

Summary of individual submissions

Amendment C269 – Rewrite of Local Policies

The following table provides a summary of the individual submissions received to Amendment C269.

To comply with the requirements of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 and Privacy and Data Protection Act 2014 (PDP Act) Council has removed all personal information regarding a submitter (including their name) from the table below because this table will be published online as part of the Council report. Submitters can contact a strategic planning officer to find out their particular submission number if necessary.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
1	Community member	<p><u>Economic Development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can't see covered in C269 but is an ongoing concern – number of retail street level premises which remain empty and are in derelict conditions – including extensive litter, graffiti, post bills attached, broken windows, dirty and filthy conditions. • Appears to be no current requirement for commercial landowners to maintain their premises in a fit state when unoccupied for periods of time. • Creates huge impact on neighbouring owners, tenants and residents. • How can this be incorporated to ensure responsibility on owners and developers for the up keep of their buildings? Needs to be incorporated into planning and building controls.
2	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blocks of flats continually being built- now resemble a ghetto. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests a need to increase frequency of trams with all these extra thousands of people. More trams will keep people moving.
3	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeks mandated height limits on Nicholson Street. Recommends mandated limits should not enable a single storey heritage building to be subject to an application of that upper limit. • Seeks Council's rectification of contradictions between provision in the planning scheme affecting adjoining buildings/street frontages in Nicholson Street.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing Clause 21.05-2 – developments within an activity centre should be no more than 5-6 storeys whereas Clause 32.09-10 – height limit is 9 metres to a maximum of 2 storeys. This conflict must be resolved in C269. Mandated height limits must apply to new developments in Nicholson Street, including front and rear setbacks, overall height, mandated transition in heights between adjacent sites with conflicting/duplicate zoning.
4	Community member	<p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to Cremorne. Efficient and accessible public transport infrastructure plan (ie additional railway station) is needed for planning for this precinct. Cremorne workers/residents need more efficient direct access to this fast growing precinct. Attended earlier public forum and made specific suggestion to investigating the re-establishing of the Cremorne Railway Station at the intersection of the southern side of the existing railway bridge crossing and Balmain Street Cremorne. Obviously State Government will need to be lobbied by Council to activate and fund this new station proposal – hence the necessity for Council to specifically investigate and highlight this initiative in its future Infrastructure Planning Policy. Appears to be Vic Rail owned adjoining land- to assist Govt funding a joint Council and State Govt agreement could be reached to sell/lease air rights directly above and adjoining the site (identified in the submission). C269 – no reference about investigating this much needed infrastructure and yet you refer/support Epworth Hospital, ACU, St Vincents Hospital Precincts? Why hasn't this significant growth precinct been highlighted in the Strategic Framework Plan as a major current/future employment precinct?
5	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Safe Injection Room</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since the Safe Injection Room was introduced, drug dealing and "shooting up" has increased and no longer feel safe in the neighbourhood. Would like a clear statement of the policing policies in the area around the facility. It is not sufficient to be told that clean-ups of syringes have increased – this only acknowledges that there are more needles in the streets.
6	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Questions how the north side of Swan St between Burnley and Stawell St has had approvals for such greater height limits as per 439-441 Swan St. Questions why 10-storeys was approved on such a small footprint.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given that there is a narrow laneway between that building and the residential buildings behind, the scale between 3 storey residential buildings and a 10-storey office building is ridiculous. Last time there was opportunity for input was in the draft Swan St plan some years ago –languished with no attention from council. Now we are seeing the 5 storey proposed height then suggested, doubled. The south side of Swan St was the proposed higher development space around the train station. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was proposed for 7 storeys when we were approached for input. Accepts greater development along there but notes loss of solar access in Madden Grove if 14 storeys is approved.
7	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres AND Built Environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design AND Height/built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes the current Planning Scheme contains little specific height limits, but rather a series of suggested heights which at times are in conflict with the vision of protecting heritage areas. Notes that existing Clause 21.05-2 currently indicates development in an activity centre should generally be no more than 5-6 storeys. The conflict – Nicholson Street North is included in an HO. However, the west side of Nicholson Street Carlton North is also zoned C1z and I understand in an activity centre which allows for much higher buildings to be constructed in what is a heritage area with potential to dominate height wise the heritage homes behind any such development. Submits that height limits (maximums) should be included in the amendments to the Planning Scheme for any new development on the west side of Nicholson Street Carlton North and at a reduced level that allows transition in height between commercial buildings in Nicholson Street and heritage home behind. Maximum number should be no more than 3 storeys.
8	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Carlton North. Return the rights to the people and all the Rate Payers in Yarra rather than to Developers and reduce the over balanced focus on money. Eg. Developers wanting to build a 7 storey apartment block – how does that enhance the character and heritage of the city? Be more congruent with character and heritage by ensuring all street works and roadworks are done in heritage style rather than a mix of non heritage in one street then heritage in another. Appalling to live in a street such as Station Street with such a lack of character and heritage. Another example of reduction in character and heritage – speed humps and gutter that are not heritage style. Concerted effort to stop, restrict, reduce the continually increasing non residential traffic moving or ‘rat running’ through local streets. This amount of traffic through the local streets was never the character of for example Carlton North.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
9	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Believes that Council will need to tackle development going into the future with a multi-layered approach. Be prepared for more community consultation, listen to what they need and continue to support. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests C269 to consider providing more pocket parks for those living in high-rise developments. Use these spaces as venues for small neighbourhood concerts (Brisbane Festival example).
10	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need to offer an integrated approach to planning. We see Zones being changed from Warehousing Zone 3 to Commercial 1 or 2. This has resulted in medium density dwellings built. However, there have been inadequate street parking to sustain these developments. There is a need for 3-bedroom apartment units to be built with a 2-carspace and the 1 or 2-bedroom units with at least one car space. Needs to be a proportionate amount of Visitor Car spaces on-site too – frees up street parking. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Graffiti</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Graffiti is making Yarra look like ghettos. Needs to be cleaned ASAP to discourage tagging. Especially in skate parks, public parks and toilets. Makes these places feel un-safe. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> With the growth in population, there is a need for the East-West Link. It is a liveable and clean strategy. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Medium density housing needs greenery too. Recommends this is a mandatory part of the new design. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is too much densification of housing already and no further need for more.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
11	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perplexed that C269 does not protect the integrity of the inner suburbs such as North Carlton. • Thoughtful considerations need to be made for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Height limits for new buildings in Nicholson Street. - Height limit for the street wall in Nicholson Street. - How far a building in Nicholson Street should be set back above the street wall and from neighbouring properties at the rear. • Requests a statement that limits the height of new buildings and alterations to existing buildings, especially in Nicholson Street North Carlton, so to protect the heritage of North Carlton (HO326). • Discrepancy at Clause 32.09-10 (NRZ) and Clause 21.05-2 (reference to 5-6 storeys). Unacceptable as the 5-6 storeys does not protect the heritage of North Carlton which it is supposed to. Nicholson Street included in HO and at the same time zoned C1Z. This creates a conflict in that commercial buildings can be constructed in Nicholson Street whilst at the same time it is included in a HO. • Fabric of North Carlton is its heritage. History of North Carlton is its value. • Tall buildings are out of place from all angles in North Carlton. • Strongly encourage Council to incorporate height limits in Nicholson Street that protect the heritage homes of North Carlton.
12	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comments relate to verandahs. • Member of Heritage Advisory Committee (HAC) – contributed input into the draft revised Heritage policy. • Feels that matter of street verandahs has not received sufficient attention in the draft so far. • Notes that many heritage street verandahs are attached to former small commercial buildings and shops which are now categorised as residences. This scenario needs to be addressed. Particularly important are corner verandahs in North Carlton and elsewhere. • Crucial that Yarra has a positive policy on the reinstatement of original verandahs to new developments behind original heritage buildings. • Also comments on the reconstruction of street fences. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to proposed Clause 15.03-1L Heritage.</p>
13	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the inclusion of Berry/Ramsden Street, Clifton Hill and Spensley Street, Clifton Hill within the Local Activity Centres. Reasons:

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Queens Parade is the primary shopping area for the residents of this area. Queens Parade is suffering from the general decline in retail activity and needs to be significantly supported. Promoting retail in the minor areas of Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Street undermines Queens Parade. - The Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Street area is well within a 20-minute walk to Queens Parade and so Queens Parade can provide local convenience for the local residents. - The area surrounding the parts of Ramsden and Spensley Streets is predominantly residential in nature and the entire area should be treated and viewed as a residential area not as a retail area. The nominal number of shops it contains can continue to operate and serve the residents due to their planning zoning and even without mixed use/commercial zoning with the benefit of non-conforming use protection. Retail should not be overtly encouraged in such a residential area. - The Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Street areas, due to their almost entirely residential nature, are not appropriate for retail activities which reduce the amenity of the local area. Any increase in retail (particularly in evening activity) would risk reducing local residential amenity by creating noise and car parking issues. - A number of the buildings identified by the Local Activity Centre Plans have already been converted to residential use, further emphasising the residential nature of the area and the lack of any necessity to expand retail activity. - There are other shops in this area which are not identified in the Local Activity Centre Plans relating to Ramsden and Spensley Streets. These shops are in Spensley Street further away from the station and in Roseneath Street and Noone Street and could be adversely impacted by any promotion of the retail premises in the Ramsden and Spensley Streets areas identified in the Local Activity Centre Plans • The language in the Strategies section is wide and its unfettered application to the Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Streets Local Activity Centres is inappropriate and creates ambiguity. • Suggests if Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Streets are retained within the Local Activity Centres, the language in the Strategies section needs to be clarified and amended so that it doesn't permit wide application of inappropriate activities in this residential area. • Suggests amendments to the Strategies section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The wording should make it clear that the only activity encouraged and supported in the Berry/Ramsden and Spensley Streets Local Activity Centres is local convenience retail. - Night-time economic activities and workplace offers should not be encouraged/promoted. - Given the residential nature of the area, any housing or commercial building proposals should be considered from a residential perspective, not a commercial perspective.
14	Government agency (Department of Transport)	<u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Activity Centres in Clause 11.03, with tram corridors, should include the policy objective that is included in the Swan Street Activity Centre Plan: 'to ensure that vehicular access to development does not adversely impact the level of service, efficiency, and

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p>safety of the arterial and tram network. Left in left out vehicle access should be considered where access is proposed along the Principal Public Transport Network’.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also submitted that left in left out access be encouraged if a crossing is required along a tram corridor. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clause 2.03 – may be of benefit to reference the PPTN – Principle Public transport Network. Clause 18.02-1 – may be of benefit to reference the Principle Bicycle Network (<i>PBN</i>), Bicycle Priority Routes (<i>BPR</i>) and Strategic Cycling Corridors (<i>SCCs</i>).
15	Government agency (<i>EPA</i>)	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Interface and amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPA generally supports C269. However recommends that Council consider specific comments in relation to the Guideline – Managing Noise Impacts in Urban Development. Clauses 11.03-1 (Activity Centres) and Clause 11.03-6L (Victoria Street East Precinct) have identified former industrial precincts and/or sites for potential future residential and/or open spaces units. EPA reminds Council of the risk of potential contamination from former industrial sites. Importance of Ministerial Direction No. 1 – Potentially Contaminated Land. Ensuring an adequate level of environmental assessment is undertaken as early in the planning process is crucial. Clause 13.07-1L (Interfaces and amenity) – supports this clause and its objectives. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> References to SEPP-N1 and SEPP-N2 – EPA notes that Government intends that by 1 July 2021, the <i>Environment Protection Act 1970</i> will be replaced by the <i>Environment Protection Act 2017</i> as mended by the <i>Environment Protection (Amendment Act) 2018</i>. Guideline – Managing Noise Impacts from Urban Development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes expected in 2021 to the Environment Protection Act 1070 – it is recommended that Council review and revise their guideline which the new legislation and related changes take effect. Concerns with the wording ‘design targets’. Wording such as ‘highest (guideline levels’ may be more appropriate. Guidelines could be more explicit in promoting building siting and internal lay-out as the primary considerations to minimise or otherwise reduce noise exposure. Provision of enhanced acoustic insulation of the façade should then be considered. Instead of referencing the NSW Development Near Rail Corridors and Busy Roads – interim Guideline – should refer to the planning practice note PPN83. Section 5.4 – not clear that a masking system based on L90 will mask low frequency bass music noise. Noise masking is not appropriate in all situations. Section 6.1.4 – adopting this guidelines would mean that new outdoor patron areas could cause online sleep disruption for existing residences living nearby. Section 7.1.2 – should specify where this noise is to be assessed - Guideline design level is considered too high. Bibliography should include the relevant VCAT cases and references for terms acoustic rating curves.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
16	Organisation (CASBE)	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Best Practice Definition – Recommends that the <i>Strategies</i> section of the policy be rewritten from the current exhibited version to a revised version to reference best practice in the body of the policy. Remove the reference to ‘minimising environmental impacts’. • Background Documents – Recommends that the publication date for BESS be removed. • Sunset Clause – Strongly recommends that the sunset clause wording change from ‘comparable’ back to ‘equivalent’. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to proposed Clause 15.02-1L Environmentally sustainable development.</p>
17	Local council (Moreland City Council)	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly suggests that C269 be modified to reflect CASBE resolved translated Clause 15.02 – Environmentally Sustainable Design to maintain a consistent framework within the planning system across Victoria. CASBE resolved translated Clause 15.02 attached. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to proposed Clause 15.02-1L Environmentally sustainable development.</p>
18	Community member	<p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subject to VPP requirements for formatting policies, a clear, non-repetitive numbering system is required so that statements may be easily identified. • Many strategies are to be implemented by zones, overlays and particular provisions elsewhere in the planning scheme – the link between strategies and the means of implementation is not always clear (ie SBO has been applied to implement the first 3 strategies of Clause 13.03-1L Flood Management). Rather than the generic term ‘flood resistance measures’ the objective could name the overlay. • The text of the amended policies often does not match the intent of the re-write as set out in the Explanatory Report. Ie there is little consistency in the overshadowing increase tests for public open space whereas the Explanatory Report says the test will be the winter solstice. <p><u>Other Zoning</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The policy updates should not introduce any ‘de-facto rezoning’, such as treating land that is zoned Mixed Use but located within an activity centre boundary, the same way as land zoned Commercial. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
19	Community group (Streets Alive)	<p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommends the amendment should eliminate minimum car parking requirements and replace them with maximum car parking limits of 1 parking bay per 100m² of building floor area. • Problems with car parking minimums: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Increased peak hour congestion – Increased cost of housing – Increased cost of goods and services – Increased carbon emissions – Hazards for pedestrians and cyclists. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission outlines support for specific clauses and statements within clauses. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clause 02.03 Strategic directions – increasing the street tree canopy – target could be higher e.g. 100% increase by 2040 instead of only a 25% increase.
20	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extremely concerned with the large apartment developments which are being built in Richmond. • Specific reference to the Lily Garden Development at 171 Buckingham Street – greatly impacted on the neighbourhood. • We do not want high rise buildings in our residential streets.
21	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to North Fitzroy. • Need to take on a combative stance so that you do not become overshadowed or crowded out by developers or large corporations who will ultimately ruin the charm and historic features of the area that are the very things that attract new residents. • It is about time that Yarra Council comes up with a negotiated strategic plan that bans any building over 4-5 storeys high.
22	Community member	<p><u>Activity centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Clifton Hill/Fitzroy area. Highlights character, charm, historical significance. • Allowing multi storey development in residential areas and along local shopping strip frontages will forever impact the historical significance of the area.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local shopping strip precincts, particularly Queens Parade, are a surviving example of the visual feast of heritage listed buildings of 1800's and early 1900's and must be retained. • 189 Queens Parade and Viola Cottage at 193 Queens Parade, Clifton Hill are the oldest homes in this area and should be protected/ not affected from overshadowing by multi storey development above and behind them. • No multi storey developers permitted in our shopping strips. Can't understand Council permitting 4 storey development between Gold and Turnbull Streets, Clifton Hill – particularly due to the historical significance of the buildings affected. • Community is the heart, the local shopping village is the hub. Help up protect that. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see all verandahs at the front of the shops reinstated. • Laneways used for waste collection not access points for multistorey, multi traffic. Use of laneways for local residents only and should be protected and maintained int the future rather than monopolised by developers to the detriment of local residents. • Our beautiful parks and gardens are extensively utilised by the residents. Concerned about overshadowing by multistorey developments. Privileged to see unobstructed views and blue skies without impediment and should be able to continue to enjoy. • Apartments and high-rise buildings adjoining residential homes, result in high density living, overcrowding, increased traffic implications and overshadowing. • Should be experience our history visually. Community does not want apartments as their neighbours. • Overshadowing is a real problem impacting residents caused by high rise development. • Seek Council's support in protecting our history.
23	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres AND Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Height/built form AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to 15.01-2L Building design – “ensure the height of new buildings respond to the height of adjoining development, unless indicated otherwise in the planning scheme. Ensure that new development reflects the predominant low-rise character of the area except in the areas below: ...” • Notes that all land on the corners of Scotchmer Street and St George's Road is classified as an activity centre. Adamantly and strenuously object to development being permitted that is in contrast to the low rise adjoining properties in that precinct where all constructions are between 1 and 4 storeys. • Wording of this amendment gives no guidelines or constraints. New development in these activity centres should not be permitted to exceed 4 storeys and that needs to be states within this policy. • Suggested changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prescriptive height limits applied to these exceptions ie limit of 4 storeys and/or 16 metres above road level.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prescriptive requirement for designated open/green space ie 50% of land area including provision for passive surveillance in the design. - Alignment of this policy intent with the statement “ensure shopping centres or internalised mall developments provide active street frontages, a pedestrian interface and integrate with their surrounds in terms of design, layout and movement” in Clause 17.02-1L. - Alignment and reference to “Assessing New Development in an Historic Context” – page 33-35 of Residential Heritage Policy Review, Context. - Alignment with heritage policy. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unable to locate any reference to the requirement of development to take into consideration as part of their proposed development/operations – the use of residential streets to conduct businesses for activities such as delivery truck routes. • Suggested change: Use of residential streets is prohibited by businesses for activities such as delivery truck routes. As per Clause 13.07-1L Interfaces and amenity - a condition or information provided by the developer/landowner for a proposed development that incorporates retail, needs to address/how it will be managed so that it doesn't affect or impact residents located in the surrounding residential area.
24	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not believe that 14 storeys is mid-rise. Mid-rise should be six storeys. 14 storeys might be ok in some places, not in shopping strips like Queens Parade or even the Spensley Street corner shops.
25	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resident of City of Darebin. • Because Heidelberg Road is the border that delineates Darebin and Yarra, C269 is likely to have a significant influence on what happens on the Darebin side. • Concerned about overdevelopment. • Fairfield Alphington area has a significant history – important that this history be preserved for future generations to enjoy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower density developments should be considered near this heritage precinct. - Future development should not overshadow our parks and waterways. - Future developments should not form a shadow block over the residences in Park Crescent. - Future developments should be reduced in their height and density – restrict to four stories. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to City of Yarra Heritage Gaps: An Overview (Graeme Butler 2004) – suggested that there were significant trees and sites in Yarra Bend Park which should have been protected in a heritage overlay. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to 16.01-2L Location of residential development – strategic housing framework plan – Fairfield and Alphington. Strategic housing framework with its coding of minimal, incremental, moderate, high change and non-residential/uncategorised does not give us an idea of the height and density of future developments. Strategic plan for Fairfield and Alphington: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> entire south side of Heidelberg Road from Austin St through to Panther Place is coded non-residential/uncategorised – probably commercial development. Impact on Park Crescent (minimal change area and eastern side of heritage listed Fairfield Park). Area coded blue on the south east corner of Fairfield Park (proposed Porta Development). Coded moderate change. Reference to 2020 proposal. <p><u>Transport AND Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Main commuter trails need to be widened and improved to cater for a greater population. Buildings need to be properly set back from pathways along the Yarra River and Parklands to ensure safe and unimpeded access for pedestrians and cyclists. Supports planning policy that would ensure developments achieve carbon neutrality.
26	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to see a reduction in any further density of housing. Once the current approved high rise dwellings have been built in Queens Parade and Gasworks sites, the liveability of surrounding areas will be adversely affected. Area cannot cope with any more. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current usage of Edinburgh Gardens is an example of overuse of outdoor areas. Need an alcohol free Edinburgh Gardens for this summer. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retention of heritage areas for future generations is important – reference to Alfred Crescent (relatively untouched) and maintaining Queens Parade shops. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Separation of bikes and pedestrians needs attention. Pedestrians have become the losers as bikes fly by on joint paths along Park Street and in gardens and parks. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Waste</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Applauds the recycling centre in Ramsay Street and hard rubbish and green waste collections made easily accessible to residents. Waste associated with developments needs to remain a focus. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
27	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appreciate the Queens Parade shopping strip – would love to see more diverse shops along Queens Parade. Not high rise. Beautiful Plan trees and mostly single storey buildings. I do not want my community dominated and overshadowed by huge rectangular boxes. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stop demolishing buildings with heritage features. These buildings must be saved and not just as a façade. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space AND</i></p> <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green spaces must be maintained and enhanced, significant trees must be protected. Please leave Yarra River bend and Merri Creek areas intact. Maintain these areas for walkers, cyclists, families to enjoy. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
28	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loves the local schools of North Fitzroy, Merri, Fitzroy High and the shopping strip. The village feel of shopping locally. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There could be more park areas. Not enough trees in Yarra – particularly Fitzroy and Collingwood. Too few green spaces – something that became very apparent during this pandemic for those living there. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND ESD AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loves the Victorian charm of old buildings Loves the landmarks especially the Pinnacle and Fire Station. Loves the distinctive breathable laneways – distinctive part of our heritage. The application of sustainability with the Climate Change Emergency – using and restoring rather than creating high-rising accommodation and businesses like vertical cruise ships. Reference to cramped vertical developments in Brunswick. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
29	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This Amendment will seriously degrade the liveability of many neighbourhoods in Yarra. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Economic Development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As the current plight of the CBD shows - over development leaves urban environments vulnerable to any economic downturn, resulting in high vacancy, poor maintenance and long-term urban decay.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
30	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Height limits are critical to protect the visual appeal of our shopping strips. Oversized developments dwarf the existing character. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some of the newer developments which are not ugly have height setbacks, a variety of finishes and can add to the streetscape. I am not anti-high rise and believe that good design can co-exist with period architecture and complement neighbourhoods. Yarra needs guidelines to protect what we have. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
31	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We need diversity of shops in our 20 minute neighbourhoods – they are our social hub. 8-14 storeys above shops is too high. Shops need to maintain sufficient floor space to run their business and direct access to rear laneways for deliveries. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Heritage AND Building design AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Importance of laneways – love the heritage views from these laneways – those views need protecting. The blue skyline above shops and residences for their fabulous heritage features, including chimneys need protection. Importance of verandahs on shopfronts – heritage value, weather protection, energy saving. Green space is being reduced in new developments/ house extensions – there should be a larger percentage of green space requirement. Surprised that only about 16 landmarks are included when our suburbs have so many more. And where is their protection other than skyline. Submission includes suggestions of additional landmarks. <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Huge old gum trees should be registered. Merri Creek needs protecting – storm water rubbish grids to reduce the pollution.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What are the Objectives for Yarra? They need clarifying as to what outcome we want in this C269 Policy. Also a list of definitions for homeowners and buildings in plain English as is provided in council elsewhere.
32	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Long term height limits are important to preserve character and neighbourhood (example of Central Paris). Creates opportunity for shopping that is unique and creative rather than shopping centre malls that have not character. Maintaining heritage street views are vital to the lived experience of cities. Density is an issue – the gasworks site is an example of poor planning and too high density. Where will these people go if they need outdoor space. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks are important and Yarra should invest actively in more parks and spaces for people. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
33	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep us development free. No more high rise. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
34	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Don't allow tall buildings. Anywhere in Fitzroy North. Leave to remain as is. Keep the suburb as it is. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shopping strips – keep it to 3 storeys max and place behind existing facades please. Don't destroy façade of shops. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
35	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy lacks definitions of technical terms. There should be accompanying document – with well illustrated set of guidelines in plain English to support the new policy. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. Views from our streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. Reference to impact of multistorey nursing home and apartment block in Clifton Hill. Any more of these intrusive 9+ high rise in centres like Clifton Hill will remove charm/heritage. Low rise residential street do not benefit from nearby high rise. Strongly opposes the ruination and over densification. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Street verandahs should be reinstated.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
36	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8-14 storey development would greatly reduce the liveability and suburban character of the location in which I live. Already happening on the other side of Hoddle Street in Collingwood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
37	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> With support from Council, residents had a proposal for a 5 storey building knocked back by VCAT – this Amendment would allow considerably much higher development. These tall buildings create wind tunnels, too much shade, destroy existing neighbouring gardens and amenity. Destroy the area in which they are built. Minimal standards for the amenity of the residents of these new buildings – hard to see why Yarra is proposing this amendment. If you continue on this development path, Fitzroy will become as soulless and shadowed as the CBD. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Time to take account of Covid. Council would be better spending our rates on making Yarra a more attractive place to live and increasing population density through low level development that provides amenity for all.
38	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High-rise development is not appropriate for this area and not in keeping with the unique heritage architecture of this area. This area is of historical significance and should be preserved as much as possible with 2 storey limits on new development. High-rise buildings will block southerly airflow and prevent cooling/use of air conditioners/contribute to global warming. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
39	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<u>Housing AND Infrastructure</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Development contributions</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In recent years – there has been a significant increase in the density of population within Yarra and surrounding areas. This has placed a great strain on facilities. It is because we have these facilities that developers are applying to build high rise towers. They can see there is profit from spreading facilities wider, particularly if they are not required to make any contribution to amenity and open space. • So we move from a community of balance to one of diminished amenity per head of population.
40	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<u>Activity Centres AND Environmental and landscape values</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Queens Parade. • Approving development of 8-12 storey buildings along Queens Parade and throughout the 3068 area could have far-reaching consequences for liveability. • Concerned about increases in traffic, noise pollution and emissions, safety, overall liveability, also the effects of overdevelopment on plant and animal life. • Could have a negative impact on native life with forced migration upsetting the ecological balance. <u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
41	Community member	<u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to North Fitzroy. • Concerned about high rise building development, blocks of concrete reaching for the sky. So out of character with an inner city heritage area. • Strongly believe that high rise development is not necessary to achieve greater density. • Have heard that there will be high rise apartment building among the new developments on the APM Abbotsford site - totally unnecessary. • Height matters. • Housing growth does not have to be 10 or 12 or 14 storeys. • I have heard that Yarra Planning defines ‘moderate height’ as 14 storeys. I hope this is not true. 14 storeys is high. <u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about development outcome in Queens Parade (near McDonalds).

