundary of 28-30 Regent Street, 31-33 Little Hoddle Street and 5 Elizabeth Street.
- (x) Map 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO48 Precinct 3 North Richmond Station:
- a. apply a 2m setback to the western side of 12 Shelley Street and 33 Elizabeth Street;
 - b. apply a 2m setback along Little Hoddle Street to the eastern boundary of 15-25 Hoddle Street, 6-8 Elizabeth Street and 35-81 Hoddle Street and western boundary of 28-30 Regent Street, 31-33 Little Hoddle Street and 5 Elizabeth Street;
- (xi) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO49 Precinct 4 Victoria Street East in Interface E:
- a. in 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement' apply a 2m setback to the western boundary of 136 Lennox Street;
- (xii) Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks in proposed DDO50 Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End in Interface D:
- a. in 'Maximum and minimum street wall setback' under 'Preferred Requirement', apply a 2m front setback to the Victoria Street frontage between Bond and Grosvenor Streets (551 to 585 Victoria Street).
- (xiii) Map 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO50 Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End:
- a. apply a 2m front setback to the Victoria Street frontage between Bond and Grosvenor Streets (551 to 585 Victoria Street);

- (xiv) Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in proposed DDO50 - Precinct 5 Victoria Street East End, apply mandatory heights to all properties on the south-side of Victoria Street, between Church and Johnson Streets, to protect sensitive residential interface to the south. (Noting Mandatory heights are proposed to apply to the Victorian terraces at 316-326 Victoria Street to protect their heritage significance.);
 - (d) request the Minister for Planning refer proposed Planning Scheme Amendment C291, implementing the permanent built form provisions for the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, to an Advisory Committee under Part 7 Section 151 of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*;
 - (e) determine that should the Minister for Planning decide not to proceed with an Advisory Committee, Council as the Planning Authority, apply to the Minister for Planning (Minister) under section 8A of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*, for 'authorisation' to prepare the Amendment;
 - (f) request the Minister for Planning to prepare, adopt and approve Amendment C290 to the *Yarra Planning Scheme*, in accordance with the Minister's powers under sections 8(1)(b) and section 20(4) of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* to extend the expiry dates for the two Design and Development Overlay schedules - DDO21 and DDO22 which apply to the Bridge Road and Victoria Street Activity Centres, on an interim basis for 12 months while the permanent provisions are formally considered;
 - (g) authorise officers to consult with the Minister, in accordance with sections 8(1)(b) and 20(4) of the Act, to assist the Minister to prepare, adopt and approve the Amendment to extend the interim provisions; and
 - (h) authorise the CEO to make any minor adjustments required to meet the intent of the resolution; and to:
 - (i) make any changes required to the Amendment documents, including the documents referred to in resolution (c), to amend Table 1: Street Wall Heights and Setbacks and Plan 1: Height and Interface Plan in DDO43, DDO44, DDO46, DDO48, DDO49 and DDO50 to provide for changes to upper level setbacks and the addition of landscape, rear and front setbacks on specified sites; and
 - (ii) make any changes required to the Amendment documents, including the documents referred to in resolution (c), to remove the 28m height limit to 36 Thomas Street Richmond, identify the land as the *Judd Street Car Park* and amend Plan 2 to align with Plan 1.
2. That Council intends to pursue a number of options for open space in the precinct, including the Judd St carpark, White Place and the Officeworks building in line with the Bridge Road Masterplan, and for the Council to make representations to the panel as to the possibility of green open space in these areas.

CARRIED

8.4 Brunswick Street - Part time tram lane and bicycle upgrades

Reference	D21/33744
Author	Simon Exon - Unit Manager Strategic Transport
Authoriser	Director Planning and Place Making

Councillor Landes returned to the meeting.

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 8.06pm

1. That Council note the report of officers regarding the proposed changes by the Department of Transport to some parts of Brunswick Street, Fitzroy in order to:
 - (a) improve tram priority in the morning peak;
 - (b) improve the throughput of trams along the street by reducing delays caused by vehicles on the tram tracks, and
 - (c) also provide safer bicycle lanes in key locations, and in particular install a protected bike lane at the intersection of Brunswick Street and Victoria Parade.
2. That Council note that to implement these changes the following is required to occur:
 - (a) introduce a morning peak right-turn ban southbound from Brunswick Street onto Gertrude Street;
 - (b) the removal of seven on-street carparking spaces (including three metered spaces), and
 - (c) the removal of the kerbside lane on the approach to the Victoria Parade intersection and install a ban of left-turns for motor vehicles into Victoria Parade.
3. That Council resolve to support the Department of Transport initiatives and authorise the CEO to write a letter of support to the Department to enable the changes to occur before 30 June 2021.

