



Minutes

Heritage Advisory Committee

Date and Venue: Thursday, 26 July 2018, Meeting Room 1, Richmond Town Hall

Time: 6:00pm – 8:00pm

Councillors: James Searle (JS) Chair, Jackie Fristacky (JF Danae Bosler (DB)

Attendance: Alexis Arrowsmith (AAr), Alexander Antoniadis (AAn), Hailey Miller (HM), Jeffrey Atkinson (JA); Ian Wight (IW), Janet Taylor (JT), Kirsteen Thomson (KT), Lucasta Clothier-Fairs (LC), Lucinda Owen (LO), Megan Hamer (MH), Ivan Gilbert (IG), - Group Manager, CEO's Office, Richa Swarup (RS) - Sr. Advisor City Heritage CoY.

Guests in attendance: Siu Chan (SC) Unit Manager Venues and Events CoY, Aldo Malavisi (AM), Coordinator Community Partnerships, CoY.

Apologies: Terence Nott (NT), Caitlin Mitropoulos (CM)

Community members present: Johannes Misselhorn – Richmond Resident

diverse

vibrant

exciting

inclusive

<p>1. <u>Welcome and Introductions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JS welcomed the members of the new HAC. • The members each provided a brief outline of their current roles and positions and outlined why they are interested in being part of the City of Yarra HAC. • The Council officers also outlined their roles at the City of Yarra with particular reference to a connection with heritage. 	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>No further actions suggested</p>
<p>2. <u>Terms of Reference</u></p> <p>Members of the HAC raised following key queries in regards to the ToR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the role of the HAC is expected to be more proactive or reactive? • Can HAC members vote and make a recommendation to Council for its consideration? • Whether they have an expert's role or are they the watchdog for heritage? <p>JS responded that as referenced in the ToR, the HAC has a strategic role. It can be proactive in approach and make recommendations to Council on issues or gaps in managing heritage in Yarra. HAC Members can also provide expert advice or facilitate professional discussion on specific issues.</p> <p>JF noted that in past, members have formed a sub-committee on heritage issues/policies to help provide recommendations to Council for its consideration. HAC has previously also requested that key discussion points/recommendations be included as part of the HAC Chair delegate's report to Council.</p>	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>No further actions suggested</p>

<p>3. <u>Role of Council in heritage management</u></p> <p>IG spoke about various aspects of heritage relevant to Council and the role of different departments in addressing each.</p>	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>No further actions suggested</p>
<p>4. <u>Current heritage scenario and Council initiatives</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RS gave a snapshot of the extent of heritage in Yarra, the current development scenario, Council initiatives currently underway and new strategies under consultation. • LO mentioned, in moving forward with the new heritage strategy, it would be good to discuss how young people can be encouraged to be more engaged with heritage. • JT requested that the time table for preparation of the new Heritage Strategy be presented to the next HAC meeting. • AAn and HM suggested that ideas such as developing mobile apps for heritage walks or virtual reality documentation should be considered for promoting heritage in Yarra. 	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>That:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RS presents the timetable for preparation of the new Heritage Strategy in the next HAC meeting. • RS keeps a note of the suggestions from LO, AAn and HM for consideration during the preparation of the new Heritage Strategy.
<p>5. <u>Adoption of previous minutes – 28 May 2018</u></p> <p>JF suggested attaching the HAC Chair delegate’s report presented to Council on the 28 May HAC meeting to help clarify the subject matter of item 5 of the minutes of that meeting.</p>	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>That:-</p> <p>RS circulates minutes again attaching the delegates report</p>
<p>6. <u>Other matters</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. KT pointed out the need to keep heritage in the fore-front of how the Council presents itself, e.g. the possibility of including culture in Yarra’s letterhead along with other qualities i.e. <i>diverse, vibrant, exciting and inclusive</i> and in any other such promotional statements or communication. ii. KT also talked about creating a strong link between heritage and urban design. iii. IW appreciated the participatory process for the development of the draft heritage policy and stressed the need to follow such process for other strategic works where heritage is a significant component. iv. IW and JT requested that work on built-form frameworks leading to planning scheme policies or development controls, be presented to the HAC whilst being developed and not at the last stage when presented to the Council. In this regard, JF suggested that the work be circulated to HAC members by email seeking comments, if there is no meeting scheduled during that period. HAC members can also form a small group in responding to specific matters. JS asked RS to follow up this matter with the strategic planning unit. v. JT requested RS to check the Council resolution in regards to the Collingwood South precinct and when it will be presented to the HAC. 	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>That:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JS includes the need for timely presentation of the important strategic documents such as the MSS, activity centres and mixed use areas built form frameworks etc. with significant heritage to the HAC in the Delegate’s Report. • RS discusses presentation/circulation of the draft MSS, specifically the heritage sections, to HAC with strategic planning unit for their comment. • RS checks the Council resolution in regards to the Collingwood South Precinct and report back to the HAC.

<p>vi. LO suggested that email communications be sent on a particular day so that it is easy to track and is not lost amongst other emails.</p> <p>vii. LO also suggested that there is need to check what mechanisms exist to secure heritage sites which are at risk such as the silos in Cremorne and that Council make sure there are processes in place so that sites at risk are monitored closely for community safety.</p> <p>viii. AAn informed that the State Government is going to release a new format of the planning scheme which will have implications on how the planning scheme and policies are written. Members requested to be briefed on these changes at the next meeting.</p> <p>ix. RS informed the members about their names being included on the Council website and requested that members inform her if they have any issues about it. JF referred to members having each other's contact details to enable discussions on items between meetings to help facilitate the effectiveness of HAC meetings. JS-IG requested that individual HAC members advise RS if they have any issues with their contact details being included in a list provided to all members.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RS to provide an update to HAC in regards to item 6 vii. • RS to include an item on the new planning scheme format in the next meeting's agenda. • HAC members to inform RS by 7 August 2018 if they have any issues in sharing their contact details.
<p>7. <u>Forward meeting schedule</u></p> <p>In discussions with the members it was agreed that :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Future Meetings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 2nd Thursday of the month seems to be most suitable day for setting up future meetings. • Next meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ that 23 August could be the tentative date for the next meeting. • The possibility of alternating meetings between Richmond and Collingwood: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ can be ascertained by organising a few such meetings and then further assessing. 	<p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>That:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RS confirms the meeting date of the next meeting with the members by email.
<p>8. <u>Meeting ended with dinner and meet and greet.</u></p>	