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about how a community like Yarra continues to feel like a community. And not like a high rise city, shadowed, windy and concreted, devoid of green except for parks in pockets around the municipality. Want to retain the feeling of a community – local shops, heights within strict limits according to designated change areas. Human scale that I really enjoy. Please do not allow it to be destroyed.
42	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the erosion of heritage buildings. Limit the height of new builds behind heritage buildings to 3 storeys. It is with dismay that I see how the North Fitzroy Village shopping precinct has changed and the low rise heritage neighbourhoods destroyed. Residents need protection for Yarra’s unique heritage buildings and atmosphere which is being part of this community. Deplore the plans to allow small purpose providing stores to be absorbed (pleased that the Piedmontes have to present alternative plans). <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Despite heritage overlays, heritage stock is being destroyed and views to historical buildings are being eroded. New developments should not be allowed to overshadow our parks or view to the skyline. Lack of height control on rear extension to residential buildings – please limit to 2 storeys. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests a written response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How does Council respond to concerns raised by community groups? Why aren’t the responses by community groups to various Council Strategies published online? How can ordinary members of the community access this information? Why aren’t those who request planning permits given access to heritage advisors before plans are submitted (ease of contact times and more efficient). Should be reasons for setting aside the heritage advice. How is it reviewed? Where demolition is proposed and supported by the applicants’ structural advisor, Council should obtain independent structural advice. What data is kept on demolitions? <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
43	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The recommended 8-14 storeys is too tall for Yarra. These developments come with shops that are expensive to lease and often never fit with the area. Will create over-congestion on the road and for pedestrians. Will lose the visual ambience of the area which is not in line with high-rises. High rises create insular living. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amount of space allocated for this type of high-rise is too generous. Whilst some development is required to accommodate population growth, no Yarra resident I’ve met wants the type of development you have in your plan. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
44	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In terms of its language – the plan is rather vast in its reach, over complex and with language and terminology that makes it difficult for a layperson to understand. Undefined terms and references to unknown clauses make it all the more difficult. Finds it disappointing that there appears to be no attempt in the Plan to learn from our Covid experiences in terms of increasing access to sunshine, trees, views and gardens in every immediate neighbourhood. Document should have been revisited. Concerned about Clauses with words that do not mandate an outcome. Clause 15-01-2L: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing...where appropriate Midrise development – support... Landscaping – encourage... If the desire is that clauses should not be prescriptive then an additional rider must be incorporated. Points system copying energy rating could be used.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mismatch between strategic directions and subclauses: ie Climate change clause (02.03) compared to Site coverage clause (15.01-2). • Missing items – Major difficulties facing residents in evaluating developments is misleading documentation by developers ie adding vegetation that is never intended by the developer to be added. Developers must face a penalty for this sleight of hand. • Suggests any finished development that does not match the original “pictures” that residents have responded to must pay an additional open space contribution equal to 50% of the land value of the development.
45	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If house dwellers on the edge of a residential area are treated with disdain by having apartments built right next to them of 6-8 storeys or more, it will not only devalue the Yarra ethos, but destroy it. • Any development that borders a residential area should have much sterner height and setback restrictions than those on a main road (perhaps three storeys if next to existing dwelling). • Proper double glaze, large water tanks, plenty of solar panels ought to be an absolute necessity in any new build, no exceptions. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
46	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Queens Parade, St Georges Road and Nicholson Street Village – types of small businesses in these areas need to be added to/diversified. Would like the number of new residential developments in these areas curtailed to first retain the spread of current businesses we have/allow for diversification. • Enhancement of the heritage nature of these three activity centres needs to be encouraged, including the reinstallation and restoration of verandahs. • Any new development should ensure that views from streets and lanes allow visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public laneways should not be sold and must be maintained and protected. • Any access to new residential developments should not impede access to the public laneways, nor to the businesses dependent on these laneways for receiving supplies.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would suggest that 20% minimum of affordable housing be provided in larger developments (rather than 10%). <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse to any developments that could be seen from the riversides or overshadow or obscure the views from the parks. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
47	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect our natural environment. Ensure we keep our open spaces for the use of our residents. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New development must reflect our heritage character in size, scale and good design. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking must be made available for residents so the elderly and disabled can park near their place of residence. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
48	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dismayed that there are further plans to include accommodation towers above shopping centres because already many of the vibrant, eclectic qualities of our heritage neighbourhood strips and villages have been lost and replaced, sterile ground levels. • Specific reference to St Georges Road, Fitzroy North – heritage and sustainable practice. • Tragic irony is developers are destroying the charm and liveability of our historical area – the very essence of why we choose to live in Yarra.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fears we are losing our unique heritage and liveability as inappropriate development encroaches. • Development failing to meet the most basic heritage and sustainability requirements as per Yarra policies. • Critical that heritage villages and neighbourhoods are protected. • Yarra needs to insist that developers provide liveable green space on site as they build (developers can't keep factoring in nearby gardens to compensate for an undersupply of green space in their developments). • References to specific new developments in the area and impact on the neighbourhood/heritage. • Photographic images provided in addition to written submission. • We need to retain, restore and adapt buildings. No real attempt to conserve and adapt industrial buildings. Impact of demolition – contributor to green house gases. • Minimal facades and unsympathetic buildings above, visible from most directions make a mockery of heritage policies/sustainability. • Must mandate meaningful sustainability standards – certified seven star energy rating is a joke. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing AND Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledges the need to accommodate a growing population and agrees that we need to provide social and affordable housing but it must be high quality and environmentally sustainable. • Yarra is already densely populated and meeting its fair share of increased housing. • Perhaps time to reconsider the benefits of developing middle ring Melbourne – reduce pressure on inner city already radiating heat from concrete buildings/infrastructure. • The term 'incremental change' combined with a belief that 'mid-rise' development from 5-14 storeys can be in keeping with character/heritage shopping strip is alarming. • Incremental change suggests a creeping encroachment on heritage. • Domination of out of character inappropriate 5-6 storey developments (Nicholson St, Reid St, Brunswick St) without sustainability. • In areas of minimal, incremental and moderate change – we must limit the height of buildings. • Recently learned 14 storeys has become the new mid-rise apartment block. Seems not too long ago it was 6-8 storeys. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Edinburgh Gardens – appreciates Yarra's investment in stormwater management.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appreciates the encouragement to place planter boxes on the streets and attractive native plantings around the neighbourhood. Edinburgh Gardens and Curtin Square – crowded. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Merri Creek – great to see improved bike riding paths and crossings – encouraging cyclists. Traffic calming works are much needed. Hopes that improvements continue. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mandatory heights and mandatory environmentally sustainable measures/conditions are needed. Need to do more than offset emissions – need to actively reduce them.
49	Community member	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity AND Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Climate change AND ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important that local government steps up to address the need for our local community to endorse policies that will assist in reducing emissions and addressing the effect of increased global warming on local residents. Impact of new buildings on the environment. Specific reference to a recent proposal on Heidelberg Road for multi-storey development. Negative impact of proposal on the environment and community. Necessary for sustainable, quality builds and capped height limits to avoid wind tunnelling. Images provided in submission to highlight impact of specific development – overshadowing to adjacent oval, existing trees which will be removed. Green canopy cover is often limited/loss of green space in multi-storey development. Images provided in submission. As part of the amendment – should be the case that council requires developers to provide substantial green spaces and appropriate plants including trees and understorey planting to replace the removal of existing trees and plants when demolition occurs. As part of the planning permit – developers should be required to facilitate green space plans to remediate building heat through tree canopy. There should be environmentally sustainable height limits, for example, no more than 4 or 5 storeys. Building heights taller than 4-6 storeys create too much overshadowing/wind tunnel effects. Inability of tree canopy to shade/keep buildings cool if the height limit exceeds the tree height.
50	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that this planning scheme does not go far enough to protect the heritage of the area.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to new development designed well and others that do not suit the local area/should never have been approved. Concerned that heritage section does not include objectives, strategies, definitions or guidelines – needs to be written in plain English. Views of our streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage building against the blue sky. Would be good if the sustainability section could encourage adaptive re-use of buildings. Wording used in the Landmarks section of the scheme should be inclusive rather than exclusive. Specific requests for additional landmarks. Landmarks should not be sold in this scheme – should be maintained and protected. New developments should not be able to overshadow green spaces and parks, they should be protected as should heritage skylines. <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity and trees are so important to me – especially the street trees which aren't protected by this scheme. Trees that I would like nominated under the planning scheme include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the avenue of plain trees on Gore street between Cecil and Kerr Streets the gum trees on the round about a the cnr Gore, George and Westgarth Streets The gum tree at the rear of 159 Leicester Street <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value the 'village' feel of our local shops. Mid-rise buildings put this at risk – impacts viability, reduced space, use conflicts and mid rise is up to 14 storeys. MAC along Brunswick Street has the potential to ruin this beautiful heritage space that should be protected by this Scheme. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy talks about a possible 10% minimum – this should be increased. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
51	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable Housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to see a huge increase in affordable, social and public housing, as homelessness and insecure housing is at the heart of so many societal problems. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
52	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We must not allow over development. Our heritage buildings are lost into feeble nods above doorways in tower block entrances. The buildings overshadow the terraces. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Our shopping strips are losing their quirky alternative vibe - with repetitive stores and limited places for grassroots arts or products. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
53 a and b	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to North Fitzroy. We must protect this precious gem of a neighbourhood from over development and exploitation from developers. Few places in Melbourne as untouched as this suburb is and this proposal is beyond acceptable standards for the area. Grossly overdeveloped plans which will destroy our heritage village forever. The design is just too big too high and too much - negative impact on surrounding neighbourhood. Must prevent high rise developments destroying the streetscape and preserve the Victorian essence of our village.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No multi storey developments in our shopping strips. Nothing higher than existing buildings. The streetscape is unique and it is essential we protect it. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
54	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values the small businesses that operate in our local neighbourhoods. Accept that there should be some residential development but not at the expense of the ongoing viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops. Street verandhas provide shade for pedestrians/better for the environment. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy lacks definitions of technical terms in relation to heritage. C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. Views from our streets and lanes ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. Make buildings more sustainable - high rise buildings are not. Encourage adaptive re-use of buildings. New developments need to ensure more open green space in their planning. Need to protect our laneways, especially the narrow ones, have them recognised as part of the public realm. High rise buildings impact our parks with overshadowing, removing views of our heritage skyline. Heritage skylines should be visible from our parks and open spaces. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> City of Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected in the exhibited C269 Changes <p><u>Other</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be an accompanying document with a set of well illustrated guidelines in plain English.
55	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values the village feel of the local shops. Values everything within a walking distance – utilised during the pandemic. Small diverse shops are the heart of North Fitzroy. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We don't want the wonderful streetscape of North Fitzroy and Clifton Hill destroyed by soulless, massive seven storey buildings. Don't need environmentally unfriendly residential developments of this scale. Heritage skyline needs to remain visible from our streetscapes, parks and open spaces. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
56	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wants to ensure Yarra remains historically wonderful and our modern and vibrant shopping strips continue to benefit us all. Please remember how difficult it would be to undo redevelopments.
57	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing business has created traffic congestion, laneway treated as a "private loading bay" and severe stress on roads and footpaths. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This overdevelopment does not respect the environment, heritage and Integrity of an historic area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
58	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra already is the second most densely populated LGA. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic is a major problem in Yarra, particularly where I live. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to protect the heritage and visual aesthetic of Yarra. What distinguishes many parts of Yarra (with the exception of Collingwood and Abbotsford) is our beautiful historical streets and suburbs remain largely in-tact, natural light rather than overshadowed. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Development contributions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is already insufficient infrastructure to manage the current residents. Before looking to Increase population further, Council should be developing programs and Infrastructure that adequately supports current residents. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
59	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	
60	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Huge multistorey buildings would crowd out the existing "human scale" housing, destroy the existing social fabric. Utterly inappropriate in this historic neighbourhood. Would hate to think of our simple low-rise neighbourhood being overshadowed and diminished by larger-scale buildings. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local parks are also at risk - thousands of additional apartment dwellers would overburden a community asset like Edinburgh Gardens.
61	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability AND Particular provisions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. Gaming - remove ability to approve any new gaming venues in Yarra. <p><u>Environmental Risk and Amenity</u> <i>Flood management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should not apply to outdoor additions such as decks or pergolas. Increasing red tape for these important minor additions is overzealous. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity - support residents in planting Indigenous plants in nature strips without requiring permits. Preface native planting over European plants in parks and gardens. Replace Introduced grass with Indigenous after works. Replace mulch with Indigenous wildflowers under trees. <p><u>Transport</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure new developments have electric car charging and secure e-bike charging. • Improve crossings of major roads for walking/cycling Including reducing speed limits along shopping strips. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage secondary housing in ‘minimal change’ areas such as single subdivisions or "granny flats" to support small scale increase in housing. • Ensure land use in ‘high change’ areas does not alter existing built green space use. • Developments should not fully cover site without allowing for open/green space at ground level. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to St Georges Road. • Improve pedestrian and cycling access around precinct including reduced speed limits. • Consider addition of green islands along Scotchmer to reduce and improve pedestrian safety.
62 a and b	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Scotchmer Street Fitzroy North. • High density shops can only afforded by retail chains and this is not the face of Yarra.
63	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Values village feel of our local shops. • Buildings of 10 storeys - under the proposed scheme this could be possible - would destroy the charm of Brunswick Street.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small diverse shops are the heart of the community. • Don't want the bulk of high residential developments above my local shops. • Don't think there should be more residential development in neighbourhood shopping areas. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Building design AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives. • Accepts that there should be some residential development but not at the expense of the ongoing viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops. • Make buildings more sustainable - high rise buildings are not. • Any new developments need to ensure more open green space in their planning. • There are more landmarks than the 16 nominated. • Need to protect our laneways, especially the narrow ones, have them recognised as part of the public realm. Laneways should be maintained and protected and not used for loading zones or commercial waste. • High rise buildings are impacting our parks with overshadowing, removing views of our heritage skyline. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected in C269. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed policy lacks definitions of technical terms. • Should be an accompanying document - set of plain English guide guidelines to support the new policy.
64	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • So vital to maintain the community atmosphere that is unique along the Queens Parade shopping strip. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Believe we can emphasise low heights to enhance existing heritage neighbourhoods. Our responsibility to protect historical buildings and areas for future generations. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extensive green spaces - being able to access areas that support bird and wildlife - must be maintained at all costs.
65	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. Submits that the village feel made it possible for local shops to deliver food and was a crucial part of getting through the pandemic. Submits that Yarra should acknowledge and enhance the culturally diverse community. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes the cultural and heritage value of the area. Yarra should be recorded, and significant sites publicly identified so people are aware of the history. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need for more green space. Submits that more density will deeply impact on the limited green space. Green space should have more than 5 hours of sunlight a day in winter.
66	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The heritage value of the sites associated with the sites/ services for the Aboriginal community (such as Charcoal Lane) should be acknowledged. The Aboriginal community should be invited to make a submission as to which places are significant to them. Leaders of community groups (associated with migrant groups) should be consulted as to what locations are significant to them and preserved or noted appropriately.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dire need for more green space. Green spaces must have more than 5 hours sunlight a day in winter. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local shops eg Queens Parade played a crucial part in servicing the community during Covid-19. Importance of small local shops. • Buildings in shopping strips should be limited to 3 storeys.
67 a, b and c	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laneways should be in the public realm. • Development should respect the heritage of the area especially the heights and overlooking. • Should be a 3 storey limit. Overlooking and bulk should be minimal. • Heritage of the area should be respected. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
68	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for increased residential development in the inner city, however there are hundreds of existing sites suitable for such development. • Would be inappropriate to allow blocks of 8 to 14 storeys to be plunked in existing heritage shopping strips and residential streets. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
69	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to protect the heritage and scale of Fitzroy North. Should not be overshadowed and visually impaired by surrounding it with high rise buildings.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Environmental Risk and Amenity</u> <i>Interfaces and Amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stop Edinburgh Gardens being used as a pub and nightclub. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
70	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council would be aware of the heritage value of the area around the Fairfield Boathouse. I'm wondering if Indigenous Australians have been spoken to about anything that impacts on these areas? • Should be firm height restrictions in this area due to overshadowing and aesthetics. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While there is imperative to create more housing, I submit that it should not be to the detriment to an important area such as this one.
71	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed height recommendations are offensive and will destroy the local village/heritage charm, attraction and character of our beloved neighbourhood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
72	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value the sense of community in North Fitzroy and do not want it lost by being overwhelmed by the sort of massive developments seen at the north end of Nicholson Street Brunswick. If the level of development proposed in the policy is allowed, all this amenity will be lost and the sense of neighbourhood and community too. Residential development is necessary but not on the scale proposed. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency, yet the kind of high-rise buildings the policy allows are environmentally unsustainable and will impact on the sustainability of existing buildings by blocking sunlight and solar power. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees, parks and gardens should be protected under the planning scheme. But this is not stated.
73	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When was the public going to be consulted, well now after it's drafted. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra Council has not updated or done this planning amendment and now intend to rush through an unfinished ambiguous proposal. C269 is not ready and absolutely needs redrafting now. C269 - Its presentation and its flagrant and ommissive sections allowing ambiguity and misinterpretation, failure to properly categorise and have clear strong message, preventing misinterpretation. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Heritage AND World heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to proposal at 1-9 Gertrude Street, Fitzroy. Laneways - public domain versus private - needs clarification in C269 - all lanes are owned by public and are assets of public not Council to sell. This area of Fitzroy is unique/Important as holding huge amount of regency inspired architecture. World heritage environs - clear heritage protection and absolute no go zones are not in force.
74	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please let me know the definitions of low-scale, low to mid-rise, mid-rise and taller built form. Can you also advise where I would find them in the Planning Scheme amendment.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
75	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We can't bring the character of a neighbourhood back, or can we create historical value and beauty. Preserve our history and support our people.
76	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to City of Darebin Concerned that high density development will detract from, and at worst destroy this unique part of Melbourne. Future development/schemes need to meet sustainability standards that will achieve carbon neutrality. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The strategic housing framework does not give any idea of the height and density of future developments. The height and density of developments adjacent Fairfield Park and Yarra Bend Park should be restricted to four storeys or less. <p><u>Infrastructure AND Transport</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paths within these Corridors are at peak. Increasing adjacent housing will exacerbate the problem. Buildings need to be properly set back from pathways for safety and accessibility. Developers shouldn't be allowed to "lock off" parts of the Fairfield Park or Yarra Bend Park or hinder access.
77	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future planning of the City of Yarra. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I ask that new buildings be no higher than 4-6 storeys.
78	Local council	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	(Port Phillip City Council)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary concern relates to the removal of the definition of 'best practice' from the policy and the impact that this decision would have on the translation of our local ESD policy into the PPF. Removing reference to 'minimising environmental impacts' clarifies the strategy. Recommends that the publication date for Bess be removed so that BESS is listed in a similar way to other tools referenced in the Policy Guidelines. Recommends that the sunset wording change from 'comparable' back to 'equivalent'.
79	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of definitions will lead to confusion and disagreement with future planning applications. "Midrise" and "Incremental change" will be particularly contentious. Having limits would assist to protect neighbourhood character. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To safeguard the viability of Nicholson Street LAC and other LAC's the repurposing of existing commercial/industrial building to housing should exclude shops on ground floor. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban Design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15.01-1L weather protection - include verandahs. 15.03.1L - there are no objective stated in this section. Landscape is not covered - the horizon, view to open space is not recognised.
80	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy North. Loves the 'village' feel of local shops. Thinks that allowing substantial expansion of the small shops to increase the residential accommodation above and behind would not work in the confined environment and is not needed when larger developments are occurring nearby (top end of Duddle Street and planned for Gasworks site etc). C269 should support a return of more diversity rather than enable a reduction in it. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Building design AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restoring more street verandahs should be encouraged in C269. Views from streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky - existing skyline of Queens Parade shops is one of them.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buildings should be more sustainable and high rise ones are not. Need to encourage adaptive re-use of buildings. • C269 should discourage the use of gas in housing developments and support solar panels and facilities for electric vehicles. • Green space is being reduced with new developments to date. New developments need to ensure more open green space in their planning. • Concerned that the landmark policy does not protect the Landmark, it only protects the view to it. There are many more landmarks - lists specific landmarks. • New development should not be allowed to overshadow our parks and open spaces in winter. Should be ensuring there is a minimum of five hours sunlight in winter time. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected in C269. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street trees, avenues of trees, native trees, culturally significant trees, trees in our parks should be registered and retained. • Water corridors should be managed and protected, including protection from Impinging development. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be more than 10% minimum of affordable housing. • Social housing should be Increased.
81	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While I understand it's important to have some parts of the areas increase in density these should be back away from the key shopping strip areas of Smith, Gertrude and Brunswick Streets. • Means that the increased heights should be back from streets so that that they cast no shadows and not in any heritage shopping strips area.
82	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We are increasingly seeing midrise and higher blocks of soulless flats being constructed, show no interest in or recognition of their surroundings. Height is always a problem. Increasing numbers of these oversized buildings inevitably results in an increase in cars and trucks. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks and recreation areas must be respected and maintained.
83	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to planning permit application - concerned about plans for the Piedemonte redevelopment. Please don't let it go ahead as plans currently stand. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
84	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy North. References concerns regarding specific planning applications/outcomes. Filled me with horror to be told that the Council would seriously consider allowing 8-14 storey developments on significant parts of our suburb, including our vital commercial precincts. Maximum height for new activity should be two storeys and all designs need to be complimentary to our Victorian housing. Suggests additional landmarks.
85	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra's declared Climate State of emergency is not reflected In C269 which is alarming. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All existing green spaces need to be retained. <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There should be more street and verge plantings of both plants and trees. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Old buildings should be retained and adapted wherever possible. New developments should never be higher than 4 storeys in total.
86	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Against multi storey developments in heritage areas. Specific reference to planning application no 19/0696. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
87	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value the 'village' feel of our local shops. Concerned about mid-rise buildings - Impact on viability of hops, reduced space, conflicts of use. Proposal is far too high and will dwarf the current impact of the heritage shops. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Heritage AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that the heritage section does not include objectives, strategies, definitions or guidelines - this needs to be written in plain English. Views of streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage building against the blue sky. Would be good if the sustainability section could encourage adaptive re-use of buildings. Include setback of occasional buildings to allow vegetation. Wording used in Landmarks section should be inclusive rather than exclusive. Recommends additional landmarks to be added. New development should not be able to overshadow green spaces and parks. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that the heritage section needs to have objectives, strategies, definitions or guidelines in plain English <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nominates specific trees to be protected under the planning scheme. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Possible 10% minimum should be increased. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More toilets in parks that are sympathetic to the existing visual aspects.
88	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I am making this submission to help ensure Yarra's liveability for the active and caring local community, together with the surrounding plants and wildlife; core to our liveability is our diverse and vibrant heritage and alternative shopping strips. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 needs to recognise and preserve this heritage, to include clear objectives on how to preserve the personality and feel of our shopping strips while increasing development, and preserving walkways and parks. Agree there needs to be residential development but not at the expense of community interaction, at the expense of light, view, corridors for wildlife, openness in the skies with shadowing. Is the plan ensuring there are green spaces, food gardens and trees within new development?

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
89	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevent high rise developments which are out of scale with existing heritage buildings. Restore the verandahs of 19th century shops. Recognise laneways as part of the public domain. Encourage the creative re-use of buildings. Encourage a diversity of shops in neighbourhood shopping strips to encourage local shopping, preferably on foot. <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain existing trees and plant more. Encourage nature strip gardening. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
90	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New development should be no higher than 5 storeys. The design of buildings should be in keeping with the existing feel of the area. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Must not lose the village feel of our local shopping strips. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
104	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design AND Urban design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to objecting to Piedimonte’s development - aware without guidelines, developers have sought to create massive mixed use developments that whilst in commercial zones immediately about low residential housing. Developers need further guidance to ensure we see appropriate developments. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important that developments are in harmony with village and local shopping precincts and developments equivalent to 9 residential levels is obscene. Thanks to the Council that they have actively rejected this type of development. Laneways are part of the Inner suburb fabric - request be developers to acquire and divert is not a good outcome. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pedestrians and cyclists are also at risk with inappropriate developments that do not provide for safety Islands, pedestrian crossings. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
92	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency and this is not reflected In C269. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design AND Urban Design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggest that buildings throughout Yarra be limited to 4 to 5 storeys exclusively. Need to promote massively Increased tree canopy and this is not going to happen with massive high-rise developments. Ours is a beautiful and almost homogenous Victorian streetscape - maintain. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
93	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design AND Heritage</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has some of the best Victorian heritage areas in the world - need to be protected. C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. Not interested in living in a neighbourhood where 7-14 storey high developments tower above me - no diversity and no character. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect the fine grain nature of our low rise shopping strips. Don't want developers to determine what my local shops will become. During the pandemic - enjoyed shopping locally - love the diversity. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. C269 is proposing changes that will alter the liveability and character of Melbourne and the City of Yarra LGA.
94	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Nicholson Street - experience with planning process for Moonlight Receptions. For Yarra to increase the height limit, goes against every principle I believed they held. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
95	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission about the Strategic Housing Framework Plan for Abbotsford. Question why the small section bound by Gipps St, Park St, Stanton St and the train line is considered Incremental Change. It is a small area bound by a Minimal Change Area - quiet mostly single dwelling blocks, and not high density. This should be reconsidered and the area changed to a Minimal Change Area to reflect this surrounds.
96	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <p>Don't Increase to 8-14 storeys in the low rise shopping strips. There's been enough damage with high rises along the Yarra.</p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
97	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to increasing low rise shopping strips to 8-14 storey height limits. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
98	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There need to be further guidelines within polices to reduce high-rise developments The proposed guidelines should address architectural standards. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
99	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There need to be further guidelines within polices to reduce high-rise developments Proposed guidelines should address the issues of privacy, overshadowing, retention of street character and establish lower building heights for new development.
100	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
101	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support high rise buildings in the heritage shopping precinct, Queens Parade.
102	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the proposed heights for Richmond. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
103	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Queens Parade and St Georges Road. The planning scheme needs to ensure that there is a diversity of small shops rather than a move to larger less diverse complexes. Does not support higher residential development (up to 7 storeys) above and behind the shops in historic streetscapes. There is enough capacity in the high change areas in precincts at the western and eastern ends of Queens Parade. <p><u>Built Environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra’s Victorian and early twentieth century residential and commercial buildings must be preserved. C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve, restore and enhance the heritage of Yarra. C269 should include controls to direct that all new buildings be carbon neutral and encourage adaptive re-use of existing buildings rather than demolition. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra’s declaration of a Climate State of Emergency should be reflected in C269. <p><u>Environment and landscape values AND Built Environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect and maintain green spaces and street, avenue and park trees. Significant trees – on heritage cultural or environmental grounds should be registered, protected and maintained.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should ensure that Yarra’s tree lined streets, parks, Merri Creek and Yarra River are preserved, maintained, and protected from overshadowing and overlooking by higher rise developments nearby. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendment C269 should in no way include provisions, or omit provisions, which will diminish the liveability or heritage of the municipality. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
104	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/ Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly objects to mid to high rise developments along Brunswick Street. Fears loss of heritage and community feel of the area. There are more practical issues like parking, traffic and public transport to consider. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
105	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Zoning</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to 463 Victoria Street, Abbotsford. Seeks permission from Council to allow flexibility for buildings and spaces to meet the changing market place, to improve the neighbourhood vibe. Proposes that suitable commercial spaces be rezoned residential to allow for residential use. Requests to permit 463 Victoria Street to be used as residential on the ground floor.
106	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Address is highlighted as a ‘moderate change area’. Concerned about impact on residential neighbours – visual scale, natural light obstruction, acoustic control. Highlights view corridor looking up Brunswick Street, Fitzroy (with alignment to the spire of St Peter’s cathedral). Raises concerns with 8-14 storey height on both sides of Brunswick Street, creating a tunnel view, limiting blue sky visible. Highlights an existing architectural language in place for Fitzroy where taller buildings are on the intersections of streets and lower scale buildings either side. Considers that new scheme destroys this rhythm. Suggests that the quality of retail tenancies is what needs attention rather than scale.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Upgrading of street landscaping will help soften and cool the street rather than overshadowing from buildings. Preservation of mature native and non native trees is imperative in Fitzroy. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies sustainable architecture as part of the character of Fitzroy – the reuse of buildings and adapting and upgrading to comply with current codes. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further consultation and dialogue is encouraged. Genuine robust communication has not been undertaken. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the outline of activity zones.
107	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy – Brunswick, Gertrude and Smith Streets. States that there needs to be further clarity on the types, scale and impact of developments. Has there been a sunlight study prepared to determine the impact of 8-14 storey high buildings on the high streets in Fitzroy, impact of traffic and parking, will an increase in development cause greater congestion? Does not support the proposed changes to the current planning regulations. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
108	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep all villages low rise with no residential apartments / multi storey buildings visible / close from the road. Keep heritage facades when new buildings are proposed (residential and commercial).