Public Submission

Jeremy Lawrence addressed Council on the matter.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Wade

Seconded: Councillor de Vietri

1. That Council note the report of officers regarding the proposed changes by the Department of Transport to some parts of Brunswick Street, Fitzroy in order to:
 - (a) improve tram priority in the morning peak;
 - (b) improve the throughput of trams along the street by reducing delays caused by vehicles on the tram tracks, and
 - (c) also provide safer bicycle lanes in key locations, and in particular install a protected bike lane at the intersection of Brunswick Street and Victoria Parade.
2. That Council note that to implement these changes the following is required to occur:
 - (a) introduce a morning peak right-turn ban southbound from Brunswick Street onto Gertrude Street;
 - (b) the removal of seven on-street carparking spaces (including three metered spaces), and
 - (c) the removal of the kerbside lane on the approach to the Victoria Parade intersection and install a ban of left-turns for motor vehicles into Victoria Parade.
3. That Council resolve to support the Department of Transport initiatives and authorise the CEO to write a letter of support to the Department to enable the changes to occur before 30 June 2021.

CARRIED

8.5 Road Management Plan Review 2021

Reference	D21/1165
Author	Bon Tee - Coordinator Asset Management
Authoriser	Director City Works and Assets

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 8.13pm

1. That Council:

- (a) note that Road Management Act 2004 and Road Management (General) Regulations 2016 specify the requirements for the review of Council's Road Management Plan and the process for adopting an amended Road Management Plan;
- (b) note that the required review of Council's Road Management Plan 2017-2021 has been undertaken as outlined in this report;
- (c) note that Council is required to give public notice of the outcomes of the review in the Victoria Government Gazette and a newspaper generally circulating in the area;
- (d) endorses the Road Management Plan Review Report 2021 to be advertised by such public notice;
- (e) approves the commencement of the process to amend its Road Management Plan and endorses the proposed Draft Road Management Plan 2021 to also be advertised in the same public notice;
- (f) note that, in accordance with sections 54(2) and 54(3) of the Road Management Act 2004 to amend the Road Management Plan, the public notice will state:
 - (i) the purpose and general significance of the proposed Road Management Plan;
 - (ii) that a copy of the proposed Road Management Plan can be inspected or obtained at the Richmond Town Hall Customer Service Centre and on Council's public website; and
 - (iii) that any person who is aggrieved by the proposed Road Management Plan may make a submission to the Yarra City Council within a period of 28 days after the day on which notice is given; and
- (g) note that following the required 28-day period, officers will provide a further report to Council on the submissions received and a proposed final Road Management Plan 2021 for adoption by Council.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Wade

Seconded: Councillor Stone

1. That Council:

- (a) note that Road Management Act 2004 and Road Management (General) Regulations 2016 specify the requirements for the review of Council's Road Management Plan and the process for adopting an amended Road Management Plan;
- (b) note that the required review of Council's Road Management Plan 2017-2021 has been undertaken as outlined in this report;
- (c) note that Council is required to give public notice of the outcomes of the review in the Victoria Government Gazette and a newspaper generally circulating in the area;
- (d) endorses the Road Management Plan Review Report 2021 to be advertised by such public notice;
- (e) approves the commencement of the process to amend its Road Management Plan and endorses the proposed Draft Road Management Plan 2021 to also be advertised in the same public notice;
- (f) note that, in accordance with sections 54(2) and 54(3) of the Road Management Act 2004 to amend the Road Management Plan, the public notice will state:
 - (i) the purpose and general significance of the proposed Road Management Plan;
 - (ii) that a copy of the proposed Road Management Plan can be inspected or obtained at the Richmond Town Hall Customer Service Centre and on Council's public website; and
 - (iii) that any person who is aggrieved by the proposed Road Management Plan may make a submission to the Yarra City Council within a period of 28 days after the day on which notice is given; and
- (g) note that following the required 28-day period, officers will provide a further report to Council on the submissions received and a proposed final Road Management Plan 2021 for adoption by Council.

CARRIED

8.6 Register of Public Roads

Reference D21/20583
Author Danny Millican - Coordinator Civil Engineering
Authoriser Director City Works and Assets

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 8.25pm

1. That Council:
 - (a) notes that officers have undertaken a high-level assessment of each individual 'road' listed on Council's existing Register of Public Roads;
 - (b) resolves that the 'roads' listed on the updated version of the Register of Public Roads presented in this report are *reasonably required for general public use* and therefore Council 'public roads'; and
 - (c) instructs officers to prepare a quarterly report to Council (if required) that presents any changes that require Council's formal decision on the 'public road' status of a 'road'.

REVISED OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

1. That Council:
 - (a) notes that officers have undertaken a high-level assessment of each individual 'road' listed on Council's existing Register of Public Roads;
 - (b) resolves that the 'roads' listed on the updated version of the Register of Public Roads presented in this report are reasonably required for general public use and therefore Council 'public roads';
 - (c) instructs officers to prepare a quarterly report to Council (if required) that presents any changes that require Council's formal decision on the 'public road' status of a 'road'; and
 - (d) authorises the Director City Works and Assets to make minor changes to incorporate the names of roads that have been formally named by Council and correct historical anomalies and administrative errors.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Stone

Seconded: Councillor Crossland

1. That Council:
 - (a) notes that officers have undertaken a high-level assessment of each individual 'road' listed on Council's existing Register of Public Roads;
 - (b) resolves that the 'roads' listed on the updated version of the Register of Public Roads presented in this report are reasonably required for general public use and therefore Council 'public roads';
 - (c) instructs officers to prepare a quarterly report to Council (if required) that presents any changes that require Council's formal decision on the 'public road' status of a 'road'; and
 - (d) authorises the Director City Works and Assets to make minor changes to incorporate the names of roads that have been formally named by Council and correct historical anomalies and administrative errors.

