ATTACHMENT 2 - FINANCIAL ASSUMPTIONS FOR HWS OPTIONS

The table below provides assumptions and context for the options costed.

Pricing Assumptions for Options Costed

ASSUMPTION	ASSUMPTION BASED ON	OPTION (S) APPLICABLE TO	COMMENTS/CONTEXT
Cost for each HWS model option	Costs are based on best estimates, quotes and current information available Costs may have some variation at the time of a HWS roll out.	All	Landfill fees may increase EPA landfill levies may increase Fuel prices may increase Cost of labour may increase
Time period is for 6 years from July 2020 to June 2026	This assumes that a HWS would commence in July 2020 to June 2026 This assumes that the current collection contracts ending in June 2023 can be restructured to include the 3 year extension option If timing is different costings will need to be adjusted	All	This assumes a HWS will commence in July 2020 to 30th June 2026. This is to align with the current waste collection contract end date which includes a 3 year extension option The reason for this is that Four Seasons Waste are happy to restructure the collection contracts to align with any Council decision
CPI not included in the calculations	The costings are straight line calculations for the purposes of making comparisons for each option	All	CPI increases are part of contract conditions
Each HWS model has different levels of logistics resources	Cost to supply number of vehicles and labour for each option	All	Each option has variable logistics requirements and costs depending on the level of service over a 12 month period
Costs are based on using second hand refurbished collection vehicles	Collection vehicles will be second hand and refurbished to ensure they meet appropriate standards	All	The reason for this is that Four Seasons Waste are happy to restructure the collection contracts to align with any Council decision It would not be efficient to price in new vehicles for a short time period There is a potential that beyond 2026 Council would shift to electric collection vehicles
Costs include the purchase of new bins or containers for glass only and FOGO collections	Based on current prices it is estimated that the bin infrastructure will cost approximately \$3 million The amortised amount is \$540K per annum The cost has been amortised into the cost of the service over the 6 year period In other words the contractor will purchase the bins and Council will pay the amortised amount within the monthly contract payment	All	The intention is to purchase bins with maximum recycled material content which may mean a minor increase in price
Garbage will be transported to the MRL Landfill	The distance to the landfill site impacts on turnaround times and the level of resources required – Cost of transport Disposal costs are based on current gate fees and EPA levies (\$105.55 per tonne)	All	Yarra has an agreement with MRL Deer Park for garbage disposal until 2021

ASSUMPTION	ASSUMPTION BASED ON	OPTION (S) APPLICABLE TO	COMMENTS/CONTEXT
Glass will be transported to the Polytrade Glass Beneficiation Plant in the interim	This is the current available option Other options may be available in the near future The current gate fee of per tonne has been used for the purposes of calculation as alternative pricing is not currently available.	All	The processing fee is expected to decrease for a long term agreement, however an accurate amount is not yet confirmed Should Council decide to sort its own glass this would also alter the costing
The recycling material (No glass) will be transported to APR in Laverton	The current gate fee of per tonne has been used for the purposes of calculation The distance to the disposal site impacts on turnaround times and the level of resources required – Cost of transport	All	APR has confirmed that they have the immediate capacity to process all of Yarra's kerbside recycling material (No glass) This is expected to decrease for a long term agreement, however an accurate amount is not yet confirmed
FOGO material will be transported to Dandenong, either Veolia, Cleanaway or SYCR	The distance to the disposal site impacts on turnaround times and the level of resources required – Cost of transport For the purposes of calculation the gate feed for FOGO have been offset against the gate fees for Landfill In other words FOGO material diverted from the garbage bin does not incur a landfill fee of \$105.555 per tonne	All	When gate fees are confirmed for long term arrangements more accurate calculations will be done The variance is expected to be minor
Additional Community Engagement resources will be required	Cost to deliver a targeted community education program in MUD's during the transition period Additional community engagement to assist residents Ongoing community education Additional Waste Min costs have been allowed - \$500K year 1, \$300K year 2 and \$100K for following years Assumes the current level of resource in the Waste Min Team remains as per status quo	All	It is critical that the correct level of resource is available during in a transition to a HWS Community engagement is a critical success factor for a HWS The additional resources will also need to cover for additional auditing, education delivery, setting up Council building, re arrangement of bin infrastructure at MUD sites
Additional COMMS costs have been included for year 1 of a HWS	Feedback from COMMS experience during the HWT 2FTE additional for 12 months (3-6 months prior to roll out and 6 months post) \$150k for graphic design, community engagement, translations, collateral printing and distribution	All	The role of the COMMS area is critical to the success of a HWS
Additional Access Yarra costs for year 1 of a HWS	Feedback from Customer Service experience during the HWT \$150K has been allowed for additional resources during a transition phase	All	
Potential disposable nappy collection service not included in the costings	This would require approximately \$150K per annum additional budget This cost would need to be added to option A & B should Council wish to proceed with this type of service	A & B	There is an opportunity for Council to provide a disposable nappy collection service if the issue of nappies becomes a major barrier.

ASSUMPTION	ASSUMPTION BASED ON	OPTION (S) APPLICABLE TO	COMMENTS/CONTEXT
Compostable bags for FOGO not costed in the options	If Council was to supply compostable plastic bags it would require additional budget of approx. \$500K per annum for option A	А	Some survey respondents have raised concerns about the smell of FOGO with a fortnightly collection. The Cleanaway processing facility does accept compostable plastic bags for FOGO Compostable plastic bags are not recommended as this can lead to contamination Bags would not align with Council's plastic free focus and would send the wrong message
SV Grant for new glass & FOGO bin infrastructure not included	Council has been awarded a \$400K infrastructure grant The \$400K would be deducted from the cost of each option for year 1	All	The funding has not been included in the costings at this stage as the timing and final contracts have not been signed off between Council & SV.