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
109	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Makes the following statement: “please don’t destroy the things which make the inner northern suburbs such a great place to live”. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
110	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asks that new apartment buildings should be restricted to 4-8 storeys high. Yarra should encourage developers to build apartments of a reasonable size so that residents can have a reasonable quality of life living in them. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
111	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage more medium density residential and commercial development. Does not think that high rises of 20 storeys plus is appropriate however development of up to 10 storeys on any size block within main roads is essential. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
112	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that future development respects and preserves the heritage of Yarra. So that development and heritage can co-exist, do not allow developments of more than 5 storeys in residential areas. <p><u>Other</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
113	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that there is significant over-development adjacent to heritage areas. 6-14 storeys is excessive in otherwise low rise shopping strips. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
114	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to character filled streets and traditional architecture of Brunswick Street and Smith Street, Fitzroy. Creating high rise boxes is not what Fitzroy is about. Allowing high rise that dwarf and reduce light to existing properties will destroy Fitzroy and there will be more traffic. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
115	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States that Yarra is a unique area in Melbourne – need to maintain its historical character. If one high rise after the next is erected, historic buildings bulldozed, we will lose something very special and it will never return. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
116	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposes over development of Yarra as proposed by C269. Opposes the proposal for 8-14 storey buildings – concerned that the character of the area will be diminished.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes buildings above 4 storeys. • Identifies the “ill effects” of high rise buildings: noise levels of building and construction, blocks views and light, compromises the character of the area – large buildings dominate the feeling of the neighbourhood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
117	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 does not clarify or properly address issues pertaining to heights or setbacks of buildings. • Specific reference to Rathdowne Village. • Planning laws must be imposed to allow sensible development and growth without compromising amenities or open space.
118	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Fitzroy North. • The height limits proposed are way too high. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walk down our maze of broad and narrow streets to see what we need to protect, including the many landmarks which we have a responsibility to maintain for future generation.
119	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests that it be made clear that the height of development along Heidelberg Road in the Commercial Zone that abuts the Neighbourhood Residential Zone is limited to a maximum of 5 storeys – no ambiguity. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve the garden suburban nature of Alphington and Fairfield. • Requests that it be explicit that within the Residential Zone, there is a cap of 2 storeys with an appropriate maximum height and maximum coverage of the block with built structures.
120	Community member	<p><u>Economic Development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on maintaining the arts culture.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More opportunities for green space to grow food and bring biodiversity back to our neighbourhood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
121	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disagrees with Stewart Street, Hoddle Street, Tanner Street and Wangaratta Street being included in the Swan Street Major Activity Centre. This area is heavily populated as residential and enjoys a residential community feel.
122	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with what Bridge Road, Richmond looks like – empty shops and cafes. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Safe injecting room</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not want to see another safe injecting room in the area. The one off Victoria Street should go. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
123	Community member	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has declared a Climate State of Emergency which is not reflected in the exhibited C269. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council should have greater controls over the sustainability of new buildings. High rise buildings are not sustainable. Need to encourage re-use of buildings to avoid demolition and save landfill and to re-vitalise neighbourhoods. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Fitzroy North. • Allowing substantial expansion of the small shops to increase the residential accommodation above and behind would not work in the confined environment and is not needed when larger developments are occurring nearby, like those at the top end of Hoddle Street and planned for the Gasworks site. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street trees, avenues of trees, culturally significant trees, trees in our parks should be registered and retained. • Queens Parade is already a tree lined avenue and should be valued and enhanced as such. • Appropriate trees are an important shade source and can help reduce the heat island effect. • New developments need to ensure more green open space in their planning. • Yarra River, Merri Creek and Darebin Creek are our main green corridors and provide for extensive biodiversity. Corridors should be managed and protected, including protection from impinging development.
124	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
125	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allowing up to 14 storey development along Brunswick Street and Smith Street will completely alter the character and feel of two of the most historic and social and culturally significant neighbourhoods in Melbourne. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
126	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allowing multiple multi-storey buildings will ruin the historic character of the neighbourhood. • Implores Yarra to have more regard to preserving key historic streetscapes and not create shaded corridors. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
127	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that height limits beyond 3 storeys ruin the skyline and opportunity for a balanced neighbourhood feel. North Fitzroy is a special area with a Heritage Overlay and no properties subject to or within 500m proximity of the overlay should be the subject of increased density heights. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
128	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brunswick Street and Gertrude Street to be limited to 3 storeys. Limiting the height of residential redevelopment adjoining Fleet Street and Palmer Street to a maximum of 3 storeys will also maintain liveability. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
129	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proforma document from Campaign appears to have been submitted. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
130	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There should be no more residential development in Yarra's neighbourhood shopping strips. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protection of the heritage buildings, laneways and parks is vitally important.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The laneways need to be maintained, cleaned and not sold off. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support the addition of more parks across the municipality as a matter of urgency. Need more parks and comprehensive management plans to support these important assets. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support the protection and increased planting of trees and developers should be made to increase green space in all new developments. Ensure that new developments do not overshadow parks and green spaces. Protect the waterways and develop more green space along these rivers and creeks. Development should be prevented along the waterways as they are an important recreation space for the community and provide habitat for many animals and birds. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
131	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage AND Housing</u> <i>Building design AND Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4 storey developments in traditional areas (noted as ‘minimal’ ‘incremental’ change) is too high. Makes for shadowing and privacy issues. Only time 4 storey is appropriate is when it is adjacent to a 3 storey building. 3 storeys should otherwise be the maximum for these areas. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should be explicit about storey limits in the various zones to avoid unnecessary and expensive trips to VCAT between the council and developers. Abbotsford Convent should be added to the heritage listing. New developments should have a minimum of green space allocated to them. <p><u>Transport</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While the document rightfully states that traffic is going to be a bigger issue because of increasing population in Yarra, it is only going to be an issue if council allows the population to markedly increase through higher density developments. If this is controlled better so will the traffic issues. Important for Yarra to facilitate recharging stations. No excuse for new developments not to have extensive underground parking as a requirement to take the pressure off the streets. Love the area but don't want to be 'socially engineered' out of the area because of an active policy against cars. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green areas need to be preserved with no room for any reduction in their size.
132	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain a village feel for our shopping strips/heritage shopping strips must be treasured and continually maintained to ensure the history and character of the area is continued. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although we need urban renewal and affordable accommodation – local shopping strips should not be the location for residential development. There are unused industrial spaces and this along with re-using buildings would be more sustainable/better option for residential development/apartments and have less impact on shops and residential homes. Future development should respect the character of the street and encourage single or town house type dwellings on small individual lots. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve the heritage of Yarra.
133	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain the historical integrity of the inner city of Melbourne. Essential that height restrictions be imposed on Brunswick and Gertrude Streets as these are the last (mainly) intact examples of the early years of a 'booming city'. Fitzroy is the historical centre/heart of the city. To overdevelop these streets by allowing buildings of more than three stories it to destroy our history.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
134	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We don’t want to be built up and overshadowed by medium height building. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We don’t want traffic and parking to jam the small side streets. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
135	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns with C269 are about future developments in Commercial zones or major activity centres. Specific reference to Fitzroy. Awful thing to allow for poorly considered new developments to happen, and to simply leave a thin façade for future generations to experience. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of real definition for “Moderate Change” and that under the Building Design proposals in C269 gives building heights exception in Activity Centres – is of great concern/ to not know what the future brings in the case of new developments in the area. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nowhere in any DDO or planning scheme in the past has there been any real guidelines for a buffer or incremental zone between Residential and Commercial – especially where there is no laneway between the zones. It would be good if C269 could consider this as well as including stricter guidelines regarding the protection of heritage architecture.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
136	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to planning application at 1-9 Gertrude Street, Fitzroy. • Amending C269 for the following reasons: heights and setbacks of buildings in Yarra, lack of public consultation regarding development, lack of transparency of future developments, lack of Heritage Overlay, protecting commercial shopping strips from development, ensuring green spaces around developments (not on rooftops).
137	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Making this submission to help ensure Yarra’s liveability, diversity, vibrant heritage, shopping strips continue to benefit our community for many generations to come.
138	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider this amendment not to build that high in this area. It would look stupid and block sunshine during the morning in so many residence. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
139	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Brunswick Street, Fitzroy. • Worried about building heights – overlooking, overdevelopment, overshadowing, loss of village feel and loss of local shops. • Heritage is not just about buildings it is also about a sense of community, identity and place which would be lost with over development. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND Heritage AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heritage that should be preserved are parks, houses and laneways. • Green spaces need to be considered.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landmarks need to be protected. Laneways need to be protected and maintained. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
140	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Queens Parade Shopping Centre. Great neighbourhood feel. Every effort should be made to retain and enhance the area. Concerned about a current planning application for unit development – setback that will restrict the operation of the shops. Shops – existing and new: where possible, encourage existing shops to continue to trade, encourage new businesses to open. Village atmosphere – when approving new developments, consider the impact on the shopping strips and areas with a ‘village’ feel, while not standing in the way of progress! <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development - aim to balance development with heritage character of an area, trying to retain original, ensure that new development does not dominate the streetscape. Heritage buildings and features - ensure that these are visible/not crowded out by new development Street verandas – encourage replacement (if removed) and require them on new buildings. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Climate change AND ESD AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discourage the use of gas and encourage transition to electricity for new developments/households. Charging facilities for electric cars – encourage installation of rapid charging facilities in dedicated areas, service stations and parking areas near shops. Minimise high rise buildings – impact of high rise buildings blocking sunlight to existing solar panels. Require a carbon offset each year for the life of the building to compensate for the potential solar energy blocked. Improve power supply poles and wires network and encourage the introduction of virtual power plants. Laneways should be preserved, as they are – not sold, or incorporated into new developments. Try to prevent high rise development overshadowing parks and spoiling skyline views.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Environmental and landscape values AND Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect ‘green corridor’ areas. • Where possible, add to green areas to help with increasing population. • Manage parks and gardens (done beautifully in Edinburgh Gardens). • Discourage removal of trees, plant new trees (new trees could be plants in the ‘dog’ oval in Edinburgh Gardens). <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Edinburgh Gardens – concerns around crowds at night/weekends. Nearby lanes are still used as toilets and by drug dealers. Continuing action needed. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
141	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND Urban design AND Heritage AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mid rise development seems to have now increased to 8-14 storeys. How has this occurred? This height level let alone high rise levels of 22 storeys (being submitted) are overwhelming in predominantly low-rise areas. • Parks and open space need sunlight for the maximum amount of daylight hours/ concerned around overshadowing from neighbouring development. • Landmarks need a proper survey listing – not the mere 16 listed which is totally inadequate. Also the landmarks themselves may not be protected – only the sightlines. • Where are the objectives? These should be outlined as well as how they are to be implemented. Compliance with the Burra Charter, retention of heritage rooflines and chimneys- not obstructed by neighbouring developments. • Negative effect of development taking up more surface green space. • More green space needs to be required on new builds and created elsewhere. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be a limit of 2-3 storey height restrictions above shops with direct access to the rear lanes for deliveries. • Store size requires sufficient room to maintain a viable shop. Bridge Road is a shell of its previous diverse and vibrant shopping strip. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With over 1000 pages of technical speak in this Draft – plain English version should be available to comprehend what restrictions are in place for build/renovations.
142	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not agree with the intent of C269. Wants to see heritage and moderate rise of the area maintained. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
143	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposed to the proposed planning changes which would potentially permit the building of 8-12 storey buildings behind the heritage shopfronts of Brunswick Street. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • My part of Fitzroy is marked for “moderate change” and this zoning is in abundance on the plan. Concerned about impact of large developments – create more traffic, disruptions and no improved infrastructure. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposed to the aesthetic changes that occur with large developments, no aesthetic value, all appear the same and grey is the predominant colour. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
144	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing the maximum height of buildings should not be considered. Green spaces should be on the ground not on roof tops. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transparency in future planning consultation must be improved. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage Overlay should be a priority at all times.
145	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fitzroy and Brunswick Street has a rich history and character. This proposal will result in the utter defacement of this heritage. Apartment developments of this height and scale will visually ruin the special character of Brunswick Street. Views will be impaired. Apartment blocks of the height permissible under the scheme will overshadow the shopping and café areas of the street and nearby residences, which will leave them impacted by light loss and wind tunnel effects. Small businesses may suffer if rampant development takes place. Business owners that are hemmed in by apartments will lose easy access, especially if laneways are lost. Laneways are important for access. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development of this scale ignores the fundamental importance of environmental sustainability. High rise, high density blocks are not energy efficient, require excessive air conditioning and heating. Concrete, glass encourage heat absorption. High rises mean more cars, traffic, pollution.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
146	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to North Fitzroy Village, Queens Parade. Understand and support urban renewal – need affordable, liveable accommodation. However our vibrant neighbourhood shopping strips are not the location for this residential development. No planning guidance is provided in C269 on how the North Fitzroy Village should evolve, how diversity should be protected, how the village scale and character should be respected. The small park, Pinnacle Hotel (building of State Significance), bookended by the North Fitzroy Library are not discussed. Neighbourhood character of each activity centre is unique and needs to be articulated and supported in C269. Local heritage shopping strips must be treasured/ keep their “village vibe”. C269 needs to lay the groundwork for a more nuanced approach to development in and around activity centres. Planning should discourage consolidation of fine grain heritage shopping strips. Viable heritage shop spaces need to be retained. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maps show the shopping/heritage parts of NACs like Queens Parade as sites of Moderate Change. Based on the Queens Parade permanent controls, a more appropriate designation would be an area of incremental change. Supports urban renewal in the ex-industrial land behind/around shopping strips. Housing section in C269 does not make it clear just how high the buildings outside the residential dark blue zones can get. Proud to live in a community which fights for more affordable and social housing. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports planning rules ensuring development is capable of being carbon neutral. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This section doesn’t mention safety anywhere. Safety and provision for passive surveillance should be fundamental considerations in the design and provision of open space.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I do not agree that anything up to 14 storeys is a “mid rise” commercial development or apartment and request that this be modified. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
147	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Landmarks AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sight lines need to be maintained to key land marks. Need to identify all the landmarks throughout Yarra. New unit blocks need to move away from being “shoe boxes” and have appropriate energy conservation measures. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parking minimum requirements need to be retained with ability for developers to provide more as they feel appropriate. At least 1 car park should be required per residence/100 sqm. This ensures existing residents do not lose on street parking to new development which brings additional traffic. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better consultation with local residents – ensure that residents who live the closest to planned developments/all projects are first to be consulted/given highest priority. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
148	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Landmarks are important – commendable that this has been considered. Reverse can also be important – example – the view of the ever-changing Melbourne skyline from the remaining Old Fitzroy Oval Grandstand. This view would be negatively impacted by some of the relaxed proposed height limits in the immediate area. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the loose height restrictions on the 'moderate' and 'high change' areas at the Alexandra Parade end of Queens Parade. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <p><i>Licensed venues</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unable to see protections for long established bars and pubs. I have seen some businesses struggle with unreasonable complaints from new/temporary residences. This is a particular problem for the many small bars and pubs scattered through the back streets, resulting in a real and permanent loss to the community and culture of the area. <p><u>Infrastructure AND Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small green areas should be protected from development. Examples in Fitzroy include: Batman and Liverpool Street Reserves, Brooks Crescent reserve (and nearby green area and playground in the middle of the community housing), Garryowen Park and other sub-acre parks in the area. Three Great Gums (on round about at intersection) is important to me.
149	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND Heritage AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to maintain the historical value/heritage of Fitzroy. Retention of existing building frontage/façade wherever possible, new buildings to blend with the character of the old. New developments should be of height 3 storeys in residential areas so views, landmarks and sky are evident and enjoyed. Saddened by new developments that have been built so high that they have impacted on tv reception, sky and sun visibility. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace the 20 storey DHHS flats in Brunswick Street with 5 storeys affordable apartments/housing making it safer for peoples wellbeing and health and for safety issues. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New development to provide sufficient car park on site where possible two per family. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
150	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Towers on Queens Parade - misplaced eyesores. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra isn't about canyons on main streets and overhanging shadows for all. Blue sky is just as important as green space. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
151	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy North. Concerned about plans that include overdevelopment such as large high rise blocks of apartments, poor building standards which have limited environmental design elements and the results of overcrowding in our open spaces, pressure on traffic/parking/public transport. Particularly concerned about development around Edinburgh Gardens (Piedimonte site as an example). Benefits of mandatory height limits for Queens Parade. Could be applied in other parts of Yarra to maintain character, heritage and give community confidence in future planning. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heat in our suburbs is a concern for health and climate change. Propose that future plans include: central green island and footpath green cut out plantings in wide bitumen streets such as McKean Street. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New developments need to have provision for green spaces.
152	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy Concerned that C269 will not protect the characteristics that make Fitzroy a great place to live, work, socialise, shop. Worried about the exemption from height limits in activity centres.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about facadism in heritage areas Believes that the wording of the new policy is too weak – will allow lip-service heritage ‘preservation’ to dominate. Characteristic shopping strips – Smith, Gertrude, Brunswick Street will be harmed. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The wording for the policy is too weak. Allows for too much discretion in numerous area. I.e.: under Landscaping: reference to ‘encourage’. Should have mandates for planting/preservation of vegetation. Wording on ‘low and zero carbon development’ is also weak. Reference to ‘support’ zero carbon development. Specific mandates needed.
153	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Zoning AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Richmond. Lives in one of the precincts that is being considered for rezoning into an activity centre – raises concerns as it is an area of small suburban streets. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
154	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage shopping strips play an important part in the community connectivity and need to be preserved. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current spaces need protection. Important that Council continues to add to open space. Should be number 1 priority in capital planning and money set aside to purchase areas for green renewal. Particularly in higher density development areas such as Collingwood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
155	Community member	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plan must visibly reflect that climate change is real.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity Centres need to retain a human scale so excessive height development above and behind shops needs to be limited to 4 stories with good design and environment as priorities. Some things are worth keeping – ie – ceramic seats by Giuseppe Ranari on Brunswick Street. <p><u>Economic development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Day/night diversity of shops and activities should include use above ground floor for additional shops, libraries, studios, residences etc. Diverse art activities needs to be supported (reference to Gertrude Street Projection Festival). <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
156	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to North Fitzroy. Developments that attempt to ‘shoehorn’ multiple residential apartments in the areas behind shopping strips and extend above the height of shops and residences in the neighbourhood zone are totally incompatible with maintaining heritage. Impact on views. Planning scheme to ensure heritage streetscapes and views are maintained by requiring development be limited to a height of no more than 2 heritage storeys and subject to quality assessments.
157	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No more high rise buildings over 6 storeys. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
158	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No more high rise buildings over 6 storeys.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
159	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to see any new development on the Brunswick Street, Johnston Street and Nicholson Street block limited to no higher than 3 storeys. Anything higher risks destroying the historical and aesthetic character of the area. <u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
160	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of the characteristics of Yarra that is so pleasing is low rise. Can’t see that there is a place for dominating buildings over four storeys anywhere outside the CBD. Prefer that the low-rise shopping strips of Yarra are not sites for developments beyond the heights of their current significant buildings. Large residential developments should be left further away than I the heart of shopping strips. <u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban design AND Heritage</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Main roads have not been improved by multi-storey developments so far. Should be an obligation to leave a portion of a site as low rise, or green space or path. Should be walk-ways through large redevelopments for pedestrians. Laneways are a public right of way and should not be privatised. Need to find a better way of documenting, recording, cataloguing heritage buildings, objects and other aspects. <u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
161	Community member	<u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height AND Character</i>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advocating that no more buildings along Heidelberg Road exceed 5 or 6 storeys. Impact of the Paper Mill redevelopment. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We need affordable, liveable accommodation and more social housing in line with recent State Government announcements.
162	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pro-development. Great development is only possible with great consideration to design principles. Increase attractive living conditions, strengthen neighbourhood character. Require appropriate setbacks (street to building façade): no setback at ground level, setback 2 metres on levels 1-3, setback 4 metres on levels 3-6, setback 6 metres on levels 6-9, setback 8 metres on levels 9-12, setback 10 metres on levels 12+. Maximum height in Neighbourhood Zones – 6 levels to maintain neighbourhood security. Require for new development: green roofs, rooftop gardens, solar panels on all balcony balustrades, solar panels on north facing walls, green walls at ground level, air conditioning units to not be visible from street, external lighting to include movement sensors. To promote flexible space: require ground floor or new development to have ceiling heights of minimum 4 metres with internal wall able to be reconfigured. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase tree canopy cover, green spaces and parks. <p><u>Economic Development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase outdoor dining and live music venues. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Require new development to have 10% of floor space available below market rates to allow lower income households to live in Yarra. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Require new development to own a shared electric vehicle to a ratio of 1 vehicle per 10 bedrooms.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
163	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finds the attitude to heritage underpinning this document unacceptable. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
164	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Landmarks AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential development in Fitzroy North should fit the scale of the area and should not exceed five stories even in those areas that have previously been industrial. Sightlines of local landmarks should not be interrupted by large scale development. Victorian character of Yarra should be respected and protected. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to ensure that works being undertaken to enhance and protect green spaces and waterways are continued. Development should not occur adjacent to these places. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
165	<p>Organisation (goget.com.au)</p>	<p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commends Council for aiming to ‘ensure car parking is supplied and managed consistent with promoting travel by sustainable modes’ (Clause 18.02-4L). There is no detail on how Yarra will implement the provision of publicly accessible car share bays in major developments. Recommends an additional proposed control: the incorporation of carshare conditions for new residential developments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One carshare space for every 10 studio and one-bedroom units without parking, and One carshare space for every 100 two-bedroom-plus units that only have one parking space.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important for carshare conditions to be enforceable. Submission includes a specific condition for consideration. • Recommends that the requirements of all new Green Travel Plans are to be sorted in a publicly accessible online database (reference to Clause 18.02-1L).
166	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific refence to Fitzroy. • Does not want 14 storey developments on the mainstreets (eg. Smith, Brunswick) as they create wind tunnels and overshadowing of footpaths. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
167	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Queens Parade – scale of the shops/buildings and diverse nature of the shops is highly valued by residents. • Demand for multi-storey residential and commercial development is profit driven rather than to maintain/improve liveability. • Views of sky and heritage streetscapes/buildings/laneways are obscured by development and from view lines. This is a sad outcome. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laneways are important – represent history, used by residents, provide buffer from overshadowing, create distance between buildings. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
168	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not need any more high rise in Fitzroy or the City of Yarra. Any development should reuse the building and not go any higher than the original building. • When you destroy the streetscape with boring, bland, high rise – you will lose tourists and the character of Fitzroy.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of high rise on liveability - no healthy air flow, noise and smoking from neighbours, overshadowing. <p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
169	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Making this submission to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years and not be overdeveloped.
170	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Making this submission to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years and not be overdeveloped. Critical that our neighbourhood remains accessible, diverse, specialised and not crowded.
171	Community Group (Friends of Royal Exhibition Building and Carlton Gardens)	<p><u>Other AND Form and Content Consultation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not think that C269 considers deliberative engagement practices at all and it could be inserted in many sections of the Planning Scheme. Yarra should assist property owners by producing design guideline as reference documents and prescribed design development overlays that provide for fast track approval for alterations and additions that meet an approved criteria for home owners. Submission includes further detail about this. <p><u>Activity Centres Character</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In activity centres, residential housing on Commercial 1 or mixed use sites to be confined to commercial residential businesses such as public and private hotels. <p><u>Housing Location of residential development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focus for Yarra should be promoting and supporting private housing growth in the residential zones.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p>Note: Attachment also submitted under no.349. Refer to no.349 for the summary.</p> <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</p>
172	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Height / built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower variable heights, mixed use, truly environmentally neutral, imaginative developments that add to the community and support heritage has been too long absent from the Queens Parade debate. Keep the height to a maximum of 6 and a median of 4 and then we might start to see something actually add value. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
173	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height / built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy. Does not want 14 storey developments on the mainstreets (eg. Smith, Brunswick) as they create wind tunnels and overshadowing of footpaths. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
174	<p>Representative of landowner (Ratio on behalf of Bridgeworth Management Pty Ltd)</p>	<p>*Ratio on behalf of Bridgeworth Management Pty Ltd (owner of 54-56 Bridge Road)</p> <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests to be advised of pre-set Directions Hearing/Panel dates. Concerned as to whether a fair and due process has been followed. Surrounding landowners in Bridge Road, whom are materially affected by the proposed landmark policy may be unaware of the amendment and its implications. Amendment documentation does not offer clear information on impact. Direct notice to these landowners impacted by view corridors to landmarks has not been given – potentially raises questions of natural justice and fairness.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p data-bbox="521 268 869 292"><u>Built Environment and heritage</u></p> <p data-bbox="521 300 801 323"><i>Landmarks AND Heritage</i></p> <ul data-bbox="573 331 1980 1391" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="573 331 1980 387">• Objects to the proposed Clause 15.01-2L Landmarks and associated background document ‘<i>Landmarks and Views Assessment – Ethos Urban – October 2019.</i> <li data-bbox="573 395 1980 451">• Objection relates to how the local policy seeks to ensure that new development protects the ‘landmark primary viewpoints’ to the Pelaco sign. <li data-bbox="573 459 1980 555">• Protection of views from the first two viewpoints are highly problematic and unreasonable when considering the implications on the future development potential of the Client Site, not to mention many other strategic landholdings within the Bridge Road MAC. <li data-bbox="573 563 1980 691">• Implications of protecting the viewlines to the Pelaco sign from the proposed vantage points has not been properly tested or assessed. 3D urban design analysis is required. Based on 3D work undertaken by the Client, the proposed policy would have substantial implications on the Client Site and other C1 Zoned properties on the south side of Bridge Road by significantly impeding and squandering their future development potential. <li data-bbox="573 699 1615 722">• Absence of any built form analysis being undertaken by Council is a flaw of the amendment. <li data-bbox="573 730 1980 786">• Acknowledges that the proposed Clause 15.01-2L reflects the requirements of interim DDO, Schedule 21- However DDO21 is an interim control only/has not been tested by a Panel nor have landowners been given the chance to make a submission. <li data-bbox="573 794 1980 922">• Proposed Clause 15.01-2 represents a ‘quantum leap’ from the existing LPPF. Existing policy recognises Pelaco Sign as a landmark where development ‘should protect views’. Proposed policy significantly differs. New policy is not only radically different from the status quo but is more prescriptive and onerous – cannot be described as a ‘carryover’ from, or justified by the current LPPF. <li data-bbox="573 930 1980 1026">• Proposed ‘primary views’ are not strategically justified/highly problematic with respect to the achievement of other state and local policy directives that seek to promote increased residential/commercial growth and intensification of the Bridge Road MAC. <li data-bbox="573 1034 1783 1058">• Proposed new background document- includes errors and does not provide sufficient strategic justification. <li data-bbox="573 1066 1980 1121">• Amendment documents do not evaluate the ‘lost opportunity’ of seeking to protect views of Pelaco sign from the chosen vantage points, which inevitably may hamper the future strategic vision of Bridge Road MAC. <li data-bbox="573 1129 1749 1153">• Proposed ‘primary’ views have not been adequately justified based on heritage or urban design analysis. <li data-bbox="573 1161 1442 1185">• Protection of views to Pelaco sign is contrary to/competes with State policy. <li data-bbox="573 1193 1980 1321">• Due to the locational context of the Pelaco sign, protection of views from the west will not only impact the Client Site but affect numerous private landholdings to the south of Bridge Road – C1 Zoned land in the Bridge Road MAC (in contrast to other key landmarks where viewlines are generally contained within public land or where the zoning provisions already limit height and intensity of new development). <li data-bbox="573 1329 1980 1391">• Statement of significance for the Pelaco sign does not identify the proposed ‘primary views’ that are proposed to be protected. No heritage analysis to support the proposed ‘primary views’.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not make appropriate use of VPP toolkit as the protection of views to landmarks ought to be managed using a development control such as a DDO rather than local policy. Not appropriate to apply development control using local policy which is merely supposed to 'guide' decision making. Amendment is not consistent with DELWP practice notes.
175	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensible protection put in place for Queens Parade shopping strip should be used as a guide. Heritage shopping strips need to be preserved and having 8+ storeys towering over them destroys them. Retain our diversity and our open inclusive community - village shops and heritage buildings are key to this/need to be protected. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra is already doing more than its fair share in allowing additional residences to be built to cope with population growth. Not necessary to build them above heritage shopping strips and we do not need excessively high and over scaled apartment buildings. Medium density and respectful development is the way forward. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
176	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has declared a Climate State of Emergency which is not reflected in C269. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High rise developments do not promote or encourage the creation of communities. Loneliness is a key issue. Important that smaller sustainable developments rather than high rise developments are built. Reducing areas of green space will effect health and wellbeing. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of affordable housing must be increased. <p><u>Other</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
177	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to Swan Street MAC and MUZ north of Richmond Station. The small scale map 02.04-1 Strategic Framework Plan contradicts map 11.03-1L of the Swan Street Activity Centre by including the MUZ in the activity centre. Changing the boundary to include MUZ north of Richmond Station in the Swan Street Activity Centre was not discussed in information received for C191. Plans for C191 should be checked to make sure MUZ is not included before approved. Opposes MUZ land being included in the Swan Street Activity Centre for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Predominant low rise character of MUZ land would be lost and detrimental to the industrial heritage buildings. Would allow for argument as to which plan is correct for future applications. If intended to be included in Swan Street Activity Centre, then amendment lacks detail on height and setbacks. Any proposed boundary change should be a standalone amendment. The MUZ area has the characteristics of a residential zone not an activity centre. MUZ between Wangaratta and Botherambo Streets and from Swan Street to past Tanner Street is in the HO and part of the Residential Zone. This section of MUZ should have mandatory heights and setback requirements. C269 lacks a clear statement of objectives and detail. No written reason to include MUZ north of Richmond Station as part of Swan Street Activity Centre. The following should be adopted for the MUZ: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building controls for the heritage MUZ including mandatory requirements, MUZ between Wangaratta and Botherambo Street and Swan to Tanner Street should be considered a ‘transition’ from low scale residential area. Heights and setbacks to be adopted as identified in the submission.
178	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Great work to protect Queens Parade, urge Council to equally protect all other heritage landmarks and shopping strips across Yarra. Does not want to see 8-14 storeys above a heritage shopping strip. Put protections in place to protect our wonderful “village” feel shopping strips, diverse community and streetscape. <p><u>Infrastructure AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Open Space AND Urban design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect our limited parks like Edinburgh Gardens. Parks need protection from being overshadowed by huge buildings. Edinburgh Gardens – city skyline view is worthy of protection. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
179	Local council (Stonnington City Council)	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommends retention of the definition of ‘best practice’ as stated (verbatim) within the translated ESD policy, incorporated as part of either the ‘objective’, ‘strategies’ or ‘policy guidelines’ or defined in Clause 73.01 or 73.03. Recommends that the sunset clause wording is changed from ‘comparable’ to ‘equivalent’.
180	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to amendments that allow more large scale development in the area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
181	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loves the old historic buildings and streetscapes and feels sad that they are potentially threatened by dense or higher development. Concerned about the associated increase in traffic. Existing laneways should be preserved (specific reference to laneway in Queens Parade). <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Interfaces and amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the impact of development in terms of noise and air pollution. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about over development placing increased pressure on parks/ Yarra Bend.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Queens Parade is a joy. To interfere with the current heights of the buildings would lessen its appeal. Retain the Parade in a form that will still provide an historical perspective that is recognisable/respects the history. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
182	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep development to a max 6 storeys for shopping strips and near residential houses. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep building sizes smaller so that we can have more open space. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
183	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support C269. Don’t think we should be allowing 8 to 10 level buildings in this area. Not sustainable/nor will help heritage. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
184	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please do not over-develop Cremorne and implement development height restrictions ASAP.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
185	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to maintain the village atmosphere, does not want high rise buildings. Specific reference to Queens Parade. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We need trees to shade seating where people can chat. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
186	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Believes the new development is a direct contravention to our community heritage and culture. Building would affect the current views from our address. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
187	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy. We have diversity and uniqueness given that historical nature of the shops on Brunswick Street. Having new-build along Brunswick Street would strip it of its village character and feel, especially if the change to allow buildings to go as high as 14 floors is implemented. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. Accepts that there should be some residential development but not at the expense of the ongoing viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <p><i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected in C269. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not consider high-rise buildings as a sustainable option. • Yarra should encourage adaptive re-use of buildings to avoid demolition and save landfill and re-vitalise neighbourhoods. It saves the energy already embodied in the building. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
188	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Transport/Traffic/Car Parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 – complete forgetfulness of all the heritage buildings in Yarra, no new erected building should be up to 4-10 storeys, especially along Brunswick Street. • Will bring more population and therefore more traffic and parking troubles. • Will cause various issues regarding overlooking and overshadowing. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <p><i>Interfaces and amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The whole process will bring more pollution and noise in an area which is already overpopulated. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
189	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The values of Precinct 4 (Queens Parade) should be applied to other local shopping strips. Liveability linked to residential and local services in terms of minimising carbon output ie minimising the use of the car and access by foot. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban Design AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only the highest rating of sustainability for new buildings should be accepted. High rise buildings are potentially unhealthy. Lack outside green space. Potential problem with air circulation. Power requirements for lifts and security must increase energy consumption in high rise (compared to low rise – potential for walk up). Adaptive reuse is acceptable provided the highest building standards are required. Landmarks – part of human condition (for navigation)/add character to the neighbourhood. Laneways should be maintained, protected, not sold. Part of historical social fabric. The integrity of the Victorian heritage should be maintained. Has ‘liveability’ with residential and commercial (shops) combined. Overshadowing parks and open spaces is not acceptable. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tree lined street provide shade in summer which improves liveability/trees contribute to carbon neutrality. Waterways are of prime recreational value. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Social housing needs to be considered as well. Where are the potential sites for both? 10% is not adequate. Consideration needs to be given to the social requirements for the mix of size of apartments. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
190	Community member	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Row of houses at 104-118 Queens Parade has been listed as incremental, yet it has a HO and fits the definition of ‘minimal change area’. • Additional housing is needed to cater for increased population – would be good if the population growth was determined by what the area can cater for, while retaining heritage and village character. • Excess traffic will worsen with the population increase. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important to have blue sky views in a neighbourhood. Excessive high-rise development threatens this. • All houses that have heritage features should be protected, to maintain the overall heritage significance of the municipality. • New developments should cater for parking. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Character of the shopping strips should be retained to maintain the village atmosphere. • Flooding shopping strips with housing developments will destroy the villages. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual open space is vital, yet parkland is being sacrificed for sporting facilities (specific reference to Edinburgh Gardens). • Visual open space is important for well-being and recreation, in an increasingly dense environment. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applauds the tree planting initiative, but why deciduous trees? A ‘boulevard would be just as attractive with eg flowering gums.
191	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes C269. Already way too many high rise buildings being constructed with little regard for historical significance. • Proposal to have 14 storey buildings along major roads including Brunswick Street will destroy the area. • Traffic and congestion in the area is already terrible. <p><u>Other</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
192	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Views from streets and lanes should retain visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. Residential development must not be at the expense of the heritage nature of Brunswick Street. Mid to high rise buildings should not be permitted along Brunswick Street. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New developments should be ultra low-energy, net-zero, or to the Passivhaus standard buildings. Gas should not be permitted in any new developments. More trees should be introduced to absorb carbon. Heritage buildings along Brunswick Street should have their veranda’s reinstated. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees should be introduced to all streets.
193	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to Fitzroy’s character and village feel. Against the overdevelopment of this area. Independent retailers are the essence of this location and find their place in the heritage buildings of the strip. Developments of 8-10 storeys do not respect the human scale and dwarf people, taken away sense of space, focussed on yield. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. Laneways and character must be preserved. Especially the narrow ones. Have them recognised as part of the public realm that can be used by all. New developments to date have not been required to meet sustainability requirements. Developers need to adopt sustainable practices, build with the future in mind, replacing gas with renewable energy and car spaces with bike racks and share car stations. Need to make good use of the energy already invested in the existing buildings rather than bulldozing and building anew.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developments must include green space and we must fight to preserve existing trees. • High rise developments are built for yield on dollar invested not for amenity. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Essential that given that Yarra has declared a state of Climate emergency, all or any developments be held to account on this vital consideration. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We need more public and affordable housing. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
194	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Carlton North. • Concerned about inappropriate development. • Disappointed to learn that further residential developments up to 7 storeys are proposed for some of the strip shopping centre precincts. • Objects to developments which are totally over scale and out of keeping with our area. • Developments of up to 7 storeys in strip shopping centres and 8-14 storeys in the Moderate Change Areas are inappropriate. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
195	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Particularly concerned with the built environment policies and controls. • Concerned about the types of new developments taking place/policies are not strong or appropriate enough to protect the current built environment.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design and details of several new dwellings do not complement the existing. • Box like extensions jar with existing. • Setbacks are not consistent. • Building materials often do not complement existing buildings/paint colours not in keeping with the character of the area. • Overlooking is often disregarded
196 a&b	<p>Community Group (Save Queens Parade)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Scheme should include reference to the original owners of the land – the Wurundjeri. • 11.03 Activity Centres – refers to ‘low-scale’, ‘low to mid-rise’, ‘mid-rise’, and ‘taller built form’ without providing a definition. C231 defines building heights more clearly. Essential that there are clear definitions. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NACS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Preserving neighbourhood activity centres and the heritage streetscape will encourage economic viability. - If mid-rise is defined as up to 14 floors, it will negatively impinge on highly intact heritage streetscapes. New buildings/additions should conform to the height of the existing heritage streetscape and be ‘low-scale’. - Limit further development of residential dwellings above shops in our historic streetscapes. - St Georges Road – no guidelines as to whether low or mid-rise or taller built form is recommended. • MACS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to preserve our industrial heritage. - MAC boundary should not be extended to include the Australian Knitting Mills complex just north of Richmond Station. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Landmarks AND Urban design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including an overall objective to preserve and enhance the unique heritage of Yarra would emphasise the importance of heritage. • 15.03-1L: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Taller form buildings must be architecturally sensitive to adjacent heritage listed buildings. - Reference to C231 – preferred (not mandatory) height of 16 storeys for the far eastern end of QP, gives licence to developers to apply for even ‘taller form’ buildings, with the potential to dwarf the neighbouring heritage listed sites, and to be a blot on the landscape. • 15.01-2L – Landmarks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to ensure that taller built-form developments do not create new landmarks that encroach on existing landmarks. - Need to preserve important existing landmarks, but limit the development of competing new landmarks.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A register of existing landmarks could be established, which includes additional landmarks such as the Pinnacle in St Georges Road. • Narrow bluestone laneways contribute to special character of Yarra and provide access to pedestrians. Should not be sacrificed for public sale to developers. • Growing threat of climate change – all new buildings should be carbon neutral and utilise building materials that are environmentally friendly. • Volume of high-rise buildings which are less environmentally sustainable, should be limited. • Should re-purpose and renovate existing buildings, rather than demolishing them and increasing landfill. • Where possible existing buildings should be modified, for instance with solar panels. • Merri Creek, Darebin Creek and the Yarra – there should be minimal impact of taller form buildings in relation to overshadowing and overlooking these valuable assets. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing AND Housing diversity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New developments with over 50 dwellings should include at least 10% of affordable housing. • Useful to specify a percentage of social housing within the category of affordable housing. • Useful to define social housing and affordable housing. • Policy guideline needs clarification – not evident whether this means 10% within the City of Yarra or within new developments. • Catering for diversity within family structures is helpful – however some existing approved but not constructed tall developments with over 50 dwellings do not confirm to this requirement. How to ensure that future developments meet these guidelines? <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra is commended for declaring a Climate State of Emergency. This should be reflected more directly in C269. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Balancing population density with increasing open space is important. Public open space is essential. Dramatic increase in use of parks. Need more green space. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mature trees should be protected. A tree register should be developed.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
197	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Character, heritage and ambience draws people together and gives a sense of community and belonging. • To proceed with the proposed development of Clifton Hill will result in taking out the essence of why we live here and destroys our obligation and care of the past. • Any development needs to be highly sensitive and respectful and responsible to our community and our heritage. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
198	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keen for Council to prevent high rise developments near my home. There are already an unprecedented number of new apartment buildings recently constructed or being built. • To preserve the community and cultural heritage of Melbourne’s oldest suburb I object to C269. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
199	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please STOP the inappropriate height of developments. It is ruining this beautiful area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
200	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain thriving neighbourhood shopping and activity centres with a diversity of local providers rather than soulless chains. Meet needs locally. Village feel is important – that means preserving heritage skylines, protecting views to blue sky and views to key landmarks. It means low-ruse development (maximum 4 storeys in these key centres). <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage is very important. C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. While there should be some residential development. This should not be at the expense of the ongoing viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Climate changes AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has a climate action plan, C269 should reinforce what we hope to achieve in the current climate emergency. It should set out objectives for sustainability in new buildings including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimise high rise buildings (higher than 4 storeys) Discourage use of gas in housing developments Encourage adaptive re-use of buildings to avoid demolition. Saves energy. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document seems to be missing key elements such as clear understandable objectives and definitions. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
201	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to protect the village feel of the inner north by disallowing multilevel developments that dwarf heritage facades and the small shops residents use every day. Outlook to the sky from local shops and parks is a wonderful (and becoming rarer) aspect of the inner north and should be protected. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bike paths should be protected, knowing, as we do, the importance of nature on mental health. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multi-level developments are incompatible with the unique feel in this area and lead to shadowing and a “tunnel effect”. Areas of Moreland have become extremely ugly/unenjoyable to visit. Don’t let the same happen to Yarra. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
202	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>ESD AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The number of Greens members on the Council, one would think that their preference would be to make buildings more sustainable, but high rise buildings are not. Developers should be discouraged from using gas in housing developments. Council should encourage adaptive re-use of buildings to avoid demolition, save landfill, revitalise neighbourhoods. New developments need to ensure more open green space in their planning. Need to protect our laneways, especially the narrow ones. Out to be recognised as publicly accessible spaces, should remain public and not be sold. <p><u>Environmental and Landscape Values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Imperative that trees in Yarra are protected – heat island effect. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value the village feel of our local shops and shopping strips. Small diverse shops are the heart of the community. 20 minute city has long been an aim for local governments – please continue with this.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
203	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Living in Yarra is delightful, thanks to its heritage buildings and sense of community that comes with it. Diversity of heritage buildings, shops, laneways, parks – makes it liveable. Lockdown/walking – made me realise how ugly some of the new high rise developments are in Collingwood – has changed the character completely, community feeling in parts is no longer there, developments are so overpowering, depressing, unacceptable overshadowing. Must avoid destroying any more of the heritage and character of Yarra. Don’t just knock down old buildings – repair and adapt them keeping the character. Laneways should be protected and not sold off. Specific reference to enjoyment of the laneway behind the shops in Queens Parade. Impact of car access in narrow laneways in close proximity to homes. Please consider this when planning for future development – access to new apartments cannot be via lanes that abut homes. Laneways are not roads. Trees must be included in new developments, green space is important. High rise buildings are not sustainable – impact of clothes dryers as there is no place to hang washing. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please do not destroy what wonderful diversity and history we have here. Having a good community and village feel makes us safer. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
204	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Covid 19 has shown us that 'high rise' is not the way to live nor the way to maintain a healthy society. • Recommends to Council that any additional height developments be limited to 4 storeys. Also new developments be 'green' with communal roof top gardens. • Height limits should be in keeping of the immediate area. No back balconies creating overlooking. • No sell off of laneways. If no car access is possible/agreement from all residents -then use for planting. • Future – does not include the building of any more 8-14 storey buildings. • Aboriginal history – must be acknowledged. <p><u>Infrastructure AND Housing</u> <i>Open space AND Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open space – preserve what little we have. Introducing more 8-14 storey developments thus increasing the population – the area will reach a level that is not sustainable for the few amenities we have in the municipality. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brunswick Street/St Georges Road should also have a speed limit of 40km (although this may not be in Council hands).
205	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lives on Queens Parade – seen and experienced the local community and heritage streetscape be highly impacted/overwhelmed by high rise development. • Village character needs protection from any further high rise development. • All future development needs to be low density/shopping strips should not be used for residential development at all. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
206	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Character of Fitzroy is reflected by its historic urban fabric. • Overdevelopment of the area will spoil what attracts residents and tourists to the area. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • My research tells me that high rise over development is health damaging and maximum heights to 5-7 storeys with amenity and space is health promoting. Advocate of higher density but not overdevelopment. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Interfaces and amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence is clear – there is major damaging health impacts from building on arterial roads due to noise and air pollution. How density is built in these locations requires particular attention to avoid harm for residents. Offers to present on this issue to Council (author of a relevant report). <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
207	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I don’t think that any type of development 8–14 stories will be at all appropriate for Brunswick Street – would not have enough setback to soften height, overwhelm and shadow village type neighbourhood. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
208	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage AND Building design AND World Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extremely concerned by the mass, height, scale of development that will be permitted under this Amendment. Our human scale shopping strips will change character – lose human scale, light and charm. • At the very least – setbacks from the street must be required above 2 storeys. • Inner suburbs have heritage buildings which large scale developments will overshadow/dominate, streetscape will be lost. • Changes to the Amendment must be made to ensure these sensitive areas are protected. • Fitzroy (Melbourne’s oldest suburb) deserves special consideration/protection as a low rise area. Sensitive areas which impact on the World heritage listed Royal Exhibition Building (such as view lines and heights along Gertrude Street/ Marion Lane) must be recognised and protected by the Amendment. • Yarra has a responsibility to ensure height limits in this area are required to protect view lines in accordance with World Heritage values.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This proposed amendment gives over development the green light – fails to take account of the need to retain liveability, human scale, small scale local shops, setbacks from streets and lane. <p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
209	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
210	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most concerned about the bill being proposed to increase unsightly development at the expense of heritage buildings. 8-14 storey developments appears to be excessive. Cherishes the diverse character of our local shops/restaurants. Would the to have them forced to close/affected by over development. <p><u>Other Liveability</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
211	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres AND Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Heritage AND World heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fitzroy is an area that has immense heritage value. Particularly the precinct I live in which encompasses a World Heritage Protection Zone surrounding the Royal Exhibition Building and Carlton Gardens. Recommends that any development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – across Brunswick Street and Gertrude Street be limited to 3 storeys; and – a height restriction of 12 metres or 3 storeys be imposed for all General Residential Zoned areas to preserve the neighbourhood character of this area, – not to diminish the livelihoods of those residents within Neighbourhood Residential Zones abutting this GRZ land;