CARRIED

8.7 Conclusion of Mayoral and Councillor Allowance Review

Reference D21/30586
Author Rhys Thomas - Senior Governance Advisor
Authoriser Group Manager Chief Executive's Office

RECOMMENDATION

Start time: 8.32pm

1. That Council set the Mayoral and Councillor allowances at the maximum permissible level, which, at the date of this meeting, is:
 - (a) a Mayoral allowance of \$88,918.38; and
 - (b) a Councillor allowance of \$28,738.28.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor de Vietri

Seconded: Councillor Nguyen

1. That Council set the Mayoral and Councillor allowances at the maximum permissible level, which, at the date of this meeting, is:
 - (a) a Mayoral allowance of \$88,918.38; and
 - (b) a Councillor allowance of \$28,738.28.

CARRIED

10. Petitions and joint letters

Nil

11. Questions without notice

11.1 Councillor Stone – Terms of Reference for Edinburgh Gardens Community Reference Group

Question:

When will the terms of reference for the Edinburgh Gardens Community Reference Group be presented to Council?

Response:

The Group Manager Chief Executive's Office provided a response.

11.2 Councillor Landes – Open Space at Annette's Place

Question:

A resident would like to know about the land believed to be owned by the Salta Group at Annette's Place. What opportunities are there to convert some of this land into permanent open space?

Response:

The Director Planning and Place Making took the question on notice.

11.3 Councillor de Vietri – Pedestrian access to Gleadell Street

Question:

Can an update be provided on the negotiations of a pedestrian access to Gleadell Street via Palmer Street?

Response:

The Director Planning and Place Making provided a response.

12. Delegates' reports

12.1 Councillor Stone - Friends of Baucau Inc.

Start time: 8.43pm

Committee	Friends of Baucau Inc.
Appointed Councillors	Cr Amanda Stone
Date of Council Meeting	20 th April 2021
Date of Report	20 th April 2021
Report Author	Cr Amanda Stone

DELEGATES REPORT

Friends of Baucau Inc. continued to meet monthly during 2020 and into 2021, online - with one advantage being that it was possible to include Regina De Sousa, President, Women's Association for Development in Baucau and Head of NGO Relationships in Baucau Municipality in one of our meetings. Regina works closely with Friends of Baucau on Women's Empowerment projects and visited Melbourne in 2020 just as the pandemic struck.

Friends of Baucau supports and partly funds programs in Baucau aimed at Women's Empowerment, Education (through scholarships) and Sustainable Livelihoods through permaculture.

During 2020 some of these projects slowed down. Whilst COVID 19 didn't reach Timor Leste until much later than many other countries, there was an increase in community transmitted COVID19 cases early 2021, notably in Dili. This new stage in the pandemic has been attributed to border (with Indonesia) crossings. Baucau (like several other provinces) was in hard lockdown until 29 March.

Friends of Baucau responded to a call for assistance to Baucau in late 2020 to provide PPE – masks, soap, sanitiser - and water to workers in the District of Baucau. These were essential because the fear of moving around without PPE was restricting movement of government workers who provided support and direct services, as well as agricultural workers taking produce to and from markets, and threatening food supply and other basics.

The modest donation was welcomed and made a difference.

Timor Leste has unfortunately experienced an additional national disaster with the impact of Cyclone Seroja which hit Timor-Leste on the 4th and 5th April. Torrential rains and floods have affected all 13 municipalities, caused more than 40 deaths, and over 25,000 affected households with over 4,000 houses destroyed across the country, many thousand temporary displaced people, with 43 evacuation facilities in Dili. It has injured many and caused major destruction of homes, businesses, infrastructure and roads in Baucau. Coupled with the growing COVID-19 cases and reach, the nation is reeling in a twin crisis.



Destroyed house, Baucau District, 4th April 2021



This was the site of a house, now gone and replaced by rocks brought in by the flood.

Friends of Baucau has established a fundraising page to raise money to support our friends in Baucau in their recovery efforts. This fundraising effort is on top of the regular fundraising to support the programs supported each year.

<https://www.givenow.com.au/baucaufloodemergency>

Fundraising was necessarily suspended during 2020 apart from the occasional sales of coffee. However, Friends of Baucau has turned its mind to a fundraising plan for 2021 and onwards.

Meetings resume this week at the Edinburgh Gardens Meeting Room, generously donated by Yarra Council.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Councillor Stone

Seconded: Councillor Crossland

1. That Council:

- (a) note this Delegates Report; and
- (b) encourage donations to the Friends of Baucau campaign to support Baucau in the aftermath of Cyclone Seroja.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

13. General Business

Nil

Conclusion

The meeting concluded at 8.48pm.

Confirmed Tuesday 4 May 2021

Mayor