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - in Palmer Street, Royal Avenue, Marion Street, Marion Lane and Fitzroy Street be tempered from encroaching on the world heritage value of this precinct. • Every city in the world has an oldest suburb which should be preserved – merely maintaining the heritage facades whilst enabling developers to demolish everything else would be another nail in the heritage coffin. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
212	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes the planned change in height controls for Brunswick Street in Fitzroy. • Mid to low rise building will mean more foot and vehicle traffic, packed trams, fundamental change in the nature of the strip. • Retailers need support and not to be overshadowed and boxed in by large developments. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
213	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deeply concerned with ensuring that the future of the Brunswick Street shopping strip can be protected as a special place/to experience its particular character. • Part of appeal of Brunswick Street area is its village like atmosphere and human-scale. • Overdeveloping something precious would be shortsighted and wasteful. • So proud of Brunswick Street’s character and charm. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
214	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excessive height levels considered are completely inappropriate with the neighbourhood character and create dysfunctional outcomes for all involved. • Limit all builds to max 6 stories on main roads in Fitzroy north.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
215	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about overdeveloped in Yarra. When I compare the types of development that I see in other Councils, wonder why Yarra allows such poor development. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
216	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have lived in Fitzroy for 40 years – this is the most concerning planning scenario I have seen during that time. Residents of Fitzroy are tired of continually fighting to preserve heritage. Present council members have an obligation to preserve this precinct. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
217	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy North. Local shopping village that includes Piedmontes and other local small businesses is vital. Worries me to think about future developments that will undoubtedly destroy the appearance and vibe. Doesn’t want to see multi-level developments above these small shops – will detract from the heritage character. Historically significant buildings like The Pinnacle should be protected. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very concerned about laneways in my area. Developers attempt to make sole use of these public spaces for their own benefit. • Specific reference to use of laneway and rear of submitter's property. • Need to protect and maintain the laneways for future generations. • Appreciates heritage style shops/quaint verandahs. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
218	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This shopping strip is unusual in that it has remained more or less intact. • Keep parks and Queens Parade site lines free from intrusion of high rise buildings. We don't want any more. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi storey development is not only inappropriate to the heritage values of the area but undesirable post Covid. More of these developments would create overshadowing/overlooking in what is essentially a residential area. • Existing high rise developments have not provided for/or have inadequate passive open space. Impact on Edinburgh Gardens during lockdown. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any additional developments would further increase the pressure on existing parks. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
219	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I love the heritage feel of the neighbourhood – needs to be protected. • Development yes but high rise no!

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
220	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly objects to the proposed changes to the local shopping and coffee lounge precinct in Brunswick Street, Fitzroy, along the area south of Johnston Street. Proposal to allow buildings to add an additional eight to fourteen stories height above existing structures will radically alter the character of the neighbourhood: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will bring large numbers of people both day/night Increase road traffic along Brunswick Street Greatly increase car volumes in side streets – these narrow streets are already congested, local air quality is often thick with car fumes. Skyline dominated by concrete and glass towers will destroy the residential atmosphere. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stuck by the insensitivity of the Yarra council to the concerns of local residents when real estate developments are proposed. Council meetings in the last few years – council’s claim of “listening to the community” and “consulting widely” to learn the opinions of local residents has looked more like mere tokenism/empty show of democracy. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
221	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage AND transport/parking/</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed development will be a blight on the overall look and vibe of Brunswick Street. Street can only suffer from being turned into a strip of high rises and bland shop facades. Proposal completely ignores the beauty of its many heritage buildings in favour of yet more towering walls of glass and concrete. Increased traffic will lead to further congestion in an already busy street. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I also worry about the impact on the local environment of over-development - pollution (traffic and noise pollution) and in a wider sense the lack of environmental sustainability of modern apartment high rises.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residences and businesses will suffer from reduced sunlight as high rise developments throw significant shadows. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the planning proposal/ hopes that Yarra Council rejects this proposal.
222	Community group (AFADA)	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND Landmarks AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to existing Strategy 18.1 of Objective 18 – reestablishment of historic street patterns. Developments often consolidate titles including ROW’s when the opposite should occur. Historic laneways need to be heritage listed and protected. Overshadowing of public open space should be based on the winter solstice, not the equinox. Reference to Melbourne City Council strategic work. Reference to existing Clause 21.05-2, Objective 17 and Strategy 17.2 – the terms “low rise, mid rise and high rise” are ambiguous and open to interpretation. Impact of above ground carparks is that developments end up being higher than they should be, ground floor commercial space is diminished and it results in poor street level activation. The practice seems to make trade-offs or compromise with the objectives of BADS – applications should have 100% compliance with BADS. Where possible public open space in new developments should be at ground level rather than using roof top gardens. New developments should respect the garden and green character of Alphington and Fairfield by having landscaped setbacks. Yarra should have a shade sensitive interface for Heidelberg Road. Reference to Ivanhoe Structure Plan (Banyule) that comprises 13 different interfaces. There has been an emphasis of built elements as landmarks in Yarra, there needs to be recognition of natural landmarks. Example provided. Yarra should be setting a high environment standard with new developments. The standard should be like the Nightingale Development (72a Station Street Fairfield). Suggests that Nightingale 2.0 development is considered “best practice” in terms of excellence. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary AND Character AND Height/built form</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about expansion of the Alphington Activity Centre – particularly the inclusion of the site at 582 Heidelberg Road Alphington. Current Scheme designates Alphington Activity Centre as a NAC. C269 seems to imply that all activity centres in Yarra are the one and same. Heidelberg Road is not Bridge Road or Brunswick Street. Hierarchy of Activity Centres must be recognised and maintained. Reference to 20 minute neighbourhood – every planning application for commercial zoned lots in Alphington (assume the same for most of Yarra) are generally a residential tower with a few retail spaces that are typically gyms or cafes. Effectively valuable commercial space is surrendered to lower value employment opportunities. These outcomes do not contribute to the 20 minute neighbourhood of providing a diverse mix of local employment opportunities. Commercial lots in Fairfield fronting Heidelberg Road (C2Z) – draft Heidelberg Road Local Area Plan proposes to develop these lots to the same height/density as the C1Z lots in the Alphington NAC – community feels that this is overdevelopment of the C2Z lots. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra Riverbank adjacent to the AMCOR redevelopment site has incurred considerable damage – collapse of significant trees and riverbank slumping. Protection of the river corridors needs to be strengthened particularly with new developments.
223	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other AND Form and Content</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This is the most depressing and gut wrenching planning scheme change I've ever seen! Alters the whole fabric of Yarra forever in a negative way. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> High rise buildings block our horizon, overshadow, create a darker environment. Current developments are ugly/don't fit in with the heritage of the area. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The area is already growing too fast and is overcrowded. <p><u>Transport</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parking is already too difficult. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Our parklands need to be protected not developed.
224	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential development should be kept within sustainable limits: size, structure of buildings, keeping the heritage look and feel of this historic area. Height restrictions around heritage areas should be kept low to avoid buildings dominating the heritage precincts/harming the character of the neighbourhood. These limits should be strictly enforced. Strict requirements should be imposed to enforce sustainable developments – ensuring adequate green space is provided/ new developments are carbon neutral or as close to as possible. Need more trees and living greenery to minimise the heat island/closer to carbon neutrality. <p><u>Activity centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protection of Queens Parade – should be replicated across other shopping strips including Brunswick Street and Nicholson Street in Fitzroy North. Protect neighbourhood village shopping strips including restricting development of the shopping strip properties that are subject to less strict planning restrictions. Includes strict height limits, minimising creation of high rise towers in the village. Small business shops are an important part of our neighbourhood. Too many shop fronts are being develop – harming heritage and culturally diverse nature of our community (includes Nicholson Street, Fitzroy/Carlton North). Protect our important historical buildings from being developed (loss of North Fitzroy Star – terrible moment, Abbotsford Convent, The Pinnacle – part of the history). Retain these buildings/reuse them as something other than apartment complexes. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
225	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposed to any amendments to the planning scheme that would facilitate large scale, bulky, high rise development our retail shopping strips and activity centres in Yarra. What makes Yarra unique, is our historic streetscapes - largely not dominated by tall, characterless buildings. If overbuilding were allowed to continue – unique character would be lost/area would be assimilated into the CBD. Trust that you will protect what we have – ensuring visually dominant buildings over 3-4 storeys no longer continue to be built.
226	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very concerned about the scale of development in Yarra and fear C269 will irrevocably change the amenity by potentially increasing the scale and height of developments in what are traditionally low rise areas. Large developments on main roads impact on low-rise amenity behind them (overshadowing and impact to garden amenity). Large scale developments have a huge carbon footprint – concrete is a major contributor to climate change. If Yarra is serious about becoming carbon neutral/tackling climate change then I urge Council to drop this amendment.
227	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant height and development protection was won for Queens Parade – seems this protection is already being rescinded by C269 which I strongly object to. This shopping strip is almost becoming unique due to Yarra’s seemingly lust for high rise development. Queens Parade/Smith St gasworks site – surely that is enough residential development in this area. Roads in this area will be congested even more because of this development. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Having car access to the areas noted for moderate or incremental residential developments on rear or side laneways – I strongly object. Majority of areas noted for moderate or incremental change to residential development are on major roads with public transport but adjacent to residential properties separated only by laneways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why when PT is a major reason for putting the developments along these roads is there a need for car access to these developments? Thought Yarra was attempting to stop people using cars – so why is there even provision for residents of these developments to own/use/garage cars? If these developments must have car access put it on the roads they front. All this Amendment does is put more traffic onto the surrounding residential streets for the laneway access, which reduces the safety and amenity for those residents.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No consideration for the residents whose properties are on the laneways and use them for pedestrian access/windows overlook the laneways. For the people of Yarra who live near main roads this Amendment is certainly not about sustainability, heritage or their amenity. It is about creating huge canyons of overdevelopment/destruction of residents' amenity. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perhaps a longer and more consultative period about C269 should take place. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
228	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Believes the Brunswick St Area is a unique pedestrian haven, excellent for shops, restaurants, cafes, adults, families, and cyclists. Over development in the form of 5+ storey apartment blocks would damage the historic aesthetic and unique culture of Fitzroy/ making its iconic Brunswick St less distinctive and attractive. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
229	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Believes that the heritage policies and provisions need to be strengthened in C269 if Yarra is going to protect its built heritage.
230	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wants to ensure that any planning scheme has strong guidelines and protections. Heritage creates character and community. Welcomes the opportunity through low-rise and sensitive development for more people to call Yarra home. But if the planning scheme recommends buildings of 8-14 storeys in areas earmarked for moderate change, the things we love about our village – its heart, soul, skyline, heritage will be lost forever. Need to protect: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diverse and low rise heritage shopping strips. Parks and open sky, not overshadowed by high rise buildings

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Historic bluestone laneways, not allowed to be sold. - Heritage streetscapes in our low-rise local shopping strips and residential areas, such as Brunswick Street, need to be protected from overdevelopment. - Areas of significance to First Nations peoples should also be honoured and protected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation for 8-14 storeys in moderate change areas needs to be reduced. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong planning scheme with clear, unambiguous guidelines is required.
231	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rathdowne Street Activity Centre (south) between Fenwick Street and Princes Street. (North Carlton). • Amendment C269 proposes it to be designated as a Neighbourhood Activity Centre (NAC). • Strongly opposes the designation of Rathdowne Street Activity Centre (south) as a Neighbourhood Activity Centre for following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Development expectations increase values and force out existing small businesses – NAC designation would threaten its viability. - Is successfully functioning as an excellent LAC. - Covered by a heritage overlay – it is therefore inappropriate to encourage ‘incremental’ development and heights of development would need to respond to the height of adjoining development. - Is a totally different order of activity to Gertrude Street and Johnston Street centres that are also NACs - Very different catchment from these larger centres – local catchment and different functions – lower order shops - It is by far the smallest of all the NAC’s proposed. The inclusion of Curtain Square makes it look bigger than it is! - The Strategic Framework Plan does not show nearby centres beyond Yarra, so it looks as if this corner of Yarra is not serviced by larger centres. It is, however, between, and within walking distance, of significant centres Sydney Road/Barkly Square and Lygon Street in Brunswick and Elgin Street/Lygon Street in City of Melbourne. • Recommends designating Rathdowne Street Activity Centre (south) (between Fenwick Street and Princes Street) – as Local Activity Centre to support its important local function and underpin its continued success. • The comments about Rathdowne Street also apply to Nicholson Street North: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Although it is slightly larger than Rathdowne Street, retail activity is almost entirely on the west side. The ‘activity centre’ is significantly smaller than appears on the map.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Has suffered considerably recently due to firstly tramway works and then COVID-19 and a number of retail units are currently vacant. - Covered by a HO – therefore inappropriate to encourage ‘incremental’ development and heights of development would need to respond to the height of adjoining development. - NAC would further threaten its viability. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommends designating the Nicholson Street Activity Centre (north) as a Local Activity Centre to support its important local function and contribute to its rejuvenation. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly opposes designation of ‘incremental change’ in Rathdowne Street Activity Centre (south). This should be ‘minimal change’. - Change should be restricted to single or town house type dwellings on individual lots. - Does not support ‘smaller scale apartment development’ or whatever scale. • Opposes ‘incremental change’ designation on the west side of Nicholson Street (Carlton North). Should be ‘minimal change’. • Queens Parade Activity Centre should be designated ‘incremental change’. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to proposed Clauses 02.04 Strategic Framework Plan, 13.07-1L Interfaces and amenity, 15.01-1L Urban Design, 15.01-2L Building Design, 15.03-1L Heritage, 16.01-2L Location of residential development and 16.01-4L Housing affordability.</p>
232	Community member	Same as Submission No. 222
233	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registering opposition to the development.
234	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission includes attachments of photographic images of street verandahs as examples - to be reinstated. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to proposed Clauses 02.02 Vision, 02.03 Strategic Directions, 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 12.03-1L River corridors, 15.01-2L Landmarks, 15.02-1L Environmentally sustainable development, 15.03-1L Heritage and 19.02-6L Open Space.</p> <p>*Note: Submitter made an earlier submission separate submission - refer to #12</p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
235	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Building design AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed amendment, allowing up to 14 storey developments, will, regardless of any suggested caveats and safeguards, be used by developers, to maximise their short term profits, at the expense of the city's amenability. Well past time for Yarra to introduce a strict height limit. Liveable cities of the world have understood this and introduced strict height limits. Would like to see a strict, 5-6 storey limit imposed across Yarra.
236	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This over development is going to far and too high/fundamentally changing the landscape of Yarra which is irreversible. Higher buildings is more dense living which we do not have supporting infrastructure for unless you put up rates again/Yarra has some of the highest rates already. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
237	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports retaining the character of streets like Brunswick St as magnets for shoppers. Does not want to see character areas replaced with modern multi storied blocks. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
238	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Understands that there is a need to have more housing and services as the population grows but is making this submission to ask that everyone and council especially help to ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips so they can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
239	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please stop the excessive permission for so many high rise buildings. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
240	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character/built form AND Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs to be recognition that St Georges Road features a much loved and used village which includes the local landmarks of the Pinnacle hotel and the fabulous new library. Submission includes further dot points for consideration. Nicholson Street, North Fitzroy – Submission includes further dot points for consideration. St Georges Road and Nicholson Street Activity Centres require a Design and Development Overlay to ensure protection for the significant heritage low rise shopping centres and to provide a guide for appropriate and sensitive residential and commercial development. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal, incremental, moderate and high – there are not transparent easy to find definitions of these terms. There needs to be definitions of both social and affordable housing and provision for both included. Definitions and targets need to be defined. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Could be improved by including Objectives. Strategies should be reordered to better structure the document, should begin with strategies for conservation. Definitions are required. Laneways need to be recognised as part of the public realm. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 16.01-2L Location of residential development, 16.01-4L Housing Affordability, Heritage 15.03-1L, 12.03-1L Yarra River, Darebin and Merri Creek corridors.</p>
241	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is already too much high development and it is ruining Johnston and Smith Streets. Can’t change these charming local streets with quaint shops and eateries into city style wind tunnels with no soul. No developments about 4 storeys. Keep everything human scale. No massive buildings.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
242	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In favour of increasing population density in a planned way, but 8-14 storey limit is wrong for many reasons, not least light, aesthetics. Great cities of the world have lower limits for these reasons. Limit should be 6. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
243	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Submits they are in complete agreement with the AFADA Submission regarding the proposed Amendment C269. (Submission222)</i>
244	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Main contributions relate to the historic Richmond Hill precinct. Need to place a stronger more binding heritage overlay over this area. Councils like Moreland have a strong HO that clearly stipulates planning laws such as the minimum section that must be preserved of historic houses. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Transport</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Historic Richmond Hill area and surrounds (including Cremorne) are not built for dense high rise developments. Since redevelopment of Punt Road/construction in Cremorne - dramatic increase in vehicles using the little historic, essentially one way streets of Richmond Hill to cut through to Punt Road. This high volume of traffic is unsustainable/stress to the residents of the area. Traffic survey has not been completed. Narrow streets cannot support multi storey development. Aesthetics and amenity need to be preserved. Greening the buildings is needed

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building more bike paths and parks is needed. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
245	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would like to see the heritage of our retail shopping areas maintained. Important to keep the diversity of local and individual small businesses. Over development of these areas will lose our heritage. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
246	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support new buildings above 6 storeys in or around any of our shopping strips or local neighbourhoods. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submits that Council should preserve, and value, Yarra’s heritage. More street trees, low rise re-development and protection of what we have. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
247	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Transport</u> <i>Building Design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issues I see important for consideration are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of design/providing reasonable quality of life.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sound minimisation, access to light, minimum standards of material. - Encouraging minimal use of cars. Including bicycle storage in design, minimising car spaces. - Develop and protect public space. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
248	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests that C269 be rejected. • Making submission to fight for Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design and Urban Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased density of housing brings in more people however quality of life of towers and mid level development will destroy the neighbourhood. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raising heights above what is currently in Brunswick Street, will create another dark soulless and treeless space.
249	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local action group told me that the C269 documents mean that council would be promoting 8-14 storey apartments along Brunswick Street. Couldn’t see where that is in the documents, although note that the documents are subjective/give a fair bit of discretion. • Recognises that Yarra needs to carry its weight in housing our growing population. • Important to maintain the character and vibrancy of Brunswick, Smith and Gertrude Streets – vital and unique commercial precincts. • Visual amenity is important – huge shame to see any of these streets turned into canyons, with Victorian shopfronts backed by large developments. • C269 should include some controls on height (i.e. 5 storeys) and setbacks on these key streets.
250	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy. Finds it extremely distressing that 8-14 storey buildings might be built with little thought to the impact on light and liveability of the surrounding homes. Idea that preserving the façade would somehow compensate is just offensive. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
251	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values the village feel of Yarra. Likes to shop locally, diversity of shops. Don't want it to be overshadowed by high rise buildings. Queens Parade is an important cultural landmark - needs to be preserved. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban Design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appreciates the diversity of housing. Laneways are important to our culture - need to be preserved. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green space needs to be preserved for the future. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Housing diversity AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to have a mixture of different sort of housing and affordable houses.
252	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs to be recognition that St Georges Road features a much loved and used village which includes the local landmarks of the Pinnacle hotel and the fabulous new library. Submission includes further dot points for consideration. Nicholson Street, North Fitzroy – Submission includes further dot points for consideration.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> St Georges Road and Nicholson Street Activity Centres require a Design and Development Overlay to ensure protection for the significant heritage low rise shopping centres and to provide a guide for appropriate and sensitive residential and commercial development. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal, incremental, moderate and high – there are not transparent easy to find definitions of these terms. There needs to be definitions of both social and affordable housing and provision for both included. Definitions and targets need to be defined. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Could be improved by including Objectives. Strategies should be reordered to better structure the document, should begin with strategies for conservation. Definitions are required. Laneways need to be recognised as part of the public realm. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 16.01-2L Location of residential development, 16.01-4L Housing Affordability, Heritage 15.03-1L, 12.03-1L Yarra River, Darebin and Merri Creek corridors.</p>
253	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage buildings and landscape are what locals love and cherish. Must preserve a Heritage landscape to share and maintain for future generations. Don't want Yarra to be over run and over shadowed by high rise concrete structure available elsewhere. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
254	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. The local street scape will be destroyed, parking rendered impossible and over population will be stressful for everyone.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	
255	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the context of planning - the term 'amenity' is poorly defined. New high rise office and apartment developments only consume the amenity of existing residents and small businesses. Developments should be restricted in size depending on compliance with the provision of minimal facilities per development "unit" or floor space. Facilities might include - areas of garden or open space, number of onsite car parking. In areas adjacent to those defined by an historical overlay the height of developments should be limited to 5 storeys to minimise obstruction of the sky line and visual bulk. To prevent a sense of alienation and reduce visual blight the maximum height of new buildings should be restricted to 30 metres, not matter their location In the City. Ensure an adequate supply of car parking that is appropriately designed and located. Specific reference to an additional landmark - Bryant and May building and tower In Church Street. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the Impact of reduction in the provision of car parking. Essential part of our amenity is our access to transport. Would like to see the objective of Clause 18.02-4S of the Local Planning Policy which provides guidelines on car parking, actively promoted in the consideration of planning Issues. <p><u>Activity Centres AND Form and Content</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given the prominence and the Impact of "activity centres" I am concerned about the very loose prescriptions on their development and the lack of definition in the strategies. That area of the Swan Street Activity Centre on the northern side of Swan St should be excised from the Major Activity Centre and thus provide a buffer between the commercial activities (particularly entertainment venues) in Swan St and adjoining residences. Its definition as a Neighbourhood Activity Centre would be appropriate. To protect a human-friendly ambiance, and prevent the creation of a virtual canyon, all multi-storey developments by virtue of their size and visual impact should be well set back from Swan St.
256	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The current landscape in Queens Parade needs to be preserved without any buildings above.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preservation of green spaces is vital. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
257	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra's nineteenth century character is at risk of being overwhelmed by development of a scale and density that has little regard for the future liveability. • Need to preserve more than just façades. • Fitzroy's built heritage and sight lines and character should not be further undermined. • Additional views/landmarks included in submission. • Planning scheme needs to be explicit about our cherished heritage and how it is protected. The current draft does not do this. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
258	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While there is much that is admirable about the proposed Strategic Plan, it suffers in parts from ambiguity and vagueness which needs to be clarified and tightened to avoid future problems. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council could make it a requirement that any new large or medium-scale development include the creation of a coherent and greened new public open space proportional in size to the site's planned increase in population density. • Council could seize this rare opportunity and categorise some (if not all) of the areas of Yarra as has labelled 'uncategorised' in the Strategic Plan maps as designated 'open space' instead. • The crucial thing is that any approved Strategic Plan contains clear descriptions of the means by which such highly-desirable, indeed necessary, aims are to be achieved. • I can find no detail in the plan to support the stated aim of increasing existing public open space.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
259	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <u>Height</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about over development. Council appears not to be listening to its residents - recent Brunswick St consultations have been a tick box exercise with few actions proposed by the focus groups having been enacted. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Totally inept parking and Infringement system, there has been no communication, nor transparency around how parking will be managed in an already congested area.
260	Community group (Merri Creek Management Committee)	<p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Biodiversity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthen the references to using local native plants in revegetation work. Include references to understorey planting. Water corridors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish a continuous wildlife habitat, refuge and movement corridor with limited public access along one back of each waterway. Consider using Merri Creek Management Committee (2004) <i>Understanding Planning Issues along the Merri Creek & Policy: Development Guidelines for the Merri Creek</i>, as a reference document. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <u>Open space</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The policy dealing with land contributions should include a reference that where land adjoins a waterway the contribution should be as land which is added to the waterway corridor. General preference for cash contributions should on the Open Space Contribution Plan in some precincts should not apply where the land adjoins a waterway. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> While outside the scope of C269 – urges Council to advocate for a careful resolution of the proposed permanent controls along the Yarra River corridor. Keen that new controls generally similar to the Yarra River corridor interim provisions be prepared for Merri Creek, Darebin Creek and other Yarra River tributaries. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 12.01-1L Biodiversity, 12.03-1L Yarra River, Darebin and Merri Creek Corridors and 19.02-6L Public Open Space Contribution.</p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
261	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Character AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Value and shop at our local shops in Clifton Hill and Queens Parade - also Fitzroy and Collingwood shopping strips. Support buying local and supporting diverse small businesses. Concerned about development Impacting on the vibrancy and diversity of the shops. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve heritage. Street verandahs should be retained. Views from our streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. Think that new buildings should be more sustainable - high rise buildings are not. C269 policy should encourage adaptive re-use of buildings to avoid demolition. Only 16 nominated Landmarks. The landmark policy does not protect the Landmark, it protects the views to it. Submission Identifies suggestions for additional landmarks. Hopes that C269 will include planning to preserve and add green spaces and trees and include good maintenance plans to current and new trees and green spaces. Need to protect our laneways - should not be sold. High rise buildings impacting our parks with overshadowing, removing views of heritage skyline should be prevented in the scheme. Open spaces in winter need minimum of five hours sunlight. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected In C269. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Housing affordability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10% minimum should be Increased and well planned in the style and quality of build. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting of Merri Creek with the Yarra at Dights Falls and the Aboriginal Park and Connections are wonderful places - these and avenues of trees, stands of native trees and heritage elements such as gazebos should all have protection in the planning scheme. Would like the planning scheme to ensure the creek corridors are managed and protected and not allow development along these corridors. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
262	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. Having clean public toilets open during daylight hours in every park and shopping centre/strip should be mandatory. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy lacks definitions of technical terms. Should be an accompanying document - well-illustrated guidelines in plain English to support the new policy. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND Landmarks AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve heritage. Wants views from our streets and lanes to ensure visibility of our heritage, particularly landmark buildings against the blue sky from all directions. Make sure that all landmark buildings are protected, many more than the palty 11 on your map. Entrances to new blocks of flats must not be hidden behind new shops or require entry through shops to access the living areas. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Development contributions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developer contributions to public amenity must be compulsory and cannot be traded away, put into a special fund for use by Council to be used to create more green space and more public toilets and water fountains, including taps for refilling bottles. <p><u>Transport</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good pedestrian access to safe road crossings and wide footpaths to accommodate pedestrian traffic safely along with the café outdoor seating is necessary.
263	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
264	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
265	Community member	<u>Activity centres AND Built Environment and Heritage</u> <u>Height/built form AND Building design AND ESD AND Urban design AND Heritage</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern that the proposed activity centres are all still excessively large in terms of height and density. Recognise that some taller buildings are required but these need to include welcoming street frontages, sensible planting or mini gardens, various textures, verandahs and some shade for passing traffic. Buildings should have recessed heights, decreasing as they reach up rather than be cube/box styles. Building height guidelines must include all roof terraces and air conditioning/solar/maintenance units or requirements. Urge Council to reduce the areas allocated to be major activity centres and consider that Neighbourhood Activity Centres be the largest building size allowed. Changes within NAC need to be minimal to incremental change. MAC's within the proposed planning scheme are too high and lack step down to residential terrace homes. Preserve our low buildings and treescape. Height of new developments must be in sympathy with nearby residences and be set back to allow access to light and views. New buildings should include solar panels and as many green features as practical. All new buildings should be encouraged to include roof gardens and residential access to open clothes drying. Discourage and avoid signage which emits light constantly. Recognise and retain historical signs. Bluestone laneways and other laneways - must be retained as open space for all and not incorporated into commercial centres. Submission includes specific reference to buildings/locations that are significant and need preservation.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - heritage - Community by retaining walkability - low rise - Enhance green space - not just trees - preserve views of heritage -preserve views of sky, day and night and longer vistas. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planting and retaining trees is optimal but so is creating and preserving open green space. Small or mini parks to be encouraged. Include them as part of the NAC. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submits that there need to be more landmarks and views included in the planning scheme. • Submits the need to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Preserve and respect the heritage of the City of Yarra ○ Preserve community by retaining walkability of the City ○ Preserve low-rise ○ Preserve and enhance the green spaces – not just trees ○ Preserve views of heritage ○ Preserve views of the sky, day and night and the longer vistas (ability to see over to Kew or the CBC) • Submits that Yarra will become known first for its preservation of low rise rather than multi-storey apartments and offices. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
266	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundaries AND Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map in the Strategic Framework Plan shows the mixed use pocket behind Richmond Train Station (bordering Stewart, Botherambo and Tanner Streets) as being included in the Swan Street Activity Centre, however the Activity Centre Plan for the

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p>area excludes this pocket. This discrepancy needs to be fixed. My Interpretation of the intent of the amendment is as per the detailed boundaries indicated in the Activity Centre Plan for the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To further preserve the character of this specific pocket, the strategic plan must include height restrictions to ensure that the current 'natural' graduation in height is preserved. Reference to mid-rise buildings in major activity centres needs to be defined more clearly. Mid-rise in this context should be no more than 5-6 storeys. We do not want to see any more high-rise buildings over 6 storeys in the Swan Street Activity Centre. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategic Plan should set out clear guidelines for the type of buildings that are complementary to the local neighbourhood and prevent the construction of out of context buildings that are 'white elephants' within the historic Richmond neighbourhoods. Submission includes reference to specific buildings in Richmond that should be recognised as part of Richmond's heritage. Amendment needs to protect parks and open spaces from overshadowing by new developments.
267	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern relates to the status of laneways being part of the public realm or not. Reference to C231 decision to exclude laneways from the public realm. Decision had significant ramifications for the site at 390A Queens Parade. Requests that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The public realm be clearly defined Laneways be reinstated to the public realm for all of Yarra, or Failing 2 above, that wording of the proposed amendment be altered to specifically ensure the protection of heritage buildings which only have laneway frontages. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clause 15.03-1L Heritage.</p>
268	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests the planning scheme should better reflect community use and have a second stream for considering activities of a different nature. Recreational and fundraising activities invited by the land use for occasional use needs a simpler more affordable process for application.
269	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The amenity, cultural diversity and heritage of the municipality is at stake with over development and inappropriate height.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
270	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed amendment will result in massive overdevelopment in a unique heritage area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. The liveability of the area, starting with the Piedmonte development will be detrimentally impacted by increased traffic, light and space reduction, making it difficult for residents to attend to their shopping and other needs.
271	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. Objects to the threat of overdevelopment.
272	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about height changes - will turn Yarra into a Docklands type suburb. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submits that council is not representing or consulting with the community. Council should be ashamed - totally unrepresentative of the community/ no talk of this before the elections. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
273	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has lived in Fitzroy for 17 years. Do not allow this. Whole nature of the area will change.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
274	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The tying of development to three levels of "activity centre" is commendable. However, directing growth and development to high and moderate change zones clashes with some of the Amendment's objectives. For example, allowing apartment buildings 8-14 floors high (as contemplated by the Scheme) flies in the face of objectives of sustainability, heritage and liveability. <p><u>Built environment and heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Building design AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about apartment buildings and impact on character and sustainability, visual impact - at odds with the heritage low rise shops lining the streets of the zones designated "high" or "moderate" change. Queens Parade outcome - no logical reason why the results of that in-depth study should not be adopted Yarra-wide. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commends the inclusion of environmental considerations. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
275	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the many attacks on the historic/heritage scale of the residential and strip shopping areas of Yarra. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There should be policies to protect and enhance tree cover particularly those on building blocks and no net loss of open space. The need to protect heritage neighbourhoods, individual buildings, streetscapes, skylines and view lines. Sustainable development as a prerequisite for all new developments/alterations. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable Housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requirements for affordable housing to maintain Yarra's traditional community mix. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
276	Community member	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building Design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Gore St, Fitzroy. • Concerned about some planning decisions and the design and built quality of new development. • Perhaps Council should take more of a lead in design for certain areas. • Facadism is also a concern - often these buildings would be better off destroyed as the new construction pays no respect to its design or history. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is some imagination in the street trees for some locations but in others it seems arbitrary. Drip irrigation or storm water harvesting could be used to water trees. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Johnston Street, Fitzroy - turning into a hodgepodge of mid rise apartment buildings with no context to their surroundings. Should be strict height limits, setbacks and basic design features to give the street more of a cohesive look. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see that these guidelines are designed not be overturned at VCAT.
277	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Landmarks AND Building design AND heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The uniqueness of the pedestrian and vehicular approach to the Yarra along Johnston Street and the need for protection of this landmark view: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Instead of supporting increased development along either side of the so-called "Hoddle Boulevard", developers have "emphatically moved in" on Johnston Street. - Can't think of another example In Melbourne's inner suburbs, of such a magical and unique convergence of both gently undulating topography and natural beauty, interacting with existing vehicular and walking public roads to provide such as lovely approach to one of the few remaining green lungs in the inner suburbs. - Should be listed as a protected natural view. • Heritage Overlay over Charles Street Precinct (HO303) - could Council not go beyond the standard paint controls etc towards a more detailed and imaginative vision for how it sees different heritage areas? • I can see the route from Vic Park Station, Skirting Victoria Park (home of the historic Collingwood Football Clun), Stafford St, Valiant St, through to the Convent conceptualised as a heritage walking route.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about current Design and Development Overlay which applies to Johnston Street - has sanctioned the gradual "Grand Canyon-isation" of Johnston Street. Concerned about maximum building height limits mandated for Johnston Street Precinct East. Little care seems to have been paid to the formulation of appropriate heights especially along "sensitive residential Interfaces". Council needs to be clearer in articulating the precise extent of urban change in local neighbourhoods especially those interfacing with key thoroughfares in activity centres and managing development along these sensitive residential interfaces. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
278	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
279	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Urban design AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Lennox Street, Richmond, just north of Swan Street. Concerned about the effects of change in this part of Yarra. Heritage is undervalued and the actual community feel is being eroded by overdevelopment and substandard design. Unique and intrinsic character that attracts those who choose to live, work and visit here is being replaced by a high-rise generic branded city that is without history, unique character and amenity. Our laneways need protection and should remain in the hands of the community and continue to be publicly accessible. List of landmarks are token in nature. To have only 16 listed buildings is negligent. Where the heritage residential streets are predominantly single storey, to suggest that mid-rise buildings should be 5-6 storeys is amenity affecting too high. 4 storeys should be the maximum. Worried that the tall buildings are adding a token tree, potted plant rather than employing more sustainable practices.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designing multilevel basement carparks encourages car use rather than public transport. Parking should be for disabled and delivery. Green open space needs to be designed at planning stage and made a requirement for city cooling. Too much green space being replaced with buildings. Green space (more than a token effort) needs to be a listed requirement of new developments. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property borders Mixed Use Zone/ Botherambo Street. Lennox Street will be unprotected from views of the 7 storey block long development proposed to be built in this adjacent zone. Need to ensure visibility of the heritage streetscapes against the blue sky rather than overshadowing high rises. C269 shows maps that indicate that this Mixed Use Zone is to be incorporated into the Swan Street Activity Centre. If this is the case I do not believe that this has followed proper process and as such should not be considered part of the Activity centres. It is not an activity centre it is predominantly residential (approx. 65%). More characteristic of a residential zone. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendment concerns me - it is poorly documented to the point of being quite misleading in parts. Expected the maps to be clear and correct. They are not. If I had not cross-referenced them I could have been given false information. The document does not give a list of definitions. It is confusing to read because there is no consistency. There should be a glossary of terms. Document needs conversion to plain language.
280	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. Concerned that the proposal will lead to overdevelopment and reduce the amenities available to residents that currently live in the area.
281	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height AND Character AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Alphington. Old Paper Mill site - concerned that without strict guidelines, developers will use it as a precedent to convert the commercial zones along Heidelberg Road into large apartment buildings and this will have a detrimental Impact on the suburb. Mandatory height restrictions in these activity centres would go a long way.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact of development on traffic flow, parking, public transport also needs to be considered to make sure that the character of these suburbs is maintained. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Landmarks AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission includes suggestions for additional landmarks. Sustainability - tighter guidelines should be part of the updated amendment.
282	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that 8+ storeys on Brunswick street will overshadow, create a wind tunnel and be unpleasant. There are many empty shopfronts that need to be made attractive and welcoming and bringing people from across the city. Supportive of lower rise developments – provide amenities and housing in a sustainable way and retains the character. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
283	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low-rise local shopping strips (St Georges and Nicholson Street) are important to the community. Any new residential or commercial development needs to respect and be sensitive to this very special local environment. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certain there would have been little opposition to the Piedmontes redevelopment if it was a well designed 4 storey residential/commercial development that would not overwhelm the historic low-rise village.
284	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fully support AFADA submission. Specific reference to Alphington Concerned with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of green space, over use of Alphington Park and sports field Increased population impacting the primary school Loss of neighbourhood feel (character)

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Loss of sky views due to larger buildings. • Does not object to reasonable development – but believes that Heidelberg Road (on both sides) should be restricted to no more than 5 storeys. • Submits that overshadowing measures should be taken from the winter solstice.
285	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment lacks detailed planning guidance for managing residential development. • Language is vague about built form outcomes for the different locations. • Clearer language with maximum building heights for the proposed higher change, moderate change, incremental change and minimal change areas is critical. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of Residential Development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Strategic Framework Plans fails to take into account the strategic and physical context of the locations. <p><u>Activity Centres AND Form and Content</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exhibited 11.03-11 Activity Centres clause merges the different activity centres, ignores their different roles and classifications and does not align with state policy. Council should review the language/ensure that the intended meaning is clear. • Needs guidelines in plain English to avoid confusion. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exhibited 15.03-1L Heritage - This Clause requires meaningful objectives. The new strategy has a single sentence regarding new development. It should be replaced with a strategy for conservation before dealing with new development. Uses inconsistent language - ought to retain the definitions of the current policy. • Laneways should not be exempt but part of the public realm.
286	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not in favour of 8-14 storeys in shopping strips. • Height will create a canyon and overshadowing effect on the areas. • There are other places in Yarra where taller buildings would not have an undue Impact. • Another issue - parking for those visiting taller buildings. More demand for parking in our shopping strips is the exact reverse of the favourable effect of the kerbside cafés currently being developed.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
287	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please respect the heritage values of Fitzroy. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
288	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack of continuity and holistic planning when it comes to developments. For example in my area there are over 15 developments in planned or in development in 500 metre radius. protection of heritage buildings needs to happen. Concerned about impact of new development on Yorkshire Brewery Brew Tower. Sustainability needs to be taken seriously in developments. Council should look at plant farm buildings in closed loop modules instead of office blocks.
289	Community group (Yarra Climate Action Now)	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whilst generally we think the proposed changes to the Planning Scheme move in the right direction we are concerned that often they are aspirational rather than enforceable and lack the sense of urgency that our current climate crisis demands. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 12.01-1L Biodiversity, 12.03-1L Yarra River, Darebin and Merri Creek corridors, 15.01-1L Urban Design, 15.01-2L Building Design, 15.02-1L Environmentally sustainable development, 16.01-2L Location of residential development, 16.01-3L Housing diversity, 16.01-4L Housing affordability, 18.02-1L Sustainable transport, 18.02-3L Road system and 19.03-5L Waste.</p>
290	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New planning scheme would ruin the look, feel and uniqueness, "Fitzroy vibe" of Brunswick Street. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
291	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage low-rise shopping strips are the heart of historic precincts. They would be overwhelmed by multi-storey development above. 8-14 storeys is just too big. Diversity of businesses and public amenity needs to be protected. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Urban design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Victorian heritage streetscapes must be protected. Reuse rather than demolish existing buildings. New high rise buildings are not sustainable. Landmarks - There are amazing heritage streetscapes - development to respect the landmark but also the overall streetscapes themselves. Laneways - need to be maintained and protected. Any new development must ensure more open space in their planning. <p><u>Open space</u> <i>Infrastructure</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We need more green space not less. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
292	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage AND Boundary AND Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extremely important that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Neighbourhood character is preserved. - Heritage appearance and fabric is preserved. - Activity centres become places where people want to meet and shop. - Heritage shopfronts and verandahs are protected and restored. - Heritage verandahs, where they have been removed are rebuilt. - Visual prominence of our heritage streetscape and buildings be protected.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Heritage buildings in and landmarks from laneways are recognised. • Strategic Framework Plan – appears an extension to Swan Street is being suggested. If this is so, significant issues in the future could arise. More consideration must be given to the extension of these types of activity centres. • Inappropriate to apply mid-rise development in historic shopping strips. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND World heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objectives must be inserted in the new policy. Submission includes recommended objectives. • Exhibited policy does not respond adequately to the Yarra Industrial Heritage Policy 2019. • Laneways should be recognised as a major part of heritage. Why is Council trying to exclude various aspects of a laneway that relate to heritage? • Nothing in C269 to address issues of access via laneways for emergency service vehicles. • Reference to retaining the predominately lower scale form of development – what does this mean? <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of definitions are required. • Need for a plain English set of Guidelines to support the new policy. • In the context of Laneways - What is clearly missing in C269 is a clear definition of what constitutes the Public Realm. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Neighbourhood Activity Centre DDO16 Queens Parade Precinct 4 as a ‘moderate change’ is now at odds with DDO16 (C231). Must be recognised as a minimal change area. • Housing affordable should be 20% in private and public housing constructions, that accommodate more than 50 apartments. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clause 02.03 Strategic Directions, 02.04 Strategic Framework Plan, 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 15.03-1L Heritage, 15.03-1L World heritage Environs area, 16.01-2L Location of residential development and 16.04-4L Housing affordability.</p>
293	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the initiative of Council to redraft policies but believes those policies and provisions for the built environment and heritage need to be seriously modified if these areas are to be protected, and enhanced by further development. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clause 15.03-1L Heritage.</p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
294	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Height/built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In favour of the change - lives in Johnston St between Hoddle and Nicholson. On one side of the street the buildings are 8 storeys high, while mostly 1 or 2 storeys on the south side.
295	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amazed given Queens Parade planning - that the Council would contemplate a change that is so certain to drastically alter the character of our local shopping strips. Character is a key asset. Concerned about over development - will destroy the very features that bring so many people locals or tourists to enjoy these precincts. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
296	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Queens Parade experience. Local shopping strips are key foci of life - Importance of small scale. Important to maintain these little nubs. No multi-storey developments which leave street frontages as mere façades! <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports proposal of submitter 350 to make Yarra's draft heritage policy more rigorous, purposeful and precise. Grateful acknowledgement to Councillors, and staff for sustainability focus. Importance of reuse of old buildings. Importance of verandahs in shopping strips - heritage and sustainability. Submission suggests the inclusion of additional landmarks. Shocked to learn in a recent case that laneways are not necessarily in the public realm and can be sold off in part or whole. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable Housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordable housing should be increased to 20%.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open Space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect, enhance and create more green open spaces.
297	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Boundary AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to ex industrial precinct to the north of Richmond Station. - has a very unique character. Concerned that the amendment seeks to apply the Major Activity Centre to the whole precinct. Concerned about Inappropriate development Council should be actively preventing this through imposition of height limits that reflect surrounding buildings in the precinct (4-6 storeys) and ensuring that new buildings reflect the usage mix (50/50 resi/commerical) rather than just being exclusively office buildings. Submission refers to November 2016 Council resolution to seek 4 storey height limits in all mixed use zones in Yarra. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission suggests the inclusion of additional landmarks. Concerned about the impact of overdevelopment in the precinct resulting in loss of views of these landmarks from key locations. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear lack of community consultation in the development of the Amendment. Council needs to restart the Amendment drafting process with community consultation as a major Input.
298	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Directly impacted by the Clifton Views and monstrosity next door to it. Wants to help put a stop to such planning decisions and contribute to a more appropriate urban landscape in keeping with Queens Parade's heritage status and historical significance. Believes 4 storey limits is reasonable and adequate for this area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
299	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. This is overdevelopment without any regard for the fabulous area we live in. No thought for future generations or heritage value.
300	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Fitzroy - loves the diversity, human-scale and quirkiness of the suburb. Should adopt a planning strategy which maintains and preferably enhances Fitzroy's distinctive characterises. Proposed amendment - that Brunswick and Johnston Streets could be redeveloped to allow - de facto- encourage 8-14 storey buildings would be nothing less than destructive of Fitzroy's essence. The model of expansion in Collingwood concerns us. For a Council that prides itself on its environmental commitments - developments in Collingwood are a disappointing and signal failure. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
301	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Brunswick Street, Fitzroy. Diversity is important – preponderance of night time and alcohol based businesses has skewed the amenity. This is changing the character of the area and amenity. C269 needs to provide for a greater diversity of businesses. Increase in apartments in the commercial zone impacts on employment opportunities. Concerned about height and bulk of new developments and their impact on the streetscape and neighbourhood. C269 needs to protect and enhance our iconic activity centres and provide ways to increase their diversity for both a night and day time economy. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage buildings and streetscapes need to be protected, recognised and conserved. Need to recognise heritage buildings and those that play a contributory role and ensure that views are not diminished by new buildings. C269 should have clearly listed objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Environmental risk and amenity AND Built environment and heritage</u> <u>Climate change AND Building design AND Urban design AND ESD</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should reflect the Climate Emergency Plan and provide Council with greater controls over the sustainability of new buildings. • Benchmarks for ESD are minimal – should reflect net zero carbon. • Height of buildings being approved and built are not sustainable. Unable to provide sufficient solar power and water collection and re-use in minimal. • C269 needs to address sustainability in a meaningful and proactive way. • C269 needs to protect our laneways – they need to be retained and repaired for public use and not to be seen as the place for bins, household rubbish and parked vehicles. They need to be lit to ensure safety. They should not be sold. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to be a City in a forest – more plantings and use of storm water to sustain plantings in our public spaces. • Yarra needs a plan to retrofit its streets footpaths, laneways and plantings. • C269 needs to address need for an urban forest and recognise water as a finite resource. • Amount of new open space will be constrained into the future. Need to recognise open space as including streetscapes, urban spaces between buildings, school and educational facility grounds and laneways. • C269 needs to address our needs for open space in an innovative way. <p><u>Housing</u> <u>Affordable housing</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At a minimum new residential developments should be required to include 20% affordable housing. • C269 needs to address our need for affordable and social housing.
Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
302	Community group (Fitzroy Residents Association)	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear, non-repetitive numbering system is required. • Many strategies are to be implemented elsewhere in the planning scheme – would be helpful if these could be identified in the rewrite. • Some policies are best assisted with additional guidelines. <p><u>Other</u> <u>Zoning</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy updates should not introduce any ‘de-facto rezoning’ such as treating land zoned Mixed Use but within an Activity Centre boundary the same as land zoned Commercial. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is left of the unique, heritage and arty low-rise nature of Brunswick Street, Fitzroy and Gertrude Street Fitzroy (general height of 11.5 metres) be retained explicitly through C269. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND World Heritage AND Heritage AND ESD AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The many intact heritage streetscapes and shopping strips throughout Fitzroy have a maximum height limit of 11.5 metres to its residential and commercial areas. All development in the World Heritage Environs and Buffer Area be carefully considered for height and integration A new National Heritage Environs Area policy be considered and developed to conserve and protect the Abbotsford Convent site. No new residential development integrating gas an energy source and be net zero carbon through C269 and the ESD measures be enhanced and enforced through C269. Year-round sunlight with no increase in overshadowing be designed as an important feature of Yarra’s open spaces. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Social and affordable housing targets be increased and spread across all significant (of 20 or more dwellings) new developments and that such housing development is spread evenly across the City. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies and is the same as submitter no. 403.</p>
303	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local shopping centres with their small speciality shops, character, heritage verandahs, bold profile of their streetscape against the sky would be lost if multi-storey retail and residential developments are permitted to intrude them. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra’s parklands, waterways, street trees are community/environmental assets that may be put at risk by the amendment –they need to have secure protection from built development. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public space needs to be maintained, including space around landmarks and laneways. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
304	Community member	<p><u>Other AND Form and Content</u></p> <p><i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about the key terms used in the built form policy elements of C269 – they are vague, ill-defined, meaningless. Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mid rise, low rise, low scale, taller built form, more moderate built form, low rise development (section 11.03-1L) - Smaller scale apartment development, higher densities, increased densities, medium density (Section 16.01-2P) • These terms are not defined, if adopted – render the Scheme weak, difficult to comment on as there is no clarity as to what they mean. • Key terms should be clearly and explicitly defined and once this occurs it should be opened up again for public consultation. • Concerned about overdevelopment and this document does not safeguard us from this for the future. • Strategic Framework Plan does not have any street names included. These should be added to give clarity and meaning to the map.
305	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned by and opposed to the proposal of more building upwards (increased heights) of buildings in the area. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
306	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/ Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Calls for the abandonment of the recommendation of 8-14 storeys for the low-rise historic shopping strips that are the heart and soul of Yarra. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269 must have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra and its unique street and community feel. View from our streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the sky – we do not want wind tunnels. We do not want overshadowing of parks and streets. Need to protect our laneways – they need to be recognised as part of the public realm and as publicly accessible spaces. Laneways should be maintained and protected specifically in the amendment, they should not be sold <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra says it is committed to sustainability but high-rise buildings are not sustainable. Focus in the amendment on 8+ storey buildings as the future norm flies in the face of statement/policies made by Council. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accepts that there should be some residential development, especially in factory in-fill blocks and in previously industrial areas, but not at the expense of viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops, and not by making Yarra look the same. Clear visions for these unique spaces should be recognised in the amendment. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed policy lacks a real understanding of what people value/cherish about living in Yarra. Lacks definitions of technical terms. An accompanying document with a set of well-illustrated guidelines in plain English to support the new policy is also a must. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
307	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agrees with objective 17 of existing policy (Clause 21.05-2) that includes the stipulation that “development on strategic redevelopment sites or within activity centres should generally be no more than 5-6 storeys unless it can be demonstrated that the proposal can achieve specific benefits”. Pressing issue for Council – protect the parts of Heidelberg Road from further high rise developments to retain Yarra’s identity as a low-rise urban form with pockets of higher development. Every development that exceeds 5-6 storeys becomes a potential precedent for future overdevelopment. Agrees with Clause 15.01-2L sections on ‘carparking, loading facilities and outbuildings’ but ADD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase requirements for below-ground parking to minimise unsightliness, avoid associated increase in height of the building(s) in question. Generally there is inadequate provision for car parking spaces in developments resulting in an overflow to neighbouring streets. Clause 15.02-1L ESD – C269 should be rigorous in its stipulations. Recent developments have missed an opportunity to advance environmental sustainability. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
308	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loves the village feel and heritage buildings and wants this to continue. Would like Council to support and preserve a diverse range of shops. Opposes residential development in shopping strips at a height of 7 storeys – this would destroy the local character. 3 storeys is a reasonable maximum – with heritage features such as verandahs retained. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Urban design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urges Council to ban gas installation to any new build properties. We should be replacing gas hot water systems ASAP. High rise apartment blocks are not sustainable. Our open spaces should have views to our heritage streets and skylines and an open feel in terms of visibility of the sky – not overshadowed. 3 storeys is a reasonable maximum – with heritage features such as verandahs retained.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Environmental and landscape values AND Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees and open spaces are vital – would like Council to plant more trees and establish more green public spaces. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be a minimum 20% affordable housing for all larger developments. This will support low income households and the diversity of our population. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
309	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brash new shopfronts are not appropriate, the diversity of small businesses and heritage shop fronts should be retained. Local diverse strip shopping areas are important to the community. There are innumerable quirky monuments in Yarra (eg old shop signage). These, even on private property need to be maintained as they easily decay and are lost. Memorials such as the little tower outside The Everleigh on Brunswick Street have been defaced which detracts from their value. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some developments pay lip service to beautification, no maintenance taking place. Developers must be responsible for incorporating garden walls etc. Needs to be more green areas in large developments. Important to retain blue stone features, gutters and lanes. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council should be commended on its tree planting with tree planting even in small streets.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
310	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form AND Transport/ Traffic/Carparking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Importance of having a range of small local shops within walking distance. Maintain the experience of reduced traffic (during pandemic) and not have large retail outlets embedded within large developments that require parking and traffic management. Strongly think that development of high rise (over 4 or 5 storeys) needs to cease. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building needs to be sustainable and have a large mix of low income options (>10%). Building needs to be in keeping with existing laneways and character of the city which large developments are not. Concerned about across the border to Brunswick Moreland – balance completely wrong. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values AND Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need for more trees and open space is paramount. It is a civic and moral duty to protect and increase such space. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
311	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More prescription needed in the Yarra Planning Scheme to provide increased certainty of outcomes, to improve efficiency and effectiveness of the system. If there is a sound strategic/practical reason to propose a control, could the officers consider being more bold in proposing controls. If you don’t ask, you don’t get. Should be able to read the planning scheme and have a good idea how high the building across the laneway can be should it be developed. This is only possible with the draft C269 within the residential zones.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would love the vibrant, busy day/night economy strips of fine grain heritage and lovely local shops to be there for as they have been for over a hundred years. • Thinking which led to 6 storeys in the interim C231 controls – too focussed on maximising built form and not enough on character and viability of a successful, unique, valued commercial centre. • There needs to be well crafted neighbourhood character statements for all the activity centres. • Docklands, Bridge,Road, Smith Street just south of Alexander Parade – just because there are high-rise apartments does not mean there will be vibrant shopping strips along the street front. • Nicholson Street Village is suffering from land banking. • If continuing as a viable retail/hospitality business space in a well maintained heritage building is not the most profitable use in our key strips – they will not be maintained. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There needs to be replacement for the low-rise urban design objectives in 21.05-2, or all our highly valued shopping strips will be under threat. • Please also consider adopting keeping public green open space in sunlight at midwinter, not just the equinox for the main daylight hours 9am to 3pm. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development can be encouraged toward a focus on ex-industrial brownfields sites and in activity centres away from the key fine grain entertainment/retail streetscapes. This is still within the 800m for 20 minute neighbourhoods. • Housing Strategy on page 47 gives a mid-rise range of 5-14 storeys. This is a very wide range for large sites, which is also not defined. Concerned about lack of definition for 'large site'. • Supports inclusionary zoning. Please consider including City of Melbourne's recent step towards mandating affordable housing as part of required infrastructure in a municipality.
312	Community group	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes the unhelpful number system and lack of numbers sections within. Understands that this has been mandated by DELWP and suggests Council raises it with the Minister for Planning.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	(Collingwood Historical Society)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Context – Built Environment and heritage – ‘mid-rise’ should be much less than 14 storeys as implied here. Remove reference to 14 storeys. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mid rise may be too high in heritage activity centres. • Clause 11.03L: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Map of Fitzroy – add WHEA to map. - Smith Street – need to protect very old small heritage buildings in Collingwood for example in Oxford Street. - Johnston Street Precinct 7 – Trenerry Cres should be seen as sensitive riverside and industrial heritage. Not as an ‘activity centre’ with large development. - Local Activity Centres – Spensley and Ramsden Street should not have development higher than 2 or 3 storeys. - Victoria Street East Precinct – Needs more emphasis on heritage and the significance of the former Alma wool scouring works 663 Victoria Street. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND Landmarks AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urban Design policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Supports redevelopment adjacent to land in HO. - Overshadowing of public open space – should be winter solstice rather than equinox. • Building Design policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Is site coverage of 80% too much? • Landmarks policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should allow other landmarks to be protected. - Submission includes detailed discussion and tracked changes on the proposed Landmarks policy. • ESD policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should emphasise adaptive reuse. • Heritage policy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Submission includes detailed tracked changes. - Proposed change to Appendix 8

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Housing affordability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagrams and strategies need updating and restructuring to reflect recent changes (eg C231). • West Ramsden St, Clifton Hill and Campbell Street Collingwood should be ‘minimal’ not ‘incremental’. • Queens Parade shopping centre should be ‘minimal’ not ‘moderate’. • Housing affordability section should be strengthened. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More public open space should be provided at ground level on all big developments. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Zoning</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clause 13.07.1L Caretakers houses – former Victoria distillery and silos, 21 Northumberland Street Collingwood should be rezoned to acknowledge the long-standing residences in this excellent heritage adaptive re-use. The Yorkshire brewery was excised from the ‘Major Employment Zone’ and the former Victoria distillery site should be too. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</p>
313	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain elements of Yarra that make it so distinctively different from other suburbs. • The narrow streets of workers’ cottages mingling with the warehouses and factories must be retained. The factories exterior (including the roofline) must be conserved and the interiors repurposed. • One of my greatest hopes is that the sightline guidelines that are already in place be mandated. • New development must not overwhelm the past streets by height or bulk. • Height of new construction and setbacks should be mandated as it is in C231. The harmony needs to continue with the choice of construction materials. • Regarding verandahs in the shopping strips – such a boon if they were all restored. • In relation to the buildings on corners – would be valuable if they were to be continuous into the side street. • Rather than demolition, repurposing is often a better outcome.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infill should be mandated to conform to the rhythm on the street. • Landmarks – there has been no effort to choose building materials that belong to Yarra, nor include a roof line nor architectural lines that would unify the area, to enhance the churches and houses opposite or around them. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In areas where the shops have been developed for housing, the vitality of the shops below fades. The shop recedes below the housing development built above them and around them. • It is hoped that Gertrude Street will be carefully monitored. • Reference to ‘The Guidelines for Conservation Areas’, Fitzroy City Council August 1979. Information is invaluable. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Another booklet that would encompass all the City of Yarra and give guidelines for all domestic and public building in Yarra would help – if key guidelines were made mandatory.
314	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. • Supports Finnbar community house and Abbotsford convent – creating spaces will create a stronger community. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Richmond – suburb with unique character. • If large buildings/complex’s are built they should <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contribute to the neighbourhood’s character - Not be too imposing - Should provide some sort of open or green space at the street level.
315	Community member	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference to 10 Garryowen Lane, Fitzroy. • Not appropriate for buildings with a face to the park ie on our side of Garryowen Lane to be in the “incremental development” area. This includes all addresses on Garryowen Lane and the north side of 35 Rose Street.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra should include a statement that all development higher than 9 metres must not overshadow public parks (reference to Melbourne CC document). • Heights in the “incremental change” area should be limited well below the plan’s midrise limit of 14 storeys.
316	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Gipps St precinct, Collingwood. • Little thought and overall design planning in place. • Supports statements in C269 outlining a need to respect and preserve the unique industrial character. • Concerned about the demolition of significant local industrial buildings. • Concerned about the impact of new development on The Yorkshire Brewery Brew Tower- hemmed in by multi-storey developments – very limited public aspect. • Neighbourhood character and heritage sites must be preserved for everyone to enjoy. • Growing disruption to the laneways and backstreets of our precinct as the nature of both traffic and pedestrians living and working there has changed. • Little thought has been put into the design and management of conflicting needs of a large number of delivery vans, visitors by car, servicing residents and businesses. • Movement of pedestrians around the area presents significant safety concerns. • Loading bays, adequate on-site parking and safe pedestrian access must be incorporated into the design of any future developments. • Would like to see the availability of light prioritised both at street level and inside buildings, when developments are being approved. • Would also like to see new developments to be required to incorporate landscaping in their designs at ground level and on buildings. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see larger communal green spaces incorporated into the planning for this precinct by Yarra.
317	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smith Street between Johnson Street and Gertrude Street: I do not want developers dictating what shops are in operation along this street. Currently there is an eclectic, vibrant, thriving and healthful mix of shops. • I do not think that 7 floor development in the area of Smith Street shopping strip would be a positive impact on the area. There would be too many people on the streets, and this would reduce the village feel and community values of the street. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about what is meant by 'moderate change' development along Smith Street between Johnson Street and Gertrude Street. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND ESD AND Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the re-installation of street verandahs in the street. Provide much needed shade. • Views to heritage buildings among blue sky should be maintained. • Feel strongly about the fact that high rise buildings are both not the most hospitable places to live in, nor are they environmentally sustainable. • Yarra has declared a climate state of emergency, I think it would be unwise to implement high rise buildings in Yarra generally. • Delighted if buildings were re-adapted rather than redeveloped – to save on materials and energy. • Trees in Yarra should be protected and developments that reduce green space should not be allowed to go ahead. • Green space and trees should be protected at every opportunity. • Would like to see the following landmarks protected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abbotsford Convent landmark - Landmark at Victoria Street and Hoddle Street (public art sculpture). - Important view corridors from the entrances and exits of railway stations towards the city skyline. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not sure that 10% of affordable housing is sufficient.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
318	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Height of buildings along Johnston St – and now being built in Chapel St – far too high for these narrow streets with so many nineteenth century buildings of low height. Four storeys would have been high enough. Shocked to see that Brunswick St is slated for moderate development. Don’t know what this means but there should be no more higher buildings on this strip. Instead its historic heritage should be restored. Central concern – bland development – will destroy the tourist and resident attractiveness of Fitzroy. If you’re going to built apartments build smaller scale ones which are larger inside to attract owners not renters. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What about reinstating the wonderful cast iron street verandahs? Give the area character.
319	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with the future of the entire North Fitzroy Village precinct being designated Incremental Change as it is apparent this can mean 7 storeys or more over our little Victorian shops. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Character AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about traffic implications (reference to Scotchmer Street – jam packed). Important to us that all the historic feature like the lanes, landmarks and vestiges of the past are protected.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also really worried about a possible huge over-development of the Bus Site which could overshadow and threaten historic/well-preserved Rae Street.
320	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When I see high density buildings, increased traffic and my stunning skyline besmirched by highrise developments, I feel a great sense of personal and community loss. When you take the culture, the essence out of a place with Lego brick highrises, you rob residents of the sunlight, green spaces, peaceful walks, the option to have pets.
321	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the case of Johnston Street Local Area Plan. Precinct 2 “Johnston St East” is an example of how not to develop a local activity centre. Current DDO applying here will result in gross and inappropriate overdevelopment destroying the local character of this neighbourhood. Very little care taken by Council or VCAT as to the appropriate maximum building heights especially along Johnston Street to the South. Describing as “moderate change” going from the existing built form height of 3 storeys to 7-8 storeys on the south side of Johnston St in this precinct ignores the disproportionate impact of new built form on issues such as overshadowing, overlooking. C269 ignores the value and uniqueness of our protected Charles Street Heritage Overlay neighbourhood.
322	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heritage buildings and streetscapes must be protected from over development and development that destroys the heritage fabric of our community.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any development must be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sympathetic to the HO of the surrounding area - Protect landmarks and vistas - High quality design and materials - Size and scale that don't over power or overshadow existing heritage buildings, dwellings etc. • Laneways must be maintained and protected. Important amenity to neighbourhoods, must be kept available for use by the community. • High quality apartment buildings both in design and materials, sympathetic to HO, sensible heights in keeping with the surrounding areas are what people want. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The viability and liveability of our shopping precincts and streets need to be maintained and protected. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Housing diversity AND Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing. Stated that 13,000 new "homes" are required. The word home should be exactly what they are rather than just housing. • Size matters. Generous apartments designed for a single person through to families. • Affordable housing does not mean towers of apartments, cheaply made/stingy accommodations.
323	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delete reference to taller and mid-rise built form north of Gertude Street. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise policy – cross-reference to the new patron noise standards. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Heritage AND ESD AND World Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sunlight access in Urban Design, Building Design and Open Space and Public Open Space Contribution proposed policies should provide stronger protection to sunlight access. • The historic laneways need to be heritage listed and protected. • Signs in a Heritage Overlay – proposed change included in submission. • Detailed tracked changes of ESD policy included in submission.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the Queens Parade Heritage, Planning and Traders Group revised version of the Heritage policy. • Tracked change to the World Heritage Environs Area policy. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change to minimum of 20 per cent of affordable housing. <p><u>Economic development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed tracked change version of Tourism, arts and culture policy. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed changes included in submission for Public Open Space policy and Public Open Space Contribution. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Waste</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposed changes included in submission for Waste policy. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 02.02 Strategic Directions, 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 13.07-1L Licensed premises, 15.01-1L Urban Design, 15.01-1L Signs in a Heritage Overlay, 15.02-1L Environmentally sustainable development, 15.03-1L Heritage, 15.03-1L World Heritage Environs Area, 16.01-4L Housing affordability, 17.04-1L Tourism, arts and culture, 19.02-6L Public Open Space, 19.02-6L Public Open Space Contribution and 19.03-5L Waste.</p>
324	Representative of landowner (Norton Rose Fulbright on behalf of Risehealth Pty Ltd)	<p><u>Economic development AND Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Employment AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission relates to the Cremorne Precinct. • Concerned about encouraging mid-rise development in Cremorne – inappropriate in the absence of specific built form guidance in the form of a Structure Plan, DDO or similar control • Concerned that by the time that a structure planning process commences, the low-scale urban character of Cremorne will have been irreparably changed. • Concerned that permitting mid-rise development in Cremorne will encourage amalgamation of smaller sites which will detrimentally impact the fine-grain, low scale character.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New clause 17.01-1L (Employment) includes a strategy to “encourage the consolidation and intensification of employment land in Yarra’s major employment precincts”. Considers that this, combined with policies encouraging mid-rise development with no other building height restrictions, will be detrimental to the existing character of Cremorne. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes the proposed Clauses 15.01-2L Building design, 15.01-1L Urban Design, 17.01-1L Employment.</p>
325	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. Please do not allow this to happen. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This planning will ruin the historical integrity of Fitzroy.
326	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values the ‘village’ feel of our local shops. Small diverse shops are the height of the North Fitzroy Village and Nicholson Street community. Importance of local shops, family run businesses. Okay with modest residential buildings on top of shops but think the building height should not exceed two or three storeys. Would hate to see the skyline dominated by 7 storey apartment complexes. Reference to street level survey taken by residents of the number of storeys of buildings. North Fitzroy isn’t dominated by heavy apartment complexes <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accepts that there should be some residential development but not at the expense of our local shops. Street verandahs provide shade/should be reinstated. Would like to see new development planning to include more green spaces.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to protect our laneways, especially the narrow ones. Have them recognised as part of the public realm publically accessible spaces that can be used by all. • Laneways should be protected and maintained and not sold off to developers. • New developments should not be allowed to overshadow our parks and open spaces in winter and heritage skylines should be visible from our parks and open spaces. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra should plant more native trees, more native flowering trees which will encourage birds. • Sick of seeing new trees planted in the streets at the cost of precious car parking spaces.
327	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loves the ‘village’ atmosphere and that shops are within walking distance to everything. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Urban design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street verandas, heritage style should be reinstated. • Keep our laneways open to the public. • 8-14 storey is far too high for this area. Three max, don’t forget they have air-conditioning equipment on top ply 14 storey will also need lifts. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public parks, trams, trains, parking; at present we don’t have the infrastructure to cope with overcrowding caused by our increasing population. We need improved services in all areas.
328	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned at the proposal made in C269 to designate the section of Heidelberg Road (south side), between Parkview Rd and Como St as a NAC, to be an area of Moderate Change, allowing for mid-rise development of 8-14 storeys.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents would like the NAC designation of this section of Heidelberg Road changed to LAC, zoned C1Z, with enforcement of the provision that residential development in this zone should be “complementary” to the commercial, business and community uses. Implications of proposed designation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Impact on residential areas immediately adjoining the proposed NAC. Mid-rise buildings proposed will inevitably reduce access to northern light and winter sun. - Impact on the local “climate” of Heidelberg Road. Road narrows between Parkview Road and Como Street. The impact of reflected or contained heat of multi-storey concrete buildings, and the potential for increased trapping of vehicle exhaust fumes from a busy road will mitigate against the creation of a pedestrian friendly precinct. - Impact on heritage. Heritage linked to scale, ie the low rise nature of this section of Heidelberg Road. • Residents would like to see the proposed Interim Controls for the Heidelberg Road Local Area Plan authorised.
329	Community member	<p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the broad aims and intent to make Yarra a better place to live, as well as a more green and environmentally sensitive place. • Main criticism is that the documents feel somewhat “motherhood statement” in nature, instead of being true strategic plans. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guideline is too ambiguous. Height, massing and design guidelines need to be far more definitive. • Height and massing rules must be implemented with immediate effect. • Areas that are primarily one and two-storey in sizing and character need a prescribed overlay controlling heights of new developments. • New constructions cannot exceed 50% over and above the height of existing and surrounding buildings. • Areas such as St Georges Road, Best Street, Queens Parade where the predominant height profile is of two storeys, must be restricted to a maximum height of no more than 2 to 4 storeys or 8-16 metres. • Other areas (eg major activity areas) must avoid high-rise plague of areas like South Yarra and Box Hill. • Developments in major activity areas must not be permitted at greater than six storeys. • Developments must not significantly overshadow open spaces or parkland. • • Designs need to address appropriate massing and material considerations. • Mix of uses – new developments and builds along activity centres need to permit a mix of uses. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green corridors/ Natural Environment/ Yarra River: need to aim to have far greater natural spaces, even within our built-up, residential and commercial area. Must aim to connect our natural and wildlife spaces. Road surface that is available for car use in side streets must be reduced and footpaths widened, trees planted. These green corridors must then start linking together to create a truly green Yarra. • Must increase the street canopy by at least 100% on existing (2020) levels by 2030. • Must protect and restrict development along the river front along the Yarra. • New (especially large) developments may not be permitted along the river front. Available land must be sequestered or “quarantined” in perpetuity and converted to additional nature reserve spaces. • Properties such as the existing CUB Brewery and other commercial properties along the Yarra, Abbotsford must have specific environmental heritage overlays created whereby setbacks from the river are to be extended for any future plans. These protective overlays must impose re-greening. This must apply for the full length of the river in Yarra. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All new developments must have at least 50% green and open outdoor space. • Developers must plant trees and/or other plantings as part of the commissioning of their new development. • Feels that too many new developments are poorly conceived, and of poor design and construction. Believes that there needs to be better oversight and assessment that aims to ensure that new buildings conform to the principles of good architecture. Suggests an independent body made up of a mix of people; architectural and construction experts, interested residents, people of an artistic or creative background and suitably qualified parties. • Boulevards: high-rise development must be denied and height limits and massing must be applied immediately. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly feel that Council must reject any and all sales, gifts or other “disposals” or “disbursements” of public lands or spaces to private entities. • Where possible, as any underutilised private parcels of land become available, said parcels should be integrated into the public domain.
330	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Mid-rise’ is a most misleading term, allowing up to 14 storeys height, which in fact constitutes high-rise. These terms should be replaced with the actual number of storeys, to avoid ambiguity and deliberate misrepresentation of the facts. <p><u>Housing</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shopping strips are not the appropriate location for residential development. • 'Moderate change areas' along Heidelberg Road should definitely be changed to 'incremental change areas' in order to remain within neighbourhood character. • Strongly believes that the 'minimal change' term should apply to the vast majority of Alphington.
331	Community member	<p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Lennon Street (southern end) Richmond. • Not enough consideration is given to parking. We have permit parking in front of our house and often we can't find a car space. • Traffic – the streets cannot cope with the increased traffic. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where commercial meets residential there needs to be a gradual step up so that the development blends into the environment and does not appear to blight on the area. • Gradual step backs with height restrictions and step backs on each level will help with issues regarding overshadowing and overlooking. • Development that is proposed around Richmond Station/Wangaratta Street will dominate the area and is not in keeping with the feel of the historical area. Allowance for additional housing should not come at the detriment of the community/heritage, there needs to be consideration of making it a place that people are proud of.
332	Community member	<p><u>Environmental Risk and Amenity</u></p> <p><i>Climate Change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly supports action by the Council to maximise sustainability and minimise the impact of climate change in the Council's planning policies and operations. • Could include the following; • Minimising Council's energy usage; • Facilitating the use of public transport; • Encouraging electric vehicle usage; • Requiring high environmental standards on new buildings; and • Encouraging greening on rooftops or in tree planting and in avoiding over-development that impacts negatively on the environment. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Transport/Traffic/Car parking</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section of Heidelberg Road between Yarra Bend Road and Station Street has a thin strip of land designated commercial which borders on low scale residential. This interface clearly brings into question issues of scale that is appropriate for development within the commercial zone. • To have a thin ribbon of high-rise along Heidelberg Road without proper regard for its impact upon nearby residential would be highly undesirable. • If high rise is to be allowed on Heidelberg Road then it should be restricted to 3-5 storeys with appropriate staggering to minimise the impact on existing residential properties. • The development of the old papermill site appears to have been done without provisions being made for public transport and therefore the potential impact on road traffic flows in the immediate area is an example of the negative impacts that development can have to surrounding neighbourhoods. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While encouraging bike use is important, as its usage grows there is an increasing need to be mindful of the interface between cyclists and pedestrians. • Shared pathways are becoming increasingly more hazardous for pedestrians. Council needs to consider how best to manage this increasing problem particularly in areas of open part cloned where bicycle speed seems greater.
333	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not enough architectural heritage is being preserved. We should be preserving all 19th century brick dwellings. Wherever possible, extensions to existing buildings should not be visible from the front. • Higher rise buildings should not exceed 6 storeys in almost all of Yarra. They should be approved only in areas where reasonable separation from the predominant 1 and 2 storey form is possible. • Should avoid high rise buildings wherever possible in that they are air conditioner-dependant and cast shadows, create wind tunnels. • Loves our historic bluestone lanes. They should not be sold. Wants them to be cherished and properly maintained. • Wants to see reinstatement of policy encouraging restoration and replacement of shop verandahs. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wants to see precious 19th century shopping strips preserved in form as near as possible to their original. Does not want any building on top of these shops which is visible from the opposite side of the streets. Any addition to the rear should be out of sight line.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development in Activity Centres must be sympathetic to adjoining residential areas. The step up in building height from the nearest existing residence should be by one storey at a time. Commercial premises at the interface between the two zones should be subjected to more stringent regulation of noise and reduced hours of operation compared to those on main roads. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I want any new residential development to occur in the old industrial and manufacturing areas. Same regulations should apply as I have proposed for the interface between existing residential areas and Activity Centres. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
334	Organisation (National Trust of Australia)	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Landmarks AND Building design AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned that the policy does not include objectives. Submission includes recommendations of objectives for inclusions. Believes that the structure needs improvement. Concerned that list of definitions from current policy is not included in revision. Detailed comments and tracked changes on several sections of the Heritage policy. Detailed comments and tracked changes regarding Landmarks policy. Site coverage should be reduced. Strongly supports the new strategy for Development adjacent to land in a Heritage Overlay in the Urban Design policy. Development adjacent to a public open space – recommends that the time be amended to between 10am and 3pm in winter months. Signs in a Heritage Overlay policy – proposed rewording of objective. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly encourage plain-English guidelines to support the new policy. To be incorporated and included in the schedule to Clause 72.08. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submits that mid-rise development must be redirected outside of the historic cores of shopping strips and an individual strategy for each centre should be prepared to guide this.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 15.03-1L Heritage, 15.03-1L World Heritage Environs Area, 15.01-2L Landmarks, 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 15.01-2L Building Design, 15.01-1L Urban Design and 15.01-1L Signs in a Heritage Overlay.</p>
335	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please stop allowing high rise developer dog boxes in Yarra. Most are terrible quality, literally slums of the future. In places like Smith Street they are destroying independent retail and creating ugly windy canyons.
336	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to Amcor site – no obvious attention payed to adequate green space or any greenery. Brings to attention the Nightingale 2 development at Fairfield Station – has been softened by incorporating greenery on the walls. Urgent review of what is appropriate development in Yarra is needed. My wish would be to have confidence in the Yarra Planning Department to start rejecting inappropriate development in its infancy by incorporating this in C269. This submission asks for appropriate planning regulations/controls to control inappropriate development by limiting heights, ensuring generous deep soil planting and greenery on the site. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We still need most of what is on offer in Alphington NAC and don’t need developers telling us that it all needs to go and become a conduit for high rise and more apartments. This submission asks for maintaining NAC according to local community needs and providing ongoing employment.
337	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to developments of more than 6 storeys
338	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design AND Heritage AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One of the things that makes Yarra so nice is that the scale of the buildings is not too great- buildings being kept to 3-4 storeys keeps the street, light and open and the density has not built up to extremes causing traffic chaos. • Proposed high density that Yarra is going for does not reflect the needs of the residents. • These developments destroy the community feel of these areas. • Council should be trying to preserve what makes this part of town interesting and strive to protect the heritage with their verandahs and the laneways. • The laneways should not be sold off. The laneways help create the feeling of openness and connect us to the history of the area. • Concerned about facadism. • Council needs to consider the amenity at ground level. Should be focus on ensuring buildings do not rise up from standard footpath and have set backs and gardens or points of interest to keep the streets looking nice.
339	<p>Community member (President of School Council at Yarra Primary School)</p>	<p><u>Infrastructure AND Other</u> <i>Open Space AND Specific property</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra Primary School and Yarra City Council (YCC) have entered into a joint use agreement in relation to the school grounds. • Local community have the opportunity to enjoy the grounds outside of school hours. • Shaded playground had to be removed due to age – no longer safe. Department of Education does not provide funding for replacement, upgrade or maintenance of this type of asset. Means that the school itself has to pay for it. • The revitalisation of the school’s open space meets the objective of the proposed local policy Public Open Space (19.-2-6L1). • Yarra Primary School made a submission to Council to request that YCC allocate some funds to assist with the cost of a replacement playground in our shared space. Request has since been turned down by YCC. • The need still exists and if YCC is able to reconsider this submission, then this would be a benefit to the educational needs of our students, as well as the entire community that makes use of the playground. • Given the high use of netball/basketball courts by the community – will very likely require maintenance and updating in the near future. Hopes that YCC could reconsider these amenities in the budget planning process.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
340	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would hate to see our beautiful neighbourhood compromised with tall buildings like they have on Nicholson Street in Brunswick east. Heritage and soul of our neighbourhood is in our buildings and the community we have. We will lose both of these things by putting up multi storey buildings.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
341	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not want developments of more than 6 storeys in our neighbourhood (Fitzroy).
342	Representative of landowner (Piedimonte Developments)	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Specific property</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to a specific site. Forms a significant landholding of approximately 4,000m2 within an activity centre. Specific reference to Planning Permit Application No: PLN17.0618. Has reviewed C269 and notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subject site is nominated in a NAC. Proposed Clause 15.01-2L includes guidelines relating to built form (originally within Clause 21.05-2), removes reference to activity centres including current policy references to building forms being generally between 5-6 storeys and higher subject to various criteria. Subject site is proposed to be located in an Incremental Change Area.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Makes the following submission in relation to C269: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Welcomes the review of the LPPF/PPF by Council - Seeks to engage further with Council throughout the preparation of the amendment and any planning panel process in relation to the form and content of the Amendment, including but not limited to policy outcomes relating to the location of housing growth, activity centre status and hierarchy and the site’s designation under the Strategic Housing Framework Plan.
343	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/Built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Rose Street, Fitzroy. • Fear the precinct is in danger of losing its character and we are concerned about the proposal which would alter the historical character of the area. • Views from our streets and lanes should retain visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. • Residential development must not be at the expense of the heritage nature of Brunswick Street. • Heritage buildings along Brunswick Street should have their verandahs reinstated. • Mid to high rise buildings should not be permitted along Brunswick Street. • New developments should be ultra low-energy, or to the Passivhaus standard buildings/gas not permitted/more trees to absorb carbon. • Trees should be introduced to all streets.
344	Community member	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Alphington. • Designation of 16 hectares of land to “high change” has ramifications for the immediate area – moderate change creeping either side of the high change area. • Porta site doesn’t warrant being a moderate change area. <p><u>Other AND Form and Content</u> <i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned with imprecise language such as low/medium and high rise in the amendment. Can we discuss, agree to and name what these heights actually are. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the statements regarding Heidelberg Road, Alphington under the proposed Clause 11.03-1L (page 9), but it should be less generic, does not believe the vision is being realised in what has been permitted so far.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
345	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Activity Centres AND Housing</u> <i>Character AND Location of residential development</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned for the future of the North Fitzroy Village Precinct and believes the area being designated as Incremental Change could jeopardise the character of the precinct.
346	Community member	<u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alarmed to see the Heidelberg Road area to the east categorised as a moderate change area. Were assured that the Papermills was to be considered as a one off development and it now seems as though it is providing a source of precedence for developers.
347	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building Design</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a Collingwood resident – concerned about the degree of potential 8-14 storey developments and the impact that this will have on overshadowing. Shocking lack of public open space in Collingwood – needs to be allowances for more public open space. Also a lack of tree coverage in many parts of Collingwood. Notes that Council has been working hard to add new trees in the past 1-2 years. Particularly vital given how built up parts of Collingwood are and how much heat gets trapped from the buildings during summer.
348	Community group (Gore Street Group) (Fitzroy – South of Gertrude Street)	<u>Description given of the nature of their neighbourhood</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The building types in the block are bounded by Napier Street to the west and Smith Street to the east, and north and south by Gertrude Street and Victoria Parade are overwhelmingly residential in use, including a large number of the sites which have been designated commercial in Victoria Parade and Smith Street. The buildings are predominantly 2 storey with recent 5 and 7 storey residential developments in Gertrude and Smith Streets, and both these developments respond to the nature of their surroundings in their scale, bulk, setbacks and use of materials. <u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitters don't believe that the proposed controls for the Activity Centres that they are bordered by, particularly the south end of Smith Street and the north side of Victoria Parade between Napier and Wellington Streets, have taken into account the important history, topography and nature of the actual building types in these locations. • Concerned that the proposed policy does not give due consideration to the particular nature of the existing built type and form of their residential area on Victoria Parade, and that the area is lumped in with other commercial areas of Smith Street and Victoria Parade where the existing development is largely retail and offices. • Submits the following sections of the Activity Centres which they require future development response that acknowledge their particular settings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Victoria Parade <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - They submit that the commercially zoned land along the north side of Victoria Parade between Napier and Wellington Streets is notably different from other sections of Victoria Parade Smith Street <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - They submit that the Smith St Activity Centre is well described in the Amendment however it mostly references Collingwood and doesn't describe the nature of the Fitzroy residential area it immediately abuts to the west. - They note that the west side of Smith Street between Gertrude and Victoria Parade is characterised by commercial built form fronting Smith Street with predominantly residential at the rear of these sites facing Little Smith Street. • requests that a large part of the southern end of Smith Street and Victoria Parade between Napier and Wellington Streets comprises of 2 storey individually owned heritage buildings that cannot be demolished and that future development responds to this and therefore remove the stipulation that development at the south end of Smith Street can be higher than this. • requests that the landscape quality of the Victorian boulevard, Victoria Parade, is retained by not allowing buildings to dominate • Requests that proposed policy establishes the evolving character of Little Smith Street by encouraging residential development to front the lane, with wall heights on the lane of 2 storeys and substantial setbacks to higher levels which match those setbacks already established by recently completed developments. <p><i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States that the stipulation that the south end of Smith Street should be 'higher' development seems at odds with the actual surroundings in the vicinity and believe that the height limit of Smith Street and Victoria Parade Activity Centres should be limited to low rise or the lower end of midrise (i.e. 8 storeys). • States that the loose mid-rise building height definition of 8-14 stories is too broad a range for their neighbourhood in South Fitzroy and note that a structure at 8 storeys is a completely different type of building from one which is 14 storeys. <p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests that the policy ensure that their neighbourhood is developed with consistent architectural quality and scale by setting firm height limits and setback distances which relate to existing building heights and provide meaningful transitions to adjoining structures. • Requests that an upper height limit of 8 storeys which reflects their neighbourhood is stipulated. • Requests that the amendment takes into account that their neighbourhood is positioned at the top of Eastern Hill, Gore Street is 20 metres higher up the hill than Wellington St Collingwood which would put the actual rooftop of any development in our neighbourhood at 6-7 stories higher than equivalents elsewhere. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests that the amendment enforces that all developments are completed with suitably landscape streets, lanes and their own sites. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that the wording in the ‘strategies’ and ‘objectives’ sections of the proposed policy repeatedly state that any developer should ‘respond to heritage significance and streetscape character’, however they note that the proposed policy is overly subtle and should have better defined clauses in order for this to be achieved.
349	Community member	<p><u>Built Environment and Heritage</u> <i>Urban Design AND Heritage AND Building Design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New buildings need to be respectful to their neighbours and new construction in a heritage area needs to be well designed, properly built using relevant building materials and appropriately scaled in relation to the adjacent buildings. • Notes that the economic value of real estate comes from its context and its value is derived from where the building is located. • Adaptive reuse is the economic, environment, social and cultural response to functional obsolescence. • Notes that for every dollar that is not spent on new build but on rehabilitation of buildings results in more direct jobs executing the works and more indirect jobs supplying associated materials and services to the project. • Suggests that the policy support development that improves the quality of the public realm. • Suggests that where the public realm has a Heritage Overlay, support development that will preserve and enhance the cultural significance of the area. • Suggests that there should be no private residential development that have floors that cannot be reached by a fire brigade extension ladder. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Housing Diversity AND affordable housing AND Location of residential development</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As apartment living has increased in Yarra, new apartment developments need to be more diverse to suit large families and share households. • Notes that the large public housing estates set in landscaped grounds are likely to be replaced in 20 years with medium density of social housing mixed with private apartment buildings set in communal gardens as well as public landscaped grounds. • Suggests that the policy supports Yarra’s diverse community by facilitating accessible, adaptable, affordable housing options that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide for diverse housing types - Includes housing options for people with disabilities older person, student and those in need of crisis accommodation - Provide for a range of affordable housing types - Include greater housing choice for key workers - Encourage the supply of additional social housing and improvement to existing social housing. - Needs to be in residential zone to allow existing housing stock to become more multi-functional with work at home activities. - Suggests that adding extra living areas and bedrooms should be made easier as to facilitate multi-generational living. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <p><i>Parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that pedestrian and cycle links be improved by providing sperate pedestrian walks and bikeways in high traffic locations. • Notes that apartment developments will require to provide for off street parking for their visitors and essential workers. • Notes that aged care facilities need to include off street parking for visitors and emergency vehicles. • Suggests that charging points should be provided for electric vehicles in off street parking and in apartment developments. • A percentage of the meter charge from 2 plus hour metered car space should be allocated to upgrade the parking bays to include electric vehicle charging points. • Car share provides should pay appropriate fees for being the allocation and sole use of street parking bays. • Suggests that the policy requires carports, car spaces, external car stackers, garages, loading facilities and outbuildings to be: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Set back behind the front building line to appear visually recessive when view from the street. - Located at the rear of a building, in an area of lower pedestrian activity. <p><u>Environment and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests the inclusion of an urban forest strategy that acknowledges that heritage trees contribute to climate sustainability. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open Space AND Water sensitive urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that developments should provide additional public accessible open space and avoid overshadowing to public open space.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suggests that the policy be expanded to clarify how Yarra will integrate water sensitive design in the public realm. • The Edinburgh Gardens rainwater garden should be more correctly named a drain garden and should be fenced off. • Notes that Yarra needs to explain how it will integrate urban water in the landscape of its streets and roads. <p>Note: Attachment also submitted under no.171.</p> <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</p>
350	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact of Covid-19. Should be factored into the revision of the planning scheme. • Importance of 20 minute neighbourhoods should be reflected in Yarra’s Municipal Planning Strategy. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategic Housing Framework Plan for Fitzroy North and Clifton Hill shows Queens Parade shopping strip as an area of moderate change. At most, this precinct should be an area of incremental change. • There may be other parts of activity centres in Yarra where moderate change is not appropriate. • Objectives and strategies will need to be rewritten to accommodate minimal and incremental change in activity centres. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission outlines key issues with proposed Heritage policy. Tracked changes of the policy are provided. • Objectives should be included. • Should have strategies about heritage conservation. • Reordering of strategies proposed in submission. • Further work is required to address Industrial heritage policy. • Policy should include a list of definitions. • Signs in a Heritage Overlay policy – suggested changes proposed. • Should be a clear definition of the public realm that includes laneways. <p><u>Form and Content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plain-English guidelines needed to support the new policy.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Other Consultation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope of C269 is so vast – normal process of review of submissions will be unworkable. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 15.01-1L Signs in a Heritage Overlay and 15.03-1L Heritage.</p>
351	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Important that the planning scheme encourage small-scale development and refurbishment of existing buildings – the shopping strips must remain affordable for small businesses. • This means our shopping strips must have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Height limits of 2-3 storeys only. No high-rise apartment complexes and no office towers. - Generous set-backs and the ability to see the sky. - Verandahs, room for outdoor dining, street vendors and buskers. - Plenty of public spaces and land/streetscaping, more trees. - Heritage protection to retain the village character of many of our shopping strips. - New buildings be well designed, environmentally sustainable and sympathetic to the existing built form. - Human scale, diversity and vibrancy. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
352	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Review of Yarra Landmarks Policy</i> is to be commended. However, the review represents a lost opportunity by concentrating on the existing landmarks identified in the current policy rather than undertaking a comprehensive assessment of the landscape to identify gaps/additional landmarks. • Big disappointment with the new policy is that it weakens the existing by introducing the concept of primary and secondary views with only the former being deemed important to retain. • Another challenge – probably cannot be addressed is the conflict between heritage controls and local policies such as the Landmarks policy and Plan Melbourne concept of activity centre. • Submission includes suggestions for additional views and new landmarks.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clause 15.01-2L Landmarks.
353	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A transition plan between commercial zones and heritage protected areas are required in order to protect the character and amenity of my neighbourhood. Transition plan would include Brunswick and Smith Street and needs to include height limits (no higher than 5 storeys) and setback guidelines. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
354	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Character AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission raises concerns regarding construction and development plans proposed for the Piedimonte’s supermarket. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
355	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I believe a human scale of development is essential for the health of communities. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
356	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of my favourite things about Fitzroy is that it doesn’t have the type of overdevelopment we’ve seen in other areas. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
357	Community member	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shot Tower, Clifton Hill. Primary views – much more than 1/3 of (upper) height should be retained visually unimpeded by any future works within the stipulated sight lines. <p><u>Other AND Form and Content</u></p> <p><i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there definitions included in the Scheme of the low-rise, mid-rise and high-rise? If not, then it is very important that these terms be defined. If the rise definitions can be clarified, it would be appropriate that the community have a further opportunity to consider the wording before finalised.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
358	Community member	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In general overdevelopment should be discouraged. Low medium density rather than high rise buildings. Need for a unified set of rules governing new and renovations to existing buildings. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Height Green space Environmental factors Heritage buildings/heritage nature of many areas should be protected. In shopping precincts, advertising should be in keeping with the heritage of the area and colour schemes limited as appropriate. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shared pathways for cyclists and pedestrians do not work. Example provided relating to Edinburgh Gardens. Recent changes to Wellington Street and crossings on the old railway track in Scotchmer Street are problematic.
359	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to Alphington- Fairfield Heidelberg Road area.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Photographic images attached to submission. • Activity Centres – should be called ‘shopping centres’, should be low rise and low density form. • Buildings along Heidelberg Road should be of 3 to 4 storeys maximum height. • Shops and businesses on the lower level – housing on upper levels. • Imperative that the ‘feel’ is retained. <p><u>Building environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design AND heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should encourage existing homes to be retained and restored. • Any new housing should be low rise and low density. • High rise should be discouraged as high rise developments severely diminish the look and feel of Alphington. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The environment, parks, rivers, street trees and home gardens contribute to the ‘feel’ and should be protected and preserved. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra should discourage growth of the area as this changes the feel of the area. • Should keep our borders closed permanently and stop all immigration as this is the main reason for ‘bad’ growth.
360	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that C269 terms are so vague that it appears that our activity centres could be dominated by buildings up to 14 storeys which would completely alter the character of these centres. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enjoys the diversity and accessibility of the local shopping strips/activity centres – does not want to see them taken over by large developments.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra’s own climate change policy conflicts with the new C269. Well recognised that high rise buildings are not sustainable, either in the use of the materials or in operating costs.
361	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loves Fitzroy and values its heritage streetscapes. Anything over 3-4 storeys will change this special and unique place. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
362	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Building environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to North Fitzroy – most negative aspect has been the acceleration of poorly built, crowded developments. Most are far too large. Concerned about impact of new development on live venues. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
363	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specific reference to Rae Street, North Fitzroy. • Disappointed at the lack of detail included regarding Nicholson Street, Rathdowne Street and St Georges Road – totally inadequate. • Issues in submission largely relate to Nicholls Ward. • Local residents highly regard North Fitzroy (St Georges Road and Scotchmer St), Nicholson Rathdowne and Queens Parade Villages. • Low rise built form contributes to village atmosphere and amenity. • Commitment to the commercial diversity of these villages is important. • Importance of 20 minute village – residents of North Fitzroy are the enviable position of living in a “10 minute” village.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 must identify these villages as significant and contributing to the very fabric of the local communities and Yarra Council must commit to and support the village concept of these precincts. This amendment must identify and protect the small scale fabric of these villages. • Requests that the Yarra Council recommit to identifying our local shopping strips as villages. • We do not want higher residential developments above the shops in these villages. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should clarify many terms – what is meant by incremental change, what is mid scale, mid rise, low rise? Is mid rise the same as mid scale? Incremental change should be explained. What does this mean? <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><i>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 11.03 Activity centres, 15.01 Landmarks and 15.03 Heritage.</i></p>
364	Community group (Protectors of Public Lands Victoria Inc.)	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • largely support the Amendment C269 and think it provides clarity and protection for heritage and open spaces in City of Yarra, and a plan for the social and economic development in City of Yarra for the future. <p><i>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</i></p>
365	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Urban design AND Building design AND Landmarks AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15.01-1L Urban Design: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Strategy 18.1, Objective 18 – developers often consolidate titles including ROW’s when the opposite should occur. The historic laneways need to be heritage listed and protected. - Overshadowing of public open space should be based on the winter solstice, not the equinox. - Under Clause 21.05-2 (existing Clause), Objective 17 – the terms low rise, mid rise and high rise are ambiguous and open to interpretation. Developers will be able to submit applications and justify far greater heights. • 15.01-2L Building design:

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Above grade carparks – Developers are incorporating ground floor and above carparks – to avoid the time and cost in building basement carparks. Concerned about impact – developments end up being higher, ground floor commercial space diminished, poor activation. - Compliance with BADS – applications should have 100% compliance with BADS. - Roof top gardens – Where possible public open space should be at ground level (instead of roof top gardens). - Landscaped setbacks – New developments should respect the garden and green character of Alphington and Fairfield by having landscaped setbacks. - Shade sensitive interface – Yarra should have shade sensitive interfaces for Heidelberg Road (like Banyule). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs to be recognition of natural landmarks. Submission suggests additional landmarks. • ESD – Yarra should be setting a high environment standard (reference to Nightingale development). <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary AND Character AND Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about expansion of the Alphington Activity Centre to include 582 Heidelberg Road Alphington. • C269 seems to imply that all activity centres in Yarra are the one and same. Hierarchy of Activity Centres must be recognised and maintained. • Importance of diversity of development. Reference to “20 minute neighbourhood”. • Commercial lots in Fairfield fronting Heidelberg Road are zoned C2Z. The draft Heidelberg Road Local Area Plan proposes to develop these lots to the same height and density as the C1Z zoned lots in the Alphington NAC. Community feels this is an overdevelopment of the C2Z lots. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of the river corridors needs to be strengthened, particularly with new developments.
366	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the broad aspirations of C269. • Think it is important for Council and the Panel to consider the views of people who live in apartments in equal weight to people who live in established detached and semi-detached dwellings. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development AND Housing diversity AND Housing affordability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amendment places an undue emphasis on the need for Yarra’s housing policy to facilitate neighbourhood character outcomes rather than housing and affordable housing outcomes. • Language used frames multi-unit development as a challenge to character that needs to be “managed”.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy support for increased social and public housing is good. • No recognition of the role that market rate multi unit development has in ensuring affordable housing outcomes in Yarra. • Housing diversity policy has a narrow view of what types of housing diversity needs to be supported. Does not mention singles or couples. Nor does it note that the vast majority of homes in Yarra already cater for families. • Broadly supports the housing change framework as identified by the Yarra Housing Strategy.
367	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would not be unreasonable to say that this amendment is too little too late. Urban planning and design is being led by developers. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form and Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference to previous experiences in dealing with development applications. • Reference to Piedimontes development – too big and inappropriate location, fails to respect the streetscape and neighbourhood, will reduce diversity of the shopping village. • C231 – height restrictions in Precinct 4 – If Council had proactively put in place a similar overlay for the Fitzroy North village, then Piedimontes would not be seeking approval for such a large inappropriate development. • We need proactive, well planned, respectful planning overlays as a priority. However despite the communities repeated voice against large scale developments in local villages/hubs /activity centres, C269 still fails to include any significant planning overlays for these area. • I request that C269 includes overlays for the Fitzroy North Village, St Georges Road that reflect the C231 overlay for Precinct 4 which restrict heights to 3-4 storeys with appropriate setbacks. • Consideration of similar overlays should be given to other key local activity areas including Nicholson and Rathdowne Street.
368	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that this proposal has been put up with no active attempts to engage with residents of this area. • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heritage protection is vital in Fitzroy. C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. • Accepts that there should be some residential development but not at the expense of heritage. • Retention of front facades is not preserving heritage. • Street verandahs must be preserved. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing should be an important element in this planning.
369	Community member	<p><u>Transport AND Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Separated bike lanes – being undermined by the effect of large, tall buildings that come right up to the footpath. Creating a wind tunnel that is increasing to the point of extreme danger. • Developers of tall/mid-rise buildings -to provide wind assessments for cycling. • The number and land area of tall/mid-rise developments be severely restricted. • Any such development be set back from the pavement at ground level and required to be surrounded by functional green space including trees and shaded seating. • Continuity of bike lanes is essential for cyclists’ safety. • Any new corner structure can be an opportunity to increase visibility by requiring the corner of the building to be rounded/angled diagonally. • Mandate window shading. • Green walls – yes! For both commercial and residential buildings. • Private swimming pools – time that the Council officially planned not to allow private inbuilt pools for houses and apartments. • Would like the plan to specify that all new builds must be equipped with renewable energy facilities with the ability to be upgraded. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <p><i>Interfaces and amenity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction noise – Suggest that Yarra adopt a policy of allowing only one noisy building/renovation project at a time in 500 metres of any residential dwelling. Suggests a policy of staggering them also. <p><u>Housing</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Housing affordability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meaning of 'affordability' needs to be defined. • Yarra needs more public housing. • Affordable housing must have the same safety, amenity, aesthetic and environmental standards as other housing.
370	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about this development and its negative impact on existing retail, foot traffic safety and traffic and parking issues. Will also ruin the beauty of the shopping village and Scotchmer Street. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
371	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighbourhood shopping is precious – diversity and fine grain. Concerned about Piedimonte's development. • Not the heritage shop fronts and parapets alone that give our activity centres their character – the sky that frames them is equally important. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <p><i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra declared a Climate State of emergency. Bravo! Require developers who operate in Yarra to be part of the solution not intensity the problem. <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing quotas should be mandated – 10% minimum. • Residential development models that put people before profit should be given priority. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks are under duress. Open space funding being levied against developers needs both to be used appropriately, and seen to be used as such. • Council needs to decide the primary purpose of its parks and open spaces. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
372	Community group (Protect Fitzroy North Inc.)	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission refers to tension between “performance-based” ideology underpinning of the Victorian planning system and the goals of providing more certainty and community trust in planning outcomes. • Reference to VAGO report. • Believes that the system needs some further prescription within a performance based system. • Community craves greater certainty in planning outcomes. • Many of the changes/clarifications we seek in C269 are around improving clarity and fixing areas where lawyers could argue one part of the scheme against the other. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submits that Queens parade Am C231 should be regarded as a ‘gold standard’ outcome that successfully balances the many competing objectives that state planning policy challenges us with. • Submits that this more balanced and nuanced approach of how and where development is directed into the activity centres isn’t sufficiently reflected in C269. This needs to be addresses because it’s simply unrealistic/infeasible to complete strategic work like that entailed in C231 in the kinds of timeframes (or perhaps at all) such that all of the shopping and commercial strips can receive the kind of “love” and attention that they really all deserve. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u> <i>Climate change</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 falls short of community aspirations on climate change. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Affordable housing</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> C269's ambition for 10% affordable housing in respect of rezonings of land is laudable but Melbourne CC today revealed plan to push for 25% (although notably only in respect of MCC owned land). <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.</p>
373	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restrict development of buildings 8-14 storeys high in low rise local shopping strips. Please help retain something of the area's qualities by minimising further development, especially along the southern side, between Punt Road and Church Street. Put more consideration into integrating green areas/ trees in shopping areas. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
374	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not support the redevelopment of the Piedimonte's supermarket. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
375	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whilst an increase in density is desirable, it should not affect the heritage value of our neighbourhood. Heritage verandahs should be encouraged in shopping strips. Height of buildings should be minimal. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
376	Community member	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fully supports AFADA submission. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Densification of development has resulted in significantly more on-road parking in residential streets. The outcome is reduced safety for vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians on residential streets, reduced amenity, increased traffic delays and no visitor parking. Policy should recognise the growing use of electric vehicles and support that use by the provision of charging facilities in new developments.
377	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission is about preserving Victorian heritage shopping strips across Yarra which are the heart and soul. Does not want residential development in these areas. Values the “20 minute” neighbourhood. Socially and culturally important. During Covid lockdown – importance of the local activity centre – the local shops – changed the way people lived. Submission includes details of personal experiences about the importance of the local shopping strip – strong sense of local community. Future of our local North Fitzroy Shops is now very different – land banking and residential development in the shopping precinct/impact of supermarket business buying adjoining residential shops and houses until they owned the block. If appropriate controls were in the current planning scheme then this would not be occurring. Concerned about the impact of residential development on local and neighbourhood activity centres. Reference to the demise of Johnston Street, Smith Street North and Bridge Road. The new residential development in these streets have removed the important centres to the local 20 minute neighbourhood. Values the quirky creative little cafes, small start-up creative industries housed in these small heritage shops. Once these heritage shops are gone and replaced by mid-rise residential development we can never go back. The local shops are the heart and soul of our neighbourhoods and must be protected from residential and commercial development. Heritage and local shops must have the highest level of protection to stop land banking the strong push for midrise residential development. Submission refers to C231 Queens Parade planning controls. Mandatory heights and setbacks were put in place to preserve and protect the area. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design AND ESD AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra. • Street verandahs should be reinstated. • Views of our streets and lanes should ensure visibility of the heritage buildings against the blue sky. • Development above these heritage buildings should have a mandatory height of 10.5 metres. • Greater controls over the sustainability of new buildings – many developments are so poorly designed, no space for solar panels as they have roof gardens. • Roof gardens should be removed in the scheme. • Adaptive reuse of heritage and existing buildings – demolition clauses are weak and must be strengthened. • Buildings must have greater levels of sustainability and it must be in Yarra’s new planning scheme. • Discourage use of gas in housing developments. • Green space is being reduced with new developments. New developments need to ensure more open green space in their planning. • Only 16 nominated landmarks. Local residents should be asked to nominate local landmarks which are important to them. • Landmark policy does not protect the landmark, it protects the views to it. • Many views of landmarks are at risk of being blocked by new developments and this should be addressed. • Submission includes suggestions for additional landmarks. • Need to protect our laneways. Public laneways should not be sold off. Laneways should be part of the public realm. • Overshadowing of open space by development should be on the shortest day of the year in June (reference to MCC open space policy). <p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Affordable housing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible 10% minimum should be increased. <p><u>Environmental risk and amenity</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra has declared a Climate State of emergency which is not reflected in C269. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street trees, avenues of trees, native trees, culturally significant trees, trees in your park – should be registered and retained. • The Urban Forest is a major policy in Yarra and needs implementing through this scheme. <p><u>Form and content</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In your 'planning schemes' it is about the built environment, strategies, full of clauses and definitions which ordinary people don't understand. DDO16 Panel removed the objectives of the Amendment – I want these objectives included. Proposed Policy lacks definitions of technical terms. There should be an accompanying document with a set of well-illustrated guidelines in plain English to support the new policy. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
378	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific reference to Alphington. Doesn't want suburb and surroundings transformed by high rise buildings that change the look, ambience and culture of neighbourhood. Concerned about tall buildings overlooking quiet suburban streets. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Involved in several community action groups. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
379	Organisation (Royal Historical Society of Victoria)	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly opposes the extension of Swan Street Major Activity Zone boundary to include the Mixed Use Zone between Tanner Street and Richmond Station: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rare example of an extensive industrial complex of great heritage significance that should not be subject to the development pressures inherent in its inclusion in a MAC. Boundary extension is unnecessary. Introducing further intensive development will place the heritage values of the place at risk. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Consultation</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notice provided for this boundary change is misleading and inadequate – request that if Council wishes to continue with the proposal it should be readvertised as a separate amendment. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 15.01-1L Urban Design, 15.03-1L Heritage, 15.01-2L Landmarks and 16.01-2L Housing.</p>
380	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with changes proposed to development along Yarra’s Boulevards and in particular Victoria Parade. Appropriate that the existing scheme seeks to protect the dominance of the trees along Yarra’s Boulevards and this should not be removed as is done with the wording in the current scheme. Requests that the scheme be modified to protect the dominance of the trees along Victoria boulevard by strengthening the controls for low scale development at the boulevard interface with significant setback to additional height. Controls for Victoria Parade should be done in conjunction with Melbourne CC to ensure coordinated and compatible development. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes the proposed Clauses 11.03-1L Activity Centres, 15.01-1L Urban Design and 15.01-2L Building design.</p>
381	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bridge Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key strengths are the heritage feel, being able to see landmarks (Pelaco building, spire of St Ignatius’s church, Richmond Town Hall. should be about unique, destination retail formats that attract people to Richmond. Should be walking pedestrian area, with seating/tables/provision for community activity Swan Street: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Love the Swan street retail and entertainment precinct, being able to see Dimmeys Tower, or the covered walkways off the junction of Swan and Church. Need to ensure it maintains this character and does not become a cold dark wind tunnel with tall buildings which will destroy its uniqueness. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission suggests the inclusion of additional landmarks.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moderate change areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Swan Street – should cluster these zones rather than spread them through the entire street. - Bridge Road – as above. • Minimal change areas – should be added to more sections along Malleson Street and extend to sections along Wall Street. From the Coppin/Wall/ Malleson intersection, houses on the west side part of the way down to Dame Nellie Melba Park should also be minimal change areas. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
382	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
383	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Character AND Transport/ Traffic/ Car parking</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thinks 8-10 storey buildings will damage the character of Brunswick Street – will compromise the heritage streetscape, increase parking problems, create overshadowing and reduce amenity. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
384	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exhibited 11.03-11 Clause rails to differentiate the key differences between Neighbourhood and Major activity centres – for example their differences in terms of objectives, strategies and activity. • Concerned about maintaining the unique character, liveability and heritage values of the neighbourhood activity centres.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendment does not adequately describe the differences between the change zone outcomes – for example – for the incremental change zone – how is a ‘smaller scale apartment development’ defined, what would be the height and density limits? <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
385	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development in this area should not detract from the liveability, particularly as this is precisely the feature of the area that developers profit from. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
386	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Important to continue to have a sustainable city environment – means ensuring population density is managed well. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. <p><u>Infrastructure</u> <i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yarra has great parks, walking paths, cycling routes – need to be expanded. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual private vehicular transport should be discouraged by expensive parking fees and reductions in availability.
387	<p>Community member</p>	<p><u>Activity Centre</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lives in the ex-industrial precinct to the north of Richmond Station – has a unique character. Concerned about applying the Major Activity Centre to the whole of the precinct.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendment would make it easier to push through even larger inappropriate buildings – Council should be actively preventing this through the imposition of height limits that reflect surrounding buildings in the precinct (4-6 storeys) and ensuring that new buildings reflect the usage mix (50/50 resi/commercial) rather than just office. Submission includes reference to a previous Council resolution (November 16). Why has this not been reflected in the Amendment? <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Landmarks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission includes suggestions for additional landmarks. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Consultation AND Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear lack of consultation in the development of the Amendment. Council needs to restart the Amendment drafting process with community consultation as a major input. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
388	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
389	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hope that something can be done with this document to unwind the well-progressed destruction of Yarra currently underway as a consequence of overdevelopment. Would like to see the strongest possible heritage protection to preserve the greatest amount of the neighbourhood. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developments in progress on Johnston Street near Smith Street are the latest appalling example of the community’s capitulation in the face of external forces that have no care for Yarra, <p><u>Other</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Liveability AND Zoning</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer to the attached as an example of what sort of legacy can be made to the promenade that is Heidelberg Road through Clifton Hill. That is to say that the facades of a century old road, one of the first out of the Hoddle grid, can be maintained as silent witness to history, without the imposition of ever higher forms that cast shadows on us all. • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years. • Something should be done to correct bias towards residential development of commercially zoned lots.
390	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resident of Collingwood. • Active in trying to get Council to add working on an updated DDO for Gipps Street to their priority list following acceptance of a petition by the Councillors in December 2019. • Concept of “Activity Centre” is included in the proposals – these are not clearly defined. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about the lack of specific defined planning objectives. Relying on the broadest of planning intents as directed by State Government will not allow Yarra to evolve in the way local rate-payers wish to see. • Yarra has signed on to the Age – Friendly City initiative. 20 minute city is another initiative – concerned that C269 does not allow the Yarra Planners sufficient guidance and direction to move towards these aims. • Work by local planning experts such as Robert McGauran and the local Universities – encourage the authorities to take notice of these works to make the proposed Amendment more tightly worded and enforceable. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design AND Signs AND Landmarks AND Urban design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing planning submissions include shadow diagrams – about sunlight. This does not take into account that people need daylight, not just sunlight, for their well-being. Current rules and proposed Amendment does not include any reference to the benefits of natural daylight. • Recent worrying development – Minister’s direct intervention in the Planning System – example provided – Gazettal of Amendment C283 – used as a precedent for justification to increase heights. • 15.01-1L Signs – current legislation appears to limit control of illuminated street signs due to the effect they have on drivers and road users. These can be placed in direct line of sight of residential developments, apparently with only limited control of the light pollution effects.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current documents refer to a very limited list of major landmarks. There are other more significant major buildings and structure that warrant some degree of protection. Example – Brew Tower of the Yorkshire Brewery. • Yarra is talking about creating more “pocket parks” – yet planning controls do not easily facilitate the Council Planners to insist on creation of public space within development sites and instead have to rely on the developers offering some public space, usually in return for some compensation such as height. • Existing laneways appear not to have any designated protection under the current legislation. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not appear to be mention or recognition of the changes in vehicle usage and availability of larger e-bikes and the potentially adverse effects this is having on the “age-friendly city”.
391	Community member	<p><u>Other AND Form and Content</u></p> <p><i>Consultation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to the lack of community consultation. • See no reference in the strategy to address the Yarra planning application process which has offered little rhyme, reason, accountability or timely results of the community. • Silent on the most relevant concern – the actual planning process no longer serving the community.
392	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns relate to plans for the south side of Johnston Street east of Duddle Street. • Note been able to work out what is meant by “mid rise” development in that locale. • Take this as a submission that any development in that area that permits building of more than three storeys at most is unacceptable because of the catastrophic effect on sunlight on properties on the north side of Stafford Street.
393	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to submit preference for Wangaratta, Botherrambo and Tanner Streets to remain excluded as part of the Activity Centres Plan (11.03-1L0). • Strongly opposes further high rise developments within the pocket. • Many residential dwellings in the area, need to maintain the community vibe. • Currently road network would not accommodate any extra development. • Style of developments do not enhance the current residential dwellings and as they age, are eyesores and devalue surrounding properties. • Important to maintain Richmond current bohemian, inner city appeal.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
394	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very concerned that Yarra is proposing developments up to 14 storeys in the identified areas. Will destroy historic streetscapes, decrease amenity of neighbouring areas, increase traffic congestion and overuse of community facilities. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
395	Community member	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broadly supports C269. <p><u>Transport</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns relate to 18.02-4L Car parking: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposal is woefully inadequate and won’t be enough to bring about changes that other amendments envisage. - Resent having to pay tens of thousands of dollars extra for my new home because past planning regulations have in effect forced me to buy parking spaces that I do not want. - Appreciate that the motivation for the present amendment is to reduce minimum parking requirements, but is completely inadequate. - Linked to Council’s unfair subsidy of car use through free parking on public streets and absurdly cheap resident’s parking permits. - Solution is a free market in parking. - Council should as far as possible get out of providing parking, encourage private provision and a fee market. - Need a better amendment that moves towards reducing Council’s involvement in the provision of parking and helps establish the principle that car parking is a matter of free choice fully funded by those who make that choice.
396	Community group (The 3068 Group Inc.)	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New amendment is predicting the population to double what it was in 2006 by 2030. A plan to double the population in 24 years and to conserve the heritage fabric that is valued, requires more balance. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Height/Built form AND Character AND Boundary</i></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mandatory height controls should be applied to all heritage shopping streets. Controls should extend at least to the street behind the main street. Controls should protect the retail functions from the encroachment of residential high rises. • Policies should ensure Mixed Use are not allowed to develop into high density residential zones by stealth. • Current Municipal Strategic Statement (Clause 21.02 Housing Growth) – this is a sensible approach and should not be removed from the proposed Municipal Plan. • Defining boundaries to the Activity Centres, will increase certainty but will also increase anxiety for those living on the boundary. Transition policies need to be strengthened such as the rear setback provisions adopted in DDO16. • The boundaries cause confusion – entire length of Queens Parade is defined as an activity centre but only the shopping precinct qualifies as an activity centre. The terms are not well defined. • Extending the boundary of the Queens Parade Activity Centre to include Mayors Park should be deferred until a heritage study is commissioned to determine whether Mayors Park requires heritage and significant landscape overlay protection. • Extending the Swan St Activity Centre to include the industrial complexes north of Richmond Station is not supported. Not been well advertised and is a very important heritage area. What are the changes to boundaries? • Now that the boundaries are defined we can ask – what is the percentage of Yarra that is not an activity centre? <p><u>Economic development</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spatial Economic and Employment Strategy should be reinforced by the new Yarra Planning Scheme. <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 02.01 Context, 02.02 Vision, 02.03 Strategic Directions, 15.03-1 Heritage and 15.01-2L Landmarks.</p>
397	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don't overdevelop. • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra's liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
398	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and Heritage AND Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has particular concerns about protecting Yarra's heritage for future generations. • Pleased to see that the heritage proposals in Clause 15 contain some improvements, particularly with stronger wording (Submission outlines the areas with stronger wording). • Regarding taking particular account of corner locations when considering setback/sightlines of development proposals – think it is important to apply the restrictions on corner sites to development that would be visible from the corner even if they are not actually on the corner block.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regarding height controls for residential extensions – concerned that the stipulation that residential extensions must be “substantially concealed” is open to interpretation. Regarding providing a transition when development takes place adjacent to land in a Heritage Overlay – has concerns about the current direction of Gipps Street, which has been designated a “major employment precinct”. Without proper controls these developments will fundamentally change the skyline and literally overshadow the heritage cottages in Campbell Street. DDO11 in place for Gipps Street stipulates that developments above 4 storeys need to demonstrate a high standard of architectural design and should minimise overshadowing of adjoining streets, public spaces or private properties, but this seems to be routinely disregarded during the planning process. Major failure of the entire planning system when so many projects are being proposed/approved/constructed around the same time. Cumulative effect of all these developments is never considered. Vitally important that the cumulative effect of developments along the Gipps Street corridor, and its impacts on nearby residential heritage areas, is considered for all future planning applications. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
399	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Want to make sure that the area stays a great area for all kinds of people to live in. Big part of this is its diverse and dynamic shopping strips that we can walk to. They will inevitably change in character but I hope they can continue to benefit us all for years. Some low-level development behind and above shops is appropriate after wide local consultation. Essential character to remain. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
400	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing rise in building heights in the area is concerning. The streetscape needs light, and these 4-14 storey buildings are out of character, will create wind tunnels, and block light from our street and street trees. Green building/practices are essential. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p>

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p><i>Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Building large towers behind Johnston Street, Smith Street, and Brunswick Street is short sighted, and will diminish the appeal and character of the area. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
401	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New building should be carefully designed to improve the character of the neighbourhood, as well as provide good quality living and working spaces. Needs to be much more care taken with overshadowing and wind-tunnel effects, as well as creating a human-scale environment that encourages community use. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports a careful/gradual increase in population density in Yarra. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
402	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>ESD</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strongly supports action by Council to maximise sustainability and minimise the impact of climate change in the Council’s planning policies and operations. Fairfield/Alphington areas includes outstanding and unique parklands, river access and amenity of Yarra Bend Park. Must be protected from over development through high rise buildings and a disproportionate increase in the local population.
403	Community member	<p>Section A of submission provides close consideration of the proposed Context, Vision and Strategic Directions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Context - Vision - Strategic Directions - Strategic Framework Plan

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<p>Section B includes detailed responses to several Local planning clauses by submitting amended wording:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Activity Centres - Environmental and landscape values (Biodiversity, River Corridors) - Environmental risk and amenity (Flood Management) - Built environment and heritage (Urban design, Building design, Landmarks) - Economic Development (Tourism) - Infrastructure (Open space, Public open space contribution) <p>Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.– same as submitter no. 302.</p>
404	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Heritage AND Height/Built form AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned for the heritage character of Brunswick Street. Deeply concerned about any change to planning which would enable 8+ storey buildings on Brunswick Street – would dwarf the heritage streetscape, overshadow outdoor public amenity leading to loss of foot traffic/decline in business activity. • They become shaded wind corridors, with greatly reduced pedestrian activity. • Would like to see greater value placed on the heritage character of Brunswick Street. • Amending its status to an area of ‘moderate change’ would have severely negative consequences. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank-you for this opportunity to contribute to the future of Yarra.
405	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary AND Height/Built form AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beggars belief that Spensley and Berry Streets, Clifton Hill are designated local activity centres and that a small section of Ramsden Street is likewise designated a local activity centres. Contents that there is now very little commercial ‘activity’ in these two locations. • Submission includes a survey of the different types of commercial outlets. • Submission includes reference to two proposed developments in east Clifton Hill – highlights concerns.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be no more residential developments up to 7 storeys built above local heritage commercial premises. There should be no more residential development in local neighbourhood shopping areas – and in particular in the very small local shopping area of east Clifton Hill. • Accepts that there should be some residential development in east Clifton Hill, but not at the expense of the ongoing viability, diversity and heritage of our local shops. <p><u>Built environment and heritage</u></p> <p><i>Heritage AND Landmarks AND Urban design AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of east Clifton Hill and entire city of Yarra. • There are significantly many more than 16 landmarks in Yarra. Submission includes suggestions for additional landmarks. • Public laneways should not be sold to private interests, including to developers. • Very disappointing that many of the laneways are not regularly cleaned by Yarra. • Yarra should restore the laneways to their original condition and improve the cleaning and weed control. • Yarra needs to ensure that all new developments have more open green space in their planning – including green/planted set-backs from the street. • Some buildings in Yarra’s parks should be heritage protected including the small bluestone ‘shed’ in the parkland near the corner of the Esplanade and Spensley Streets. All heritage-era gazebos in parks should be heritage listed and protected in C269. • New developments should not be allowed to overshadow parks/parkland and likewise should not be allowed to overshadow or obliterate views of the parks and our heritage skyline. • Heritage/CBD skyline should remain visible from parks/open spaces east Clifton Hill. • Would be beneficial to have the verandas reinstated on all heritage shops where they previously existed. <p><u>Environmental and landscape values</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where appropriate, culturally significant trees, street trees, avenues of trees and trees in parks should be retained and registered with heritage status. • All trees of substantial age should be listed as heritage protected throughout the municipality. • Yarra River, Merri and Darebin Creeks should be managed and protected to ensure biodiversity – development should not be allowed along these corridors. <p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Importance of open space during Covid-19 lockdown.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
406	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference to Council’s Disability Advisory Committee. • Critical issue facing people with disability, and many other people in our community is access to suitable housing. Housing that enables everyone to live in the community and independently – and for people with disability to have the same human rights as everyone else. • Submission references a key goal and strategies from Council’s adopted Access and Inclusion Strategy 2018-2024. • Submission references Council’s Housing Strategy, 2018 relating to universal housing design. • Given the development of the current Amendment and Council’s stated policy positions, I urge Council to pursue inclusion Universal Design and the Liveable Housing Guidelines and develop a position on mandatory minimum accessibility regulations for Class 1a residential buildings and internal parts of individual Class 2 buildings.
407	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council’s proposal of a two room setback is too prescriptive and does not take into account the specific characteristics of the building, the setting and appropriate response required. • Needs to be indoor flexibility so each property can be extended and renovated to take into account the objectives of the heritage policy and the objectives of the land owner. • Allowances need to be made for making tiny 18th century buildings accommodate modern families.
408	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>ESD AND Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part of the issue with ESD clause – it does not appear to be linked to objectives which need to be addressed in section 54/55 so it questions how important they will be in both the design and approval processes. • Would like to see: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Climate emergency that we face means ESD must be central to all designs and underpin all planning application decisions. - Distinction between a green roof and a conventional roof deck due to the ESD benefits green roofs provide. - Green roofs and walls receive greater weighting in decision making when there is conflict with heritage objectives. - Solar passive designs and external features that reduce shading on windows in summer should take precedence over heritage values. <p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main issue I see for the proposed amendments is still with the decision making process where there are conflicting objectives. For example, the heritage policy places a number of restrictions on what can be done to a building that can contradict ESD objectives. Submission includes details of personal experience regarding this issue.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see clearer guidance in the application of Clause 71.02-03 which already states that where there are conflicting objectives that they should be found in favour of net community benefit and sustainable development for the benefit of present and future generations.
409	Representative of landowner (Human Habitats on behalf of the Argo Group)	<p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission relates to 133-137 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy. • Submits that the subject site is unique and should be designated in a “High Change Area” and not “Moderate Change Area”. Submission outlines justification for this request.
410	Community member • Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign	<p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appalled at the attitude, language, behaviour of local council reps and planning officers to an overdevelopment behind my home in Fitzroy that was eventually allowed to proceed. • What you are currently allowing in Fitzroy/Yarra is nothing short of a disgrace. • Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
411	Community member	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Boundary</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objector at the VCAT hearing for 9-13 Stewart Street Richmond. • Reference to Council memo dated 16 December 2020 re VCAT query. Re C191 amendment: The MUZ north of Richmond Station was not discussed. Hence the “land subject to future strategic work” noted on the map. • There has been no strategic planning work presented for the MUZ in the C269 amendment that I can find. • Fearful that council is intending to include the MUZ within the Swan Street Activity Centre via the C269 amendment without any strategic work or consultation. Should require a stand along amendment.
412	Representative of landowner (Salta Properties)	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write to participate in any Panel established to consider C269. • Express a level of concern with the amount of new information and policy that is being advanced by C269. • Of the view that the proposed adjustments to the policy framework have the potential to undermine key State policy initiatives that direct more intense development outcomes within designated activity centres and urban renewal areas.
413	Community member	<p><u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage AND Building design</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C269 should have clearly listed heritage objectives to preserve and conserve the heritage of Yarra.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage adaptive re-use of buildings and provide affordable housing. Important to consider the laneways, open spaces and trees. <p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Height/Built form AND Heritage AND Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fortunate to have such a variety of local shopping areas, many with historic buildings which form a unique streetscape. If large developments area allowed in and close by – character of these historic area will be completely lost. Accepts that there should be some residential development but it should not be allowed to tower of the levels of the existing streetscapes or at the expense of the viability, diversity and heritage of the local area. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
414	<p>Community member</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Activity Centres</u> <i>Character AND Heritage AND Height/Built form</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reference to North Fitzroy village. Protect the village character and heritage. Area dominated by low rise and fine grain stock. To accept that 8-14 storeys for the low-rise local shopping strips that are the heart and soul of our community, is to accept that the development opportunities are given priority over the health and wellbeing of communities. This level of growth is outdated, inappropriate and unacceptable – hugely detrimental to both the “heritage place” and the village’s economic viability as 20 minute neighbourhood activity centre, and creates a precedent. In our community incremental growth is 2-4 levels, and moderate growth is 4-6. High change is 8+. <p><u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accepts that residential development along the lines of the Yarra Housing Strategy makes sense. Submission includes reference to VCAT hearing re the Piedimonte’s application and concerns relating to the interpretation of policy. <p><u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
415	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>Open space</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Values the green spaces. Keep many parts of Yarra accessible to dogs for health and wellbeing. Worried about increased population density due to apartments. Parks are currently overrun some days and cannot withstand ever increasing use. <p><u>Activity Centres</u></p> <p><i>Character</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loves the heritage charm and eclectic retail options available. We do not need towering apartments on every corner nor mega supermarkets in small shopping strips. <p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
416	Representative of landowner (Human Habitats on behalf of James Richardson Corporation Pty Ltd)	<p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to 39-47 Lithgow Street, Abbotsford. Supports the inclusion of the site in the Victoria Street Major Activity Centre within the Strategic Framework Plan in Clause 02.04-1. Does not support the designation of the site as an Incremental Change Area for the purpose of Clause 16.01-2L. Proposes that the site be designated as a Moderate Change Area, which better reflects its position in the Victoria Street Major Activity Centre and its future development potential. Submission outlines justification for this request.
417	Community member <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submitted via Do Gooder Campaign 	<p><u>Other</u></p> <p><i>Liveability</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One only needs to look at Brunswick East/Brunswick/Collingwood Smith Street to see the very poor developments the government approves to be developed. Please do not continue to destroy this lovely city. Notes that this submission is made to help ensure Yarra’s liveability as well as its diverse and vibrant heritage shopping strips can continue to benefit us all for another 100+ years.
418	Representative of landowner	<p><u>Form and content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission on behalf of Client in relation to 1-9 Gertrude Street Fitzroy. Supports the structure and formatting of the Amendment. However, submits that elements can be improved.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
	(Tract on behalf of Besen Gertrude Pty Ltd)	Submission includes detailed tracked changes to the proposed Clauses 15.01-1L Urban Design, 15.01-2L Building Design, 15.01-2L Landmarks, 15.03-1L Heritage and 15.03-1L World Heritage Environs Area.
419	Community member	<u>Built environment and heritage</u> <i>Heritage</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wishes to oppose the amendment on grounds of cultural and historical importance.
420	Representative of landowner (SJB Planning on behalf of UEM Sunrise (Collingwood Development) Pty Ltd)	<u>Form and content</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council has sought to include a series of low-rise, mid-rise and high-rise terms, albeit without qualification. This would create considerable uncertainty for the community, is at odds with the intended purpose of Schemes. Concerned with the large number of background documents sought to be included in the Scheme. Varied and new policies are based on Councils Planning Scheme Review that is now 7 years old. Considerable time has therefore passed – undermines the value of the background work that has underpinned the Amendment. Concerned about language used – much of the language throughout Council’s new policies does not build in flexibility to take unique scenarios into account. Should define what is ‘adjacent’ in <i>Development adjacent to land in a Heritage Overlay</i> (Clause 15.01-1L - Urban Design). <u>Housing</u> <i>Location of residential development</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submission relates to 21-53 Hoddle Street, Collingwood. Concerned that site continues to fall within a policy ‘gap’, whereby the site has not been included in a built form study or structure plan review area. Concerned that it has not been appropriately identified as being capable of accommodating a scale and intensity of development reflective of the site’s enviable strategic attributes. Strongly opposes the ‘mid-rise’ or ‘moderate change’ growth classification and submits it should be reasonably anticipated for ‘high change’. Submission includes detailed tracked changes throughout the proposed Municipal Planning Strategy and Local Policies.
421	Community member	<u>Other</u> <i>Liveability</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opposes the Amendment. Attempt to create a further income stream of extra rates. How about you council members thinking of the residents for a change and not the developers.

Sub no.	Interest	Summary of Submission
422	Community member	Same as Submission No. 365.
423	Community member	Same as Submission No. 365.
424	Representative of landowner (K7 Developments)	<p><u>Housing</u></p> <p><i>Location of residential development</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission relates to 288-298 Johnston Street and 1 Turner Street, Abbotsford. • States that the land at 288-296 Johnston Street should be nominated as a 'high change' area for consistency with existing built form controls based around the Johnston Street Local Area Plan and as reflected in the Yarra Planning Scheme at DDO 15. • States that the adjoining property to the north known as 1 Turner Street, Abbotsford appears to have been mistakenly identified as a 'minimal change' area. This is at odds with the current zoning of the land (C1Z) and existing scale of development on the land (4 storeys with 100% site coverage). • Notes that the position is reinforced by the Yarra Housing Strategy which describes minimal change areas as having one or more of the following characteristics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Within established residential areas (Neighbourhood Residential Zone) that have heritage significance;</i> - <i>Have consistent fine-grain subdivision pattern and small lot sizes; and/or</i> - <i>Have detached and dual occupancy dwellings.</i> The property at 1 Turner Street displays none of these characteristics. • States that the more appropriate characterisation for 1 Turner Street would be as an 'incremental change area'.

Number of Submission submitted via the Do Gooder Campaign: